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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Salmonellae* are food-borne pathogens of great health and economic importance. To pose a threat to humans, *Salmonellae* normally have to cope with a series of stressful conditions in the food chain, including low temperature. In the current study, we evaluated the importance of the Clp proteolytic complex and the carbon starvation protein, CsrA, for the ability of *Salmonella* Typhimurium to grow at low temperature.

**Results:** A *clpP* mutant was severely affected in growth and formed pin point colonies at 10°C. Contrary to this, *rpoS* and *clpP/rpoS* mutants were only slightly affected. The *clpP* mutant formed cold resistant suppressor mutants at a frequency of 2.5 × 10⁻³ and these were found not to express RpoS. Together these results indicated that the impaired growth of the *clpP* mutant was caused by high level of RpoS. Evaluation by microscopy of the *clpP* mutant revealed that it formed filamentous cells when grown at 10°C, and this phenotype too, disappeared when *rpoS* was mutated in parallel indicating a RpoS-dependency. A *csrA* (sup) mutant was also growth attenuated at low temperature. An *rpoS/csrA* (sup) double mutant was also growth attenuated, indicating that the phenotype of the *csrA* mutant was independent from RpoS.

**Conclusions:** The cold sensitivity of *clpP* mutant was associated with increased levels of RpoS and probably caused by toxic levels of RpoS. Although a *csrA* mutant also accumulated high level of RpoS, growth impairment caused by lack of *csrA* was not related to RpoS levels in a similar way.
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**Background**

Low temperature is one of the most extensively used methods to inhibit growth of pathogens and spoilage microorganisms, either in the form of rapid chilling or as long-term storages at cooling temperatures. The low temperatures cause decreases membrane fluidity and stabilizes secondary structures of RNA and DNA in the bacteria, which compromises membrane functions and cause a reduced efficiency in DNA replication, transcription and translation (Reviewed by Phadtare [1], Wouters *et al.*, [2]; Ramos *et al.*, [3]; Gualerzi *et al.*, [4] and Phadtare *et al.* [5]).

A number of stressful conditions can cause damage to and misfolding of proteins, and this has been shown to pose a threat to the bacterium. Degradation of abnormal proteins is dependent on proteases such as Lon and the Clp proteolytic complex [6]. The latter consists of the ClpP protease subunits where degradation takes place coupled with ClpX or ClpA ATPase/chaperone subunits responsible for substrate recognition, unfolding of proteins and translocation into the ClpP protease (reviewed by Gottesman [7]). Although misfolding of proteins is not a prominent feature of stress caused by temperature down shift [1], *Staphylococcus aureus* carrying mutations in the *clpP* and *clpX* genes are severely affected in formation of colonies at 17°C [8]. *clpP* is likewise essential for acclimation to growth below optimal temperature in other bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [9].
and the cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* [10]. In *Bacillus thuringiensis*, the cell morphology is affected as *clpP1* mutants form filamentous cells at low temperatures indicating that ClpP1 is essential for cell separation [11]. In Gram negative bacteria, ClpP has been shown to be essential for virulence in both *Helicobacter pylori* and *Salmonella enterica* [12,13], and deletion cause excess flagella production in *Salmonella* [14]. The amount of ClpP protein increases in *E. coli* during growth at 6 or 8°C, when compared to 15°C [15], which could imply a role in adaptation to cold environments, however, in general the role of this protease during adaptation to low temperature in Gram-negative bacteria remains unknown.

*Salmonella* is an important Gram-negative pathogen that causes gastroenteritis in humans and has major economic importance due to medical costs, lost productivity and recall of produce [16]. Human infections are predominantly caused by contaminated food and to pose a threat to humans, *Salmonella* has to pass and survive in the cooling processes of the food chain [17]. Based on the role of ClpP in cold shock adaptation in Gram-positive bacteria, this study hypothesized that ClpP is essential for growth and survival of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) at low temperatures. As the ClpP proteolytic complex, among other important functions, regulates the level of the stationary sigma factor, RpoS [18], an investigation of the importance of *rpoS* was performed in parallel. This enabled us to distinguish between the proteolytic effect of ClpP on misfolded proteins, and how this affected growth at low temperature, and the indirect effect of ClpP caused through degradation of RpoS.

Similar to the *clpP* mutant, we have previously shown that a mutant in the carbon starvation regulator protein gene, *csrA*, cause accumulation of high levels of RpoS [13]. Since we demonstrate in the current study that high level of RpoS in a *clpP* mutant appears to affect growth at low temperature, we hypothesised that a *csrA* mutant in a similar way would be growth attenuated, and included an investigation of this gene as well.

