Hole Spin Coherence in a Ge/Si Heterostructure Nanowire
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ABSTRACT: Relaxation and dephasing of hole spins are measured in a gate-defined Ge/Si nanowire double quantum dot using a fast pulsed-gate method and dispersive readout. An inhomogeneous dephasing time $T_2^*$ $\sim$ 0.18 $\mu$s exceeds corresponding measurements in III–V semiconductors by more than an order of magnitude, as expected for predominately nuclear-spin-free materials. Dephasing is observed to be exponential in time, indicating the presence of a broadband noise source, rather than Gaussian, previously seen in systems with nuclear-spin-dominated dephasing.
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Realizing qubits that simultaneously provide long coherence times and fast control is a key challenge for quantum information processing. Spins in III–V semiconductor quantum dots can be electrically manipulated, but lose coherence due to interactions with nuclear spins.1–3 While dynamical decoupling and feedback have greatly improved coherence in III–V qubits,4–6 the simple approach of eliminating nuclear spins using group IV materials remains favorable. Carbon nanotubes have been investigated for this application7–10 but are difficult to work with due to uncontrolled, chirality-dependent electronic properties. So far, coherence has not been improved over III–V spin qubits.

Si devices have shown improved coherence for gate-defined electron quantum dots11–14 and for electron and nuclear spins of phosphorus donors.15–18 The Ge/Si core/shell heterostructured nanowire is an example of a predominantly zero-nuclear-spin system that is particularly tunable and scalable.19–23 Holes in Ge/Si nanowires exhibit large spin–orbit coupling24–26 a useful resource for fast, all-electrical control of single spins.10,27–30 Moving to holes should also improve coherence because the contact hyperfine interaction, though strong for electrons associated with s-orbitals, is absent for holes associated with p-orbitals.31 Indeed, a suppression of electrons associated with s-orbitals, is absent for holes in Ge/Si nanowires exhibit large spin–orbit coupling10–12 because the contact hyperfine interaction, though strong for electrons associated with s-orbitals, is absent for holes associated with p-orbitals.31

Here, we measure spin coherence times of gate-confined hole spins in a Ge/Si nanowire double quantum dot using high bandwidth electrical control and read out of the spin state. We find inhomogeneous dephasing times $T_2^*$ up to 0.18 $\mu$s, 20 times longer than in III–V semiconductors. This time scale is consistent with dephasing due to sparse $^{73}$Ge nuclear spins. The observed exponential coherence decay suggests a dephasing source with high-frequency spectral content, and we discuss a few candidate mechanisms. These results pave the way toward improved spin–orbit qubits and strong spin-cavity coupling in circuit quantum electrodynamics.33

Ge/Si core/shell nanowires host a tunable hole gas in the Ge core (Figure 1a) with typical mobility $\mu$ $\sim$ 1000 cm$^2$/V·s. In the presence of realistic external electric fields, the one-dimensional (1D) hole gas is expected to occupy a single Rashba-split subband with $\sim$1 meV spin–orbit splitting, based on theory75 and previous experiments.24,26 Fabrication of double dots with discrete hole states and measurements of spin relaxation have been reported.34,35

The device, diagrammed in Figure 1b, is fabricated on a lightly doped Si substrate. The substrate, insulating at $T$ < 10 K, is covered with HfO$_3$ using atomic layer deposition. Nanowires are deposited from methanol solution and contacted by evaporating Al following a buffered hydrofluoric acid dip. A second layer of HfO$_3$ covers the wire, and Cr/Au electrostatic gates are placed on top. These gates tune the hole density along the length of the wire. All data are obtained at temperature $T$ < 100 mK in a dilution refrigerator with external magnetic field $B$ = 0, unless otherwise noted.

Gate voltages are tuned to form a double quantum dot in the nanowire with control over charge occupancy and tunnel rates. High-bandwidth (400 MHz) plunger gates $V_L$ and $V_R$ are placed on top. These gates tune the hole density along the length of the wire. All data are obtained at temperature $T$ < 100 mK in a dilution refrigerator with external magnetic field $B$ = 0, unless otherwise noted.

