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Abstract. Parasitism is an immensely successful mode of nutrition and parasitic organisms are abundant in most ecosystems. This is also the case for marine planktonic ecosystems in which a large variety of parasitic species are known. Most of these parasites are protists and they infect a wide range of hosts from the marine plankton, ranging from other protists to larger planktonic invertebrates. Parasites often have morphologies and life cycles that are highly specialized as compared to their free-living relatives. However, this does not mean that parasites are necessarily odd or rare phenomena; on the contrary parasites constitute numerically and ecologically important components of the ecosystem. This review gives an overview of the existing knowledge on the diversity and occurrence of parasitic protists in the marine plankton and examines the available information on the potential effects and role of parasitism in this ecosystem. Importance is given to the fact that prevalence and impact of parasitic organisms in marine planktonic systems appear to be overwhelmingly understudied.
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INTRODUCTION

Early studies on energy transfer between components of the marine plankton tended to consider the route of energy transfer as a relatively simple food chain in which organic matter is transferred from photoautotrophic phytoplankton to larger heterotrophic zooplankton and onwards to higher trophic levels (e.g. Steele 1970). However, in the late 20th century, an awareness has appeared that energy transfer between trophic levels in the marine plankton occurs through a highly complex food web. For example, phytoplankton cells leak a substantial amount of organic carbon fixed through photosynthesis, by which primary production is exploited by bacteria rather than by eukaryotic grazers (e.g. Azam et al. 1983). Furthermore, the traditional perception that plankton predators are typically an order of magnitude larger than their prey (e.g. Sheldon et al. 1977) has turned out not to be a general rule. On the contrary, some of the dominating microeukaryotic predators, the dinoflagellates, feed on prey cells of approximately their own size (Hansen et al. 1994). When a protist cell feeds on a prey larger than itself, the feeding strategy can in many cases be characterized as parasitism. Parasitism in general is considered the most common consumer strategy in nature and it may be argued...
that roughly half of the species on Earth are parasites (Windsor 1998, de Meeûs and Renaud 2002). On the ecosystem level parasites have substantial biomasses that may exceed the biomass of top predators in aquatic ecosystems (Kuris et al. 2008). It is, therefore, not surprising that parasitism is also very common among the different components of the marine plankton (Théodoridès 1989, Park et al. 2004, Skovgaard and Saiz 2006). Current evidence does not fully justify that parasitism needs to be taken into account when attempting to understand the marine planktonic food web. However, as discussed below, it is probable that only a minority of parasites of planktonic hosts has been identified so far and that parasitism is severely underestimated in current perception of the marine planktonic ecosystem.

Few studies have addressed the ecological importance of parasitism in the marine plankton, which is in contrast to large research efforts dedicated to the function and evolutionary ecology of plankton parasitology in freshwater phytoplankton (Kagami et al. 2007, Rasconi et al. 2012) and zooplankton (Burns 1989, Decaestecker et al. 2005, Ebert 2008). In the following it is attempted to highlight the immense diversity of known protistan parasites (including parasitoids and parasitic castrators) in the marine plankton and to expose the current vast lack of knowledge about some of these microbial pathogens.

**DIVERSITY OF PARASITES IN MARINE PLANKTON**

In the following, the term protist is used as a popular term as suggested by Adl et al. (2005), including thus such unicellular eukaryotes as fungi (i.e. uniflagellated Ophistokonta) and amoebae, which have not traditionally been included in the taxon Protista (Adl et al. 2005). A parasite may be defined as an organism utilizing a host as habitat on which it is nutritionally dependent and, furthermore, it causes harm to the host (Anderson and May 1978). This definition thus excludes protists living as epibiotic symbionts on marine plankton, e.g. diatoms and apostome ciliates on copepods (Hiromi et al. 1985, Grimes and Bradbury 1992). However, it is acknowledged that the distinction between a symbiotic and a parasitic protist (and even a parasitic and a predatory protist) may not always be feasible and that more specific definitions may be required (Gaines and Elbrächter 1987). Parasitic consumer strategies are found to various degrees in many protist groups and the diversity of these parasites is exemplified by the following examples of the most characteristic species.