**Result and discussion**

A *clpP* mutant is impaired for growth at low temperature

Growth of the *clpP* mutant was impaired on LB agar at 10°C (Figure 1A), whereas colony formation was delayed but resulted in normal size colonies at 15 and 21°C (Figure 1A). The temperature of 10°C was selected to represent the lower part of the temperature growth range of *S. Typhimurium* and still allow growth experiments to be carried out within a reasonable time. With increasing incubation time at 10°C, two growth phenotypes of the *clpP* mutant appeared: normal sized colonies and pin-point colonies. To test if the pin-point colonies were just small due to longer doubling time, the plate with the *clpP* mutant was transferred to 37°C after 12 days at 10°C, grown overnight and compared with wild type strain that had also grown overnight. Normal sized colonies were formed and the cell density corresponded to the wild
type strain (Figure 1B). This showed that the clpP mutant was able to restore normal growth even after a long period at 10°C.

The lag phase of the wild type C5 strain was 2.04 ± 0.66 days when grown in LB broth at 10°C, whereas the clpP mutant had a significantly longer lag phase of 9.97 ± 1.94 days ($p = 0.002$) (Figure 2A). The growth rate of the clpP mutant in exponential phase was 0.45 ± 0.03 days, which was a 29% reduction compared to the wildtype.

The maximal density of the clpP mutant (8.29 log10 CFU/ml) was comparable to that of the wild type (8.74 log10 CFU/ml) after prolonged incubation (Figure 2B).

To sustain that these phenotypes were not caused by secondary mutations, a wild-type clpP allele was re-introduced into the clpP mutant. Normal growth was restored by this complementation as neither growth rate nor lag phase were significantly altered compared to the wild type ($p = 0.66$ and $p = 0.74$; Figure 2A).

Normal size colonies of the clpP mutants were observed at 10°C with a frequency of $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ calculated as the difference in CFU count between normal sized colonies at 37°C and 10°C. By PCR, these were confirmed to contain the 240 bp deletion in the clpP gene and repeated growth at 10°C on LB agar plated confirmed a wild-type cold phenotype (data not shown). Based on the stability of the phenotype at 10°C and the presence of the deletion in the clpP gene, the colonies were assumed to be cold-resistant clpP suppressor-mutants. After growth at 10°C in liquid culture followed by spread on LB-agar at 37°C, 12 colonies were randomly selected, confirmed for the presence of the clpP mutation by PCR and regrown at 10°C on LB agar plates. They all had normal wild-type growth pattern indicating that cold-resistant suppressor mutants ended up dominating the planktonic culture at 10°C (data not shown).

**Impaired growth of the clpP mutant at low temperature is associated with high levels of RpoS**

Levels of RpoS increase in *E. coli* at low temperature. This is due to an increase in the expression of the untranslated

---

**Figure 2** Effect of ClpP, RpoS and CsrA on growth in LB at 10°C. Overnight cultures were diluted 1000-fold in LB and incubated at 10°C without aeration. Growth was measured by enumeration on LB agar at 37°C. A) Growth of C5 (■, full line), clpP mutant (▲, full line) and clpP+ mutant (▲, broken line). B) Growth of C5 (■, full line), clpP mutant (▲, full line) for extend period. One biological replicate are shown. C) Growth of C5 (■, full line), rpoS mutant (▲, full line), clpP/rpoS mutant (♦, full line) and clpP+/rpoS mutant (♦, broken line). D) Growth of C5 (■, full line), csrA sup mutant (▲, full line), clpP/ csrA sup (♦, broken line), clpP/rpoS/ csrA sup mutant (♦, broken line) and clpP/rpoS/ csrA sup (♦, broken line). The results are average of three independent biological replicates and SD are shown except rpoS/ csrA sup and clpP/ rpoS/ csrA sup that were performed twice and csrA sup that were performed once.
mRNA dsrA, which activates RpoS translation and cause induced expression of RpoS-dependent genes such as bolA [19]. Since RpoS is a substrate for the ClpXP proteolytic complex [18], mutation in clpP also leads to increased levels of RpoS [13]. Thus, we hypothesized that the increased RpoS levels caused by the cold temperature and the absence of RpoS degradation by ClpP proteolytic complex was responsible for the impaired growth of the clpP mutant. We therefore compared the growth of an rpoS and a double clpP/rpoS mutant to that of the clpP mutant. Both the rpoS mutant and the clpP/rpoS mutant grew at all temperatures tested and formed colonies similar to the wild type (Figure 1A). The lag phase of the rpoS and clpP/rpoS mutants were not significantly different from the wild type (p = 0.33 and 0.81) and growth rate did not differ, too (p = 0.74 and 0.0.94) (Figure 2C). This indicate that RpoS is not needed for growth of S. Typhimurium at low temperature and also that the growth attenuation at low temperature seen with the clpP mutant most likely was related to high levels of RpoS. Consistent with our observation, RpoS is not essential for growth at low temperature in E. coli in neither rich nor minimal medium [19]. The exact reason for the toxicity due to increased levels of RpoS in the clpP mutant remains elusive. A broad look at the effect, particularly on the RpoS regulon, can be obtained by use of global gene expression analysis, for example using DNA array, and such investigations are needed.