Gate voltages are tuned to form a double quantum dot in the nanowire with control over charge occupancy and tunnel rates. High-bandwidth (400 MHz) plunger gates $V_L$ and $V_R$ are placed on top. These gates tune the hole density along the length of the wire. All data are obtained at temperature $T$ < 100 mK in a dilution refrigerator with external magnetic field $B$ = 0, unless otherwise noted.
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Combined with a total parasitic capacitance of 0.2 pF, this forms an LC resonance at 830 MHz with bandwidth 15 MHz. Tunneling of holes between dots or between the right dot and lead results in a capacitive load on the readout circuit, shifting its resonant frequency.36,37 The circuit response is monitored by applying near-resonant excitation to the readout circuit and recording changes in the reflected voltage, \( V_{RF} \), after amplification at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \) and demodulation using a 90° power splitter and two mixers at room temperature.

The charge stability diagram of the double dot is measured by monitoring \( V_{RF} \) at fixed frequency while slowly sweeping \( V_L \) and \( V_R \) (Figure 1d). Lines are observed whenever single holes are transferred to or from the right dot. Transitions between the left dot and left lead are below the noise floor (not visible) because the LC circuit is attached to the right lead. Enhanced signal is observed at the triple points, where tunneling is energetically allowed across the entire device. The observed “honeycomb” pattern is consistent with that of a capacitively coupled double quantum dot.39

The charging energies for the left and right dots are estimated 1.7 and 2.7 meV from Figure 1d, using a plunger lever arm of 0.3 eV/V, determined from finite bias measurements on similar devices.34 The few-hole regime was accessible only in the right dot, identified by an increase in charging energy. On the basis of the location of the few-hole regime in the right dot, we estimate the left and right hole occupations to be 70 and 10 at the studied tuning. We found that operating in the many-hole regime improved device stability, facilitating gate tuning and readout. We do not know if this affects the quality of the qubit, as recently found for electron spins in GaAs.40

The spin state of the double dot is in the \((m + 2, n + 1)\) charge state, assuming that \((m, n)\) paired holes occupy lower orbitals in the right (dot) dot. Pulsing to \( P \) ("prepare") in \((m + 1, n + 1)\) discards one hole from the left dot, leaving the spin state of the double dot in a random mixture of singlet and triplet states. Moving to M ("measure") adjusts the energy detuning between the dots, making interdot tunneling favorable. When M is located at zero detuning, \( \varepsilon = 0 \), tunneling is allowed for singlet but Pauli-blocked for triplet states. When M is at the singlet–triplet splitting, \( \varepsilon = \Delta_{ST} \), triplet states can tunnel. The location of the interdot charge transition therefore reads out the spin state of the double dot. We expect this picture to be valid for multihole dots with an effective spin-(1/2) ground state.35,40–43 We use singlet–triplet terminology for clarity but note that strong spin–orbit coupling changes the spin makeup of the blockaded states without destroying Pauli blockade.43

The fast pulse sequence \( E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_1 \) is repeated continuously while rastering the position of \( M = (V_L, V_R) \) near the \((m + 1, n + 1) \rightarrow (m + 2, n)\) charge transition (Figure 2). The RF carrier is applied only at the measurement point, M. As shown in Figure 1d, features with negative slope are observed corresponding to transitions across the right barrier. We interpret the weak interdot transition at zero detuning accompanied by a relatively strong interdot feature at large detuning as Pauli blockade of the ground-state interdot transition \( (\varepsilon = 0) \), and lifting of blockade at the singlet–triplet splitting \( (\varepsilon = \Delta_{ST}) \). The strength of the \( \varepsilon = 0 \) interdot transition thus measures the probability of loading a singlet at point P, while the strength at \( \varepsilon = \Delta_{ST} \) measures the probability of loading a triplet. As a control, the Pauli blockade pulse sequence was run in the opposite direction, and no blockade was observed (see Supporting Information).