**Fungi**

True fungi are abundant in the marine environment, but only relatively few species are known to infect plankton organisms and knowledge on the biological interactions and effects of fungal infections in marine plankton is still limited. A few examples are known among the Chytridiomycetes, such as Olpidium and Rhizphydium, that able to infect the marine diatoms Pseudo-nitzschia and Chaetoceros (Elbrächter and Schnepf 1998, Wang and Johnson 2009). In addition to the true fungi, several species of aquatic ‘fungi’ of the Stramenopiles are known from marine phytoplankton (see below).

**Amoebozoa**

*Amoeba biddulphiae* was described almost a century ago from the marine diatom *Odontella (Biddulphia) sinensis* (Fig. 1A, Zuelzer 1927). Another amoeba, *Rhizamoeba schnepfii* (Tubulinea, Adl et al. 2005), also infects and feeds on marine diatoms, but unlike *A. biddulphiae*, it is able to exploit a suite of diatom species (Kühn 1997a). *Pseudophelidium schnepfii* (Mesomycezoa, Adl et al. 2005) is a parasite of the centric marine diatom *Thalassiosira punctigera* (Schweikert and Schnepf 1996) and the amoeba *Janickina* spp. are endoparasites in chaetognates (Weinstein 1973).

**Rhizaria**

Several distantly related rhizarian species are parasites of plankton organisms, in particular marine diatoms. Among these are species of the cercozoan genera *Cryothecomonas* (Drebes et al. 1996) and *Pseudopiro-sonia*. Originally considered a stramenopile, *Pseudopirosonia mucosa* has proven a member of Cercozoa. *P. mucosa* infects marine diatoms of the genus *Rhizosolenia* in manner much similar to *Pirsonia* (Stramenopiles, Kühn et al. 1996). Also other rhizaria, such as *Phagomyxa* spp. are parasites in diatoms (Schnepf et al. 2000).

The paramyxan parasite *Marteilia refringens* is a parasite in European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis*, (Berthe et al. 1998), but the presence of *M. refringens* in planktonic copepods suggests that it utilizes copepods as intermediate or transport host (Audemard et al. 2002). Carrasco et al. (2008) confirmed these findings and demonstrated that *M. refringens* is able to proliferate in copepods, but thus far the possible effect of *P. refringens* on its copepod host remains unknown.
Planktonic Parasitic Protists

Stramenopiles (Heterokonta)

The Chromalveolate groups Stramenopiles and Alveolate are particularly well represented among protistan parasites of marine plankton, and some of the major alveolate groups, such as Apicomplexa, Perkinsozoa, and the syndinean dinoflagellates, consist exclusively of parasites and are common in the marine plankton. The stramenopile fungi are widespread especially in freshwater habitats (Dick 2001) and are recognized as important degraders of freshwater phytoplankton (Kagami et al. 2007). Parasitic stramenopiles are less frequently reported from the marine environment. Many taxa are known only from clone library studies and, while some of these may be parasitic, they are generally assumed to be free-living phagotrophs (Massana et al. 2004). The enigmatic species Solenicola setigera, which is the first identified member of the marine stramenopile clade MAST-3 (Gómez 2007), appears to be an ectoparasite on the diatom Leptocylindrus mediterraneus. However, S. setigera has so far only been found on empty frustules and its parasitic nature is thus questionable (Gómez et al. 2011). Nevertheless, a few well-documented cases exist on coastal phytoplankton being infected by stramenopiles, such as the Peronosporomycetes Lagenisma (Fig. 1B–C, Drebes 1968) and Ectrogella (Sparrow 1960), but knowledge of their impact on marine phytoplankton populations is scarce. Lagenisma is an intracellular parasite of large planktonic diatoms. Ectrogella is a multi-species genus of parasites of primarily freshwater diatoms (Sparrow 1960), but some species are marine, and among these E. perforans infects planktonic and epiphytic diatoms in marine and brackish waters (Sparrow 1960).