If our hypothesis that the high levels of RpoS were responsible for the growth defect in the clpP mutant at 10°C was correct, it was likely that the cold-resistant clpP suppressor mutants would have lower levels of RpoS than the clpP mutant. The cold-resistant clpP suppressor mutants from three independent experiments were tested by Western blot analysis for RpoS levels, and in five out of six strains with suppressor phenotype isolated from three different experiments, no RpoS was detected (Figure 3A). The sixth cold-resistant clpP suppressor mutant grew at low temperature and yet showed normal levels of RpoS. We do not currently have any explanation for this, and further studies are needed to investigate whether RpoS is actually functioning in this strain. As we saw the expected results in five out of six mutants, we considered this outside the scope of the current investigation. Genome sequencing of all the cold-resistant clpP suppressor-mutants would informative and are needed to identify which mutations that are the cause the suppressor mutants phenotype. Temperature down shift was shown to increase the RpoS level in the wild-type strain, and as expected, RpoS levels were higher in the clpP mutant than in the wild-type strain (Figure 3A and B).

RpoS levels at low temperature in Salmonella has not previously been investigated, however, the lack of a growth phenotype in the rpoS mutant in the current study corresponds well with previous results, showing that an rpoS mutant of S. Typhimurium SL1344 was only slightly sensitive to low temperature [20]. In contrast to results from Listeria monocytogenes, where clpP is expressed at elevated level when grown at 10°C [21],

![Figure 3](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2180/14/208)
temperature downshift did not cause increased clpP transcription in S. Typhimurium (data not shown), and we interpret this as a further indication that the effect of ClpP deletion on growth at a low temperature is indirect, i.e. caused by too high levels of RpoS.

The csrA gene is essential for growth at low temperature independent of clpP and rpoS

The csrA gene was first identified in a screen of factors affecting glycogen accumulation [22], and a csrA mutant accumulates high amounts of glycogen [23]. More recently, it was found that glycogen accumulation is involved in protection against environmental stress similar to other sugar components [24]. The csrA system has been found to be important for numerous cell functions affecting virulence, motility and stress adaptation [25-27], and both deletion and over-expression of this gene have been shown to affect the cell morphology in Legionella pneumophila and E. coli [22,28,29]. Mutation of csrA causes severe growth defects at 37°C and suppressor mutants arise spontaneously [30,31]. To overcome the uncertainty of working with a mixed population of original and spontaneous suppressor mutants, we have previously chosen to work with a ΔcsrA::kan suppressor mutant [13], and the same well-characterized suppressor mutant was used in the present study.

The csrA (sup) mutant was severely impaired in colony formation on LB agar already at 21°C (Figure 1A) as well as during growth in LB broth at 10°C (Figure 2D). This phenotype could be reversed by complementation of the csrA gene (Figure 2D) and further by using an arabinose inducible promoter (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Unlike the clpP/rpoS double mutant, the rpoS/ csrA (sup) mutant did not grow at 21°C nor at lower temperatures (Figure 1A), indicating that the csrA gene was essential for growth at low temperature independent from RpoS levels. Growth of the clpP/ csrA mutant was similarly impaired, however, the ability of this strain to grow at a low temperature increased slightly compared to the csrA (sub) mutant (growth possible at 21°C and a 15°C). This improvement disappeared when rpoS was mutated in addition to clpP and csrA (Figures 1 and 2). As both the mutation in clpP and csrA cause increased RpoS level, one could have expected growth to be more affected.

We investigated if the level of RpoS was increased in the double mutant. As previously reported [13], the RpoS level was increased both in the clpP and csrA mutants at 37°C, and further it increased when transferred to 15°C for 3 h (Figure 3B). The RpoS protein detected in the clpP/ csrA mutant, however, was clearly larger when compared to the protein of the wild type and single mutants, indicating changes in the protein. We propose that RpoS does not function correctly in this strain, and that this allow the strain to cope with the mutations. Since we observed an elevated level of RpoS protein with apparent normal size in the csrA (sup) mutant, the negative growth effect of RpoS is likely to be present in this strain too. However, the growth defect caused by lack of CsrA appears to be stronger since the double mutant remains severely growth affected.