Spin relaxation is measured by varying the dwell time \( \tau_M \) at the measurement point for the counterclockwise Pauli-blockade sequence. As \( \tau_M \) increases the triplet transition weakens and the singlet transition strengthens [Figure 3(a,b)] due to triplet-to-singlet spin relaxation. Note that these relaxation processes have different charge characters at different measurement points. For example, at \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the initial charge state is \((m+1, n\)

Figure 2. Spin readout using Pauli blockade. \( V_{RF} \) at the measurement point \( M = (V_L, V_R) \) of the pulse sequence indicated by white arrows. Dashed lines estimate changes in double dot hole occupancy \((m, n)\), where \( m (n) \) denotes the occupancy of the left (right) dot. Large solid triangle outlines the region over which direct interdot charge transitions occur. The interdot transition at \( \varepsilon = 0 \) (marked by a red line) is weak due to Pauli blockade of triplet states, illustrated in the red diagram. The interdot transition at \( \varepsilon = \Delta_{ST} \) (marked by a blue line) is strong due to tunneling of triplet states, illustrated in the blue diagram.
where \( \epsilon = \Delta_{ST} \) is the detuning. The initial charge state is hybridized with \( (m+2, n) \).

The \( T_1 \) spin relaxation time is measured by analyzing a cut along the \( V_L \) axis (shown in Figure 3b) and varying \( \tau_M \). For each \( \tau_M \), the cut is fit to the sum of two Lorentzians with equal widths and constant spacing. The heights are \( V_p^{(S)}(\tau_M) \) for the singlet and \( V_p^{(S)}(\tau_M) \) for the triplet peak. Two example cuts are shown in Figure 5c, along with fits to exponential forms:

\[
V_p^{(S)}(\tau_M) = \frac{1}{4} V_0^{(S)} \left[ 4 - 3p(\tau_M, T_1^{(S)}) \right]
\]

\[
V_p^{(T)}(\tau_M) = \frac{3}{4} V_0^{(T)} p(\tau_M, T_1^{(T)})
\]

where \( p(\tau_M, T_1) = \left( 1/\tau_M \right) \int_0^\infty e^{-t/T_1} dt \) is the exponential decay averaged over the measurement time. Figure 3d plots the readout visibility, \( I^{(ST)} = V_p^{(ST)}/V_0^{(ST)} \), and the center of the left Lorentzian de detuning, \( V_p^{(S)}(\tau_M) \). The extracted relaxation times are \( \mu \) s, and \( \Delta \) ms zero detuning, \( \epsilon \) region.

To investigate spin dephasing, an alternate pulse sequence is used that first initializes the system into a singlet state at \( (m + 2, n) \) at point \( P \), then separates to point \( S \) ("separate") in \( (m + 1, n + 1) \) for a time \( \tau_s \) (Figure 4a). The spin state of the double dot is measured at \( M \) by pulsing back toward \( (m + 2, n) \). For short \( \tau_s \) (Figure 4a) a strong singlet return feature is observed, consistent with negligible spin dephasing. For long \( \tau_s \) (Figure 4b), a strong triplet return feature is observed, consistent with complete spin dephasing.

The \( T_2^* \) dephasing time is found by measuring \( V_{RF}(\tau_s) \) at the triplet transition, and plotting the normalized differential voltage \( \Delta v \equiv [V_{RF}(\tau_s) - V_m]/[V_{RF}(0) - V_m] \) as a function of separation time (Figure 4c). Here, \( V_{RF}(0) \) (500 ns) is the demodulated voltage for a pulse sequence with long dephasing time. The quantity \( \mu \equiv [V_{RF}(\tau_s) - V_m] \) is directly measured by alternating between the \( T_2^* \) sequence and a reference sequence with long dephasing time and feeding the demodulated voltage into a lock-in amplifier. Fitting the \( B = 0 \) data to \( \exp[\{-\tau_s / T_2^*(\alpha)\}] \) yields \( \alpha = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \). Figure 4c shows experimental fits (\( \alpha = 1 \)) for both data sets. The \( B = 0 \) data decays exponentially on a time scale \( T_2^* \) = 0.18 \( \mu \) s. Data acquired at \( B = 1 \) \( T \) at a different double-dot occupation give a similar time scale and functional form.