Another stramenopile genus, Pirsonia, is a cluster of species that are parasites of marine diatoms. Pirsonia attaches to a host cell and produces a pseudopodium that enters the diatom frustule and phagocytizes the entire host protoplasm (Schnepf et al. 1990). Corresponding feeding strategies are found in the little studied parasites Victoriniella (Kühn 1997b) and Palisporomonas (de Saedeleer 1946). Their taxonomic position remains unclear, but they are functionally related to Lagenisma and Pirsonia in the sense that these parasites are all potentially important degraders of centric diatoms too large to be grazed by many copepods (Kühn 1997b).

Dinoza – Dinophyceae and Blastodiniales

Parasitic life modes are common among dinoflagellates, and the species traditionally classified among the
Blastodiniales are all parasites (Fensome et al. 1993, Coats 1999). Many members of Dinophyceae and Blastodiniales are parasites of marine plankton. Marine diatoms are commonly infected with Paulsenella spp. that suck out the diatom cell content through myzo cytosis (Paulsen 1911, Drebes and Schnepf 1988) and Paulsenella spp. is capable of almost eliminating a diatom population (Drebes and Schnepf 1988).

Species of the genera Duboscquodinium, Tintinnophagus, and Duboscquella are all parasites of tintinnid ciliates (Cachon 1964, Coats et al. 2010). These dinophycean parasites of tintinnids are typically lethal to their hosts and appear to be widespread and common in the marine plankton.

Oodinium spp. are ectoparasites on various plankton organisms, such as appendicularians (Chatton 1912), chaetognates (McLean and Nielsen 1989), and ctenophores (Mills and McLean 1991). The occurrence of Oodinium spp. has been reported in several studies but more or less coincidentally. The same applies to the morphologically similar Apodinium spp., ectoparasites on appendicularians (Cachon and Cachon 1973). Both Oodinium and Apodinium are members of Blastodiniales that is today considered a heterogeneous (and paraphyletic) group of core dinoflagellates (Saldarriaga et al. 2004, Skovgaard et al. 2007, Gómez et al. 2009). The original type genus of Blastodiniales, Blastodinium, comprises photosynthetic parasites that live in gut of marine planktonic copepods, particularly in warm temperate to tropical waters (Chatton 1920, Skovgaard et al. 2012). Blastodiniales also includes the peculiar genus Hoplozoon (Fig. 2A) and ectoparasites of copepod eggs, namely Dissodinium and Chytri dinium (Cachon and Cachon 1968a, Drebes 1969). Copepod eggs are also exploited by a couple of rarely reported dinophycean parasites, Syltodinium and Schizochytriodinium (Drebes 1988, Elbrächter 1988).

**Dinoflagellates**

The Syndiniales (or Syndinea, Cavalier-Smith 1993) are an assembly of parasitic dinoflagellates that are both genetically and morphologically different from the dinophycean dinoflagellates (Fensome et al. 1993, Guillou et al. 2008). Recent analyses of environmental marine rDNA sequences have revealed an extensive diversity of ribotypes related to Syndiniales (López-García et al. 2001, Moon-van der Staay et al. 2001). Phylogenetic analyses consistently place these alleged Syndinean sequences in two main clades (Marine Alveolate Groups I and II) and, in some analyses, 3–5 additional minor clades (Richards and Bass 2005, Guillou et al. 2008).

Based on molecular phylogeny, the syndineans *Amoebophrya* and Syndinium are established members of Marine Alveolate Group II (group II and IV, respectively, according to the scheme of Guillou et al. 2008). *Amoebophrya* spp. are parasites of various plankton organisms (Cachon 1964) and may play important roles in the dynamics of bloom-forming dinoflagellates (Park et al. 2004, Chambouvet et al. 2008). Other *Amoebophrya* species are found in radiolarians, ciliates, and as hyperparasite in *Oodinium* (Cachon 1964, Fig. 2B–C). *Syndinium* spp. (Fig. 2D–H) are parasitoids that infest and devour several species of calanoid copepods (Fig. 2F–H, Chatton 1910b, Skovgaard et al. 2005) and, in addition, the Syndinean genera *Merodinium*, *Solendodinium*, and *Keppenodinium* infect radiolarians and phaeodarians (Hollande et al. 1953, Hovasse and Brown 1953, Hollande and Enjumet 1955). The latter three genera have received almost no scientific attention for decades and rDNA sequences are currently not available for any of the known syndineans infecting radiolarians or phaeodarians.

Until now, only two genera are confirmed members of the Marine Alveolate Group I clade, which is otherwise comprised by environmental rDNA sequences. *Ichthyodinium* is parasite of pelagic eggs of numerous species of marine fish (Hollande and Cachon 1952, Meneses et al. 2003, Skovgaard et al. 2009). The other genus, *Euduboscquella*, comprises parasites of ciliates (Harada et al. 2007, Coats et al. 2012) and dinoflagellates (Cachon 1964). In Group I, a number of sequences have been identified from protists associated with radiolarians and phaeodarians (Dolven et al. 2007) and it is likely that these are parasites. Indeed, a recently observed probable parasite of a radiolarian had similarity with *Euduboscquella* (Suzuki et al. 2009). Thus, it is possible that some of these Marine Alveolate Group I sequences correspond to syndinean parasites from which no rDNA sequences are yet known.

**Dinoflagellates**

The Perkinsidae are primarily known for the genus *Perkinsus* which are endocellular parasites of oysters (Siddall et al. 1997). However, a parasite of dinoflagellates, *Parvilucifera infectans*, was found to be affiliated with the perkinsids (Norén et al. 1999, Fig. 1D). Recently, two additional *Parvilucifera* species were identified (Figueroa et al. 2008, Hoppenrath and Leander 2009), illustrating that species diversity in this group
is probably underestimated. Studies of environmental DNA sequences have supported this by identifying a large amount of assumed hidden Perkinsid taxa primarily from freshwater environments but also from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge hydrothermal area (Lefèvre et al. 2008, Bråte et al. 2010).

Ciliophora

The ciliates are an overwhelmingly diverse group of protists in which parasitic life styles are common (e.g. Bradbury 1994), but comparably few ciliate species are known to infect marine plankton organisms. A couple of remarkable exceptions, which may exert profound effects on their host populations, are Collinia and Pseudocolllinia infecting euphausiids (Gómez-Gutiérrez et al. 2006, Gómez-Gutiérrez et al. 2012). Collinia and Pseudocolllinia are parasitoids that may cause mass mortality in host populations (Gómez-Gutiérrez et al. 2003). Also live copepods may be infested with ciliates. Two ciliate species, Uronema rabaudi and Perezella pelagica, were described from live specimens of Acartia clausi and Pseudocalanus elongatus, and Paracalanus parvus, respectively (Cépède 1910). Similar endoparasitic ciliates have been found in the calanoid copepods Calanus finmarchicus and Pseudocalanus (Apstein 1911), suggesting that these parasites may be widespread even though they have now gone unnoticed for decades. An apostome ciliate, Metaphrya sagittae, occupies the coelom of chaetognates and appears to be very common in a range of species (Ikeda 1917, Weinstein 1973). It has been found in most of the world’s oceans (Weinstein 1973), but recent records of the species are scarce. Ciliates of the genus Trichodina, which comprises common parasites on marine and freshwater fish, exist as ectoparasites on ctenophores (Estes et al. 1997). Trichodina spp. are also found on copepods, but have so far only been found in freshwater (Green and Shiel 2000).

Ellobiopsidae

Several species of the enigmatic group Ellobiopsidae are ectoparasites on planktonic crustaceans. The genus Ellobiopsis is restricted to copepods, whereas Thalassomyces spp. infect larger crustaceans, including euphausiids and mysids (Boschma 1959, Vader 1973). The stalk of the parasite penetrates the host exoskeleton and nourishes itself from tissue or body fluids of the host. Ellobiopsis-like protrusions have also been found on freshwater copepods, but the exact identity of these is controversial (Rayner and King 1986, Bridgeman et al. 2000, Skovgaard 2004).