Although this time scale is approaching the limit expected for dephasing due to random Zeeman gradients from sparse \(^7\)Ge nuclear spins (see Supporting Information), the observed exponential loss of coherence is by and large unexpected for nuclei. A low-frequency-dominated nuclear bath is expected to yield a Gaussian falloff of coherence with time, which is in contrast to the observed exponential dependence, which instead indicates a rapidly varying bath. Nuclei can produce...
high-bandwidth noise in the presence of spatially varying effective magnetic fields, for example, due to inhomogeneous strain-induced quadrupolar interactions.\textsuperscript{49} The similarity of data at $B = 0$ and $B = 1$ T in Figure 4c, however, would indicate an unusually large energy-scale for nuclear effects. Electrical noise, most likely from the sample itself, combined with spin–orbit coupling is a plausible alternative. For electrons, the ubiquitous $1/f$ electrical noise alone does not result in pure dephasing,\textsuperscript{50} but can add high-frequency noise to the low-frequency contribution from the nuclear bath. It is conceivable that the behavior is different for holes, but this has not been studied to our knowledge. The relative importance of nuclei versus electrical noise could be quantified in future experiments by studying spin coherence in isotopically pure Ge/Si nanowires.

Cuts along the $V_f$ axis in Figure 4b as a function of $\tau_0$ provide a second method for obtaining $T_2^*$, following analysis along the lines of Figure 3c. The resulting probability $P(S,T) = V_p^{(S,T)}/V_0^{(S,T)}$ versus $\tau_0$ is shown in Figure 4d, along with exponential curves

$$V_p^{(S)}(\tau_0) = P_\infty^{(S)} V_0^{(S)} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P_\infty} \right) e^{-\tau_0/T_2^*} \right]$$

$$V_p^{(T)}(\tau_0) = (1 - P_\infty) V_0^{(T)} \left[ 1 - e^{-\tau_0/T_2^*} \right]$$

using $T_2^* = 0.18$ µs, with $P_\infty$ and $V_0^{(S,T)}$ as fit parameters. Depending on the nature of the dephasing, the singlet probability settling value, $P_\infty$, is expected to range from 1/3 for quasi-static Zeeman gradients to 1/4 for rapidly varying baths.\textsuperscript{51–53} We find $P_\infty = 0.25 \pm 0.08$. Equations 3 and 4 do not take into account spin relaxation at the measurement point, meaning that the fitted $P_\infty$ systematically overestimates the true settling value.\textsuperscript{54} Therefore, we conclude that the data weakly support $P_\infty = 1/4$ rather than $P_\infty = 1/3$, consistent with our inference of a rapidly varying bath.

Unexplained high-frequency noise has recently been observed in other strong spin–orbit systems, such as InAs nanowires,\textsuperscript{28} InSb nanowires,\textsuperscript{30} and carbon nanotubes.\textsuperscript{10} In these systems, slowly varying nuclear effects were removed using dynamical decoupling, revealing the presence of unexplained high-frequency noise. In our system, the effect of nuclei is reduced by the choice of material, and an unexplained high-frequency noise source appears directly in the $T_2^*$. These similarities suggest the existence of a shared dephasing mechanism that involves spin–orbit coupling.

Future qubits based on Ge/Si wires could be coupled capacitively\textsuperscript{33,35} or through a cavity using circuit quantum electrodynamics.\textsuperscript{33,45} In the latter case, the long dephasing times measured here suggest that the strong coupling regime may be accessible.
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