Fig. 2A–H. Protistan parasites of marine zooplankton. A – the dinoflagellate Haplozoon inerme (bottom left) parasitizing Appendicularia sicula (after Cachon 1964); B, C – hyperparasitic Amobophrya grassi in Oodinium poucheti, an ectoparasite on Oikopleura (after Cachon 1964); B – several early-stage parasites inside the host; C – macrospore (left) and dividing microspores (right) of A. grassi; D, E – the sindinean dinoflagellate Syndinium bogerti in the acantharian Amphileonche sp. (after Hollande and Enjumet 1955); D – multinuclear parasites inside the host; E – released parasite macrospore (left) and microspore (right); F–H – Syndinium-like parasite in the copepod Clausocalanus sp. from the NW Mediterranean Sea; F, G – dinospores originating from the infected host in H. Scale bars: 10 µm; H – recently diseased host filled with live dinospores. Scale bar: 100 µm.
Apicomplexa

Apicomplexans are a successful group of parasitic protists that infect a wide range of organisms, including invertebrates of the marine plankton (Théodoridès 1989). For example, gregarine apicomplexans commonly inhabit the intestine of chaetognates (Leuckart 1861, Weinstein 1973, Théodoridès 1989). Large fractions of Arctic krill, Euphausia superba, may also be infected with the gregarine Cephaloidophora pacifica (Takahashi et al. 2008) just as sporadic reports have noted parasitic gregarines in copepods. The first report was that of Paraophioidina haeckeli in Sapphirina spp. in the late 19th century (summarized by Théodoridès and Desportes 1972) and this genus has subsequently been found in other copepod species (Apstein 1911, Levine 1977). Other observations include P. copilia in Copilia vitrea (Rose 1933) and Cephaloidophora petitti in Candacia aethiopica (Gobillard 1964). Furthermore, parasitic gregarines exist in planktonic polychaetes, amphipods, hyperiids, mysids, mollusks, and tunicates (Théodoridès 1989), but also in these cases information on ecology and impact of parasites is virtually non-existing.

Excavata – Euglenozoa

In contrast to the freshwater environments in which many euglenid species are parasites of plankton organisms (Michajłow 1972), only few parasitic euglenozoa are known from marine plankton. Rhynochus coscinodiscivorus (Diplonemea) has been described as a parasite of marine diatoms (Schnepf 1994). This species may be a facultative parasite, considering that other species of Rhynochus are free-living phagotrophs (Roy et al. 2007). A few accounts also exist on the infection of chaetognates with the kinetoplastid Trypanophis sagittae (Hovasse 1924, Rose and Hamon 1950). Drebes (1974) reported on an unidentified euglenid infecting eggs of the copepods Temora and Acartia.

UNRECOGNIZED PARASITE DIVERSITY

Many parasites of marine plankton are poorly investigated and the taxonomic affiliation of several parasite species is uncertain. In a Norwegian fjord, for example, the copepods Calanus spp. were found parasitized by an organism producing yellow hypha-like structures (Torgersen et al. 2002). It was not possible to identify it solely based on morphology and no genetic information is yet available. Another parasite of copepods, tentatively named red plasmodial parasite, was shown through molecular phylogeny to be a member of Marine Alveolate Group I (Skovgaard and Daugbjerg 2008), but has yet not been formally described. This parasite was also observed by Jepps (1937).

Even among the formally described protistan parasites, several species have been observed only one single or a few times following their original description and then often many decades ago. This is the case for e.g. the blastodinians Syltodinium and Schizochytriodium (infesting copepod eggs, Drebes 1988, Elbrächter 1988), Actinodinium (in copepods, Chatton and Hovasse 1937), Cachonella (on siphonophores, Rose and Cachon 1951), and Myxodinium (in a prasinophyte, Cachon et al. 1969), and also for such dinophyceans as Filodinium (on appendicularians, Cachon and Cachon 1968b). This also applies to several of the (often abundant) parasites of diatoms that have been reported by few researchers apart from their original authors (Schnepf et al. 1978, Schnepf et al. 1990, Kühn et al. 1996, Schweikert and Schnepf 1996). In addition, certain species of the better-known genera Amoebophrya, Duboscquella and Syndinium have completely avoided the attention of scientists, e.g. A. grassei in Oodinium (Fig. 2A), A. acanthometrae in a radiolarian, D. melo in the free-living dinoflagellate Noctiluca (Cachon 1964), and S. oikopleurae in an appendicularian (Holland 1974). In the case of Amoebophrya, only few species have been described, but the genus represents a considerable genetic diversity which does point towards the existence of numerous species within the genus (Salomon et al. 2003, Kim et al. 2008) and the same may be the case for other syndinean genera (Gómez 2014). Likewise, the genetic and morphological diversity within the genus Blastodinium suggest that the number of actual species is larger than currently acknowledged (Skovgaard et al. 2012).

Hence, taxonomy and species diversity of marine plankton parasites is incompletely explored and it is plausible that the number of protistan species that are parasites of marine plankton is considerably underestimated. This postulation is in line with research having revealed large numbers of sequences originating from unknown taxa affiliated with parasitic groups, such as syndineans (López-García et al. 2001, Guillou et al. 2008) and perkinsids (LeFèvre et al. 2008). Given the fact that DNA sequences are yet not available for the majority of known syndinean parasites, it is tempting to suggest that at least some of the environmental se-
EFFECTS AND IMPACT OF PARASITES IN MARINE PLANKTON

The effect of protistan parasites in the marine plankton is very variable dependent on parasite species. In the protist hosts, parasites typically have characters of parasitoids, i.e. the host cell is killed by the parasite, which is in turn depending on the host for completion of its life cycle. Parasitoids also occur in multicellular zooplankton, such as *Syndinium* infecting copepods or *Collinia* infecting euphausids. However, not all protistan parasites in zooplankton are lethal to their hosts. Infection with ellobiopsids, e.g., may not be detrimental to their hosts, but induces sterility in female copepods (Albaina and Irigoien 2006). *Blastodinium* appears to stay relative harmless inside the host’s gut, but it does affect the host’s fitness (Skovgaard 2005) and it causes sterility in infected females (Chatton 1920). Similar to the parasitic castrators, parasites infecting zooplankton eggs, such as *Dissodinium* and *Chytriodinium*, are not destructive for the adult host, but may have significant effects on host recruitment.

While observations on dramatic effects of parasites on single phytoplankton cells or cell cultures are ample (e.g. Drebes 1966, Kühn 1998), few investigations have aimed at determining the impact of such pathogens in marine phytoplankton populations. Prevalence data are available in a few examples and, even though detailed information on parasite growth and generation time does not always exist, prevalence itself gives an indication of parasite impact. For example, large-scale *Pirsonia* spp. infections have recurrently been found in several diatom species in coastal waters (Schnepf *et al.* 1990, Kühn *et al.* 1996, Tillmann *et al.* 1999), giving good reasons to assume that these parasites play important roles in regulating host populations. It has likewise been justified that parasitism by *Cryothecomonas* at times may successfully compete with zooplankton in controlling energy flow and food web dynamics (Tillmann *et al.* 1999). Particular interest has aroused with the fact that many dinoflagellate species responsible for toxic algal blooms are susceptible to infection by the parasitoid syndinean *Amoebophrya*, opening potentials for combating these blooms through biological control (Taylor 1968). *Amoebophrya* does reach high infection prevalence in cultures with the capability to wipe out some host species (Coats and Park 2002). This is to some extent supported by field investigations estimating that *Amoebophrya* was capable of inducing 0-5% mortality per day among its dinoflagellate host populations in coastal waters, and up to 54% per day in localized epidemics (Coats *et al.* 1996). Gisselson *et al.* (2002) estimated mortality rates in *Dinophysis norvegica* of max. 2% per day due to *Amoebophrya* infections and referred to unpublished data showing 20% of *Dinophysis* infected by Parvilucifera infectans in the Baltic Sea. Thus, as reviewed by Park *et al.* (2004), these parasites can have significant impacts on host bloom dynamics. This was also demonstrated more recently by Chambouvet *et al.* (2008) showing that a given dinoflagellate host species was infected by a genetically distinct *Amoebophrya* clade year after year and that a decline in dinoflagellate populations correlated with release of free-swimming parasite spores.

Also microzooplankton populations are regulated by parasites. Species of the genera *Duboscquodinium*, *Duboscquella*, and *Euduboscquella* are distributed worldwide and notable infection frequencies are often observed in ciliate population (Coats and Buchvaroff 2013). The syndinean *Euduboscquella cachoni* was estimated to remove 7–24% of its ciliate host population biomass per day in Chesapeake Bay through parasite-induced mortality (Coats and Heisler 1989). Such mortality rates indicate that parasite-induced mortality of the ciliates is comparable to predation pressure by larger zooplankton grazers. In general, data on the impact of parasitic protists on natural zooplankton populations rely on field observations of parasite prevalence with only little information available from culture work. In this manner, *Syndinium* has been estimated to – in peak periods – be prevalent enough to affect copepod populations at a magnitude similar to that of predators (Ianora *et al.* 1987, Skovgaard and Saiz 2006). *Syndinium* is a cosmopolitan genus and a study in Sydney harbor concluded parasite-induced mortality of the copepod *Paracalanus indicus* to be about 1/3 of total
mortality (Kimmerer and McKinnon 1990). Otherwise, reports on high mortalities of zooplankton due to parasitic parasites are infrequent, but an unusual excessive number of euphasiid carcasses near the ocean floor in the Pacific Ocean has revealed that the parasitoid ciliate Collinia is able to cause mass mortalities of euphausiids (Gómez-Gutiérrez et al. 2003). Earlier studies have shown prevalence as high as 64–98% in similar host-parasite associations (Capriulo et al. 1991). Intuitively, such high prevalence of a parasitoid must have significant consequences for the host population.

The effects of non-lethal zooplankton parasites are less evident than the drastic effects of parasitoids on host individuals. Nevertheless, adverse effects on fecundity and recruitment may have profound consequences at the population level. In a study of Western Mediterranean zooplankton it was found that the parasitic blastodinian Blastodinium spp. were responsible for an impairment of reproductive rates of 0.16 and 0.03 per day for the copepods Oncaea cf. scottodicaloi and Paracalanus parvus, respectively (Skovgaard and Saiz 2006). Also in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea Blastodinium infections are common and prevalence up to 2–51% were found in different taxonomic groups of copepods (Alves-de-Souza et al. 2011), suggesting that these parasites may markedly suppress copepod production. A reduction in fecundity of about 7% for population of the copepod Calanus helgolandicus in the Bay of Biscay was assigned to parasitism by Ellobiopsis sp. (Albaina and Irigoien 2006).

As outlined above, parasitism in the marine plankton is all but a rarity and parasites are common in both zooplankton and phytoplankton. Yet there are few accounts on the prevalence and role of such parasites. This may be a result of the great diversity of the nature of parasites, making it difficult to encompass them all in standard plankton studies. The vast variety and abundance of parasitic protists demonstrate that this category of organisms is an important element of the marine plankton and there is good reasons to expect that the prevalence – and thereby the ecological significance – of parasites in the marine plankton is overlooked to a large extent. Thus, ignoring these parasites in ecosystem studies will bias the true picture of biological interactions and energy flow in the system. It has been argued that ecosystem model studies should include parasites (Lafferty et al. 2008), an opinion that is also valid for marine plankton ecosystems. Theoretically, this should not be problematic, but in the case of the marine plankton a couple of obstacles exist: 1) many parasitic protists are either not described or poorly known and 2) ecosystem research teams often lack the incorporation of skills needed for identification and quantification of parasites.

Most studies on the impact of parasites in marine plankton have been based on detecting parasites using traditional light microscopy, which most likely underestimates true parasite prevalence (Skovgaard and Saiz 2006). Important steps for future research will, therefore, be to gain more knowledge about these parasitic protists and to develop improved methodologies for their quantification. Specific staining of parasites (Alves-de-Souza et al. 2011) may turn out useful to quantify more efficiently and accurately the occurrence of parasites in planktonic organisms. It will be of importance, however, that such methodologies are applicable to a larger number of host organisms, and it should be possible to screen for parasites in preserved samples in order for the methods to be of broader use in ecological studies.
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