Expression of csrA is increased during growth at 15°C

To get further insight into the essential role of csrA at low temperature, we investigated whether this gene was expressed at elevated levels at low temperatures. Expression of clpP was included as a control, and the expression of this gene was not altered after a temperature downshift to 15°C compared to 37°C (data not shown). In contrast, the expression of csrA was increased several fold in the wild type and clpP mutants, both at 3 and 19 hours after the temperature downshift (Figure 3C). This supports that CsrA plays a specific role in adaptation to growth at low temperature. In the rpoS mutant after 3 hours, and in the clpP/ rpoS double mutant after both 3 and 19 hours, expression of csrA was lower than in the other strains tested. After 3 hours, the level in the double mutant corresponded to the level in the rpoS mutant. csrA expression is controlled by RpoS at 37°C [13], and the results are consistent with this also being the case at 10°C. Why the control appears to be lost after 19 hours in the single mutant is currently unknown, but it suggest that another mechanism steps in at this time point.

CsrA has previously been shown to be important for induction of the typical heat shock response in Helicobacter pylori [32]. Combined with our results, this could indicate that the CsrA protein is involved in temperature-dependent regulation both at high and low temperature, however, this has to be further investigated.

clpP-mutation causes formation of filamentous cells in an RpoS dependent manner

Growth by elongation of cells with incomplete separation is important in relation to food safety. Rapid completion of separation occur when filamentous cells, produced during chilling, are transferred to 37°C, and a more than 200-fold increase in cell number can be found within four hours [33]. S. Enteritidis wild-type strains with normal RpoS level have previously been reported to produce filaments up to 150 μm at 10°C whereas strains with impaired RpoS expression are only up to 35 μm long [33,34].

Microscopic examination of cultures grown at 10°C and 15°C showed that the clpP mutant formed long filamentous cells (Figure 4A) similar to what is seen for the B. thuringiensis clpP1 mutant at 25°C [11]. In contrast, the wild type (Figure 4B) and the clpP mutant complemented with wild-type clpP allele (Figure 4C) formed cells of normal size; however, slightly longer at day 12
compared to a 37°C overnight cultures. The clpP/rpoS mutant lacked filament formation (Figure 4D).

By following the development of the clpP mutant during the growth experiment at 10°C, it was found that the length of the filaments formed by the clpP mutant increased over time and by day 10 only filamentous cells were observed. After this time point, the cell size became more heterogeneous in the population (data not shown). Electron microscopy of the clpP mutant revealed that at this stage the filaments were like cocktail sausages on a string (Figure 4E) indicating that septum formation had started but could not be completed.

The fact that only the clpP mutant of S. Typhimurium with high levels of RpoS formed filament at 10°C and 15°C, whereas the wild-type and the clpP/rpoS mutated strains showed normal cell size, indicates that filament formation is associated high levels of RpoS in S. Typhimurium. A possible explanation relates to the level of the cell division protein FtsZ, which is reported to be controlled by RpoS in E. coli [35], and to be a substrate for the ClpXP proteolytic complex [36,37]. Further studies such as transcriptomic or proteomic analysis comparing the expression/protein profile of FtsZ in the wild type to expression in clpP, clpP/rpoS and csrA mutants are needed to further investigate the cold response.

**Conclusions**

The findings presented in this report demonstrate new phenotypes related to the ClpP protease and the CsrA protein during growth at low temperatures. Although mutants in both genes accumulate high levels of RpoS, the mechanisms for lack of growth seem to be different. The results indicate that CsrA is essential for adaptation to growth at low temperature, in its own right, whereas the impaired growth of the clpP mutant is associated with the effect of elevated RpoS levels.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Overnight cultures were grown aerobically in LB broth, Lennox (Oxoid) at 37°C with agitation and stored in LB broth containing 15% glycerol at −80°C. To prepare cultures, frozen stock cultures were inoculated on LB agar and grown at 37°C overnight. Antibiotics (Sigma) were used when appropriate in the following concentrations: 50 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin, 50 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin, 20 μg ml⁻¹ streptomycin and 100 μg ml⁻¹ spectomycin.

To investigate growth in broth, overnight cultures were diluted 5000-fold and incubated at 37°C with agitation. Growth was measured by optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). To investigate growth on solid agar at low temperature, cells were grown until OD₆₀₀ 0.4. Ten μl of 10-fold dilution of the cultures were spotted on LB agar and incubated at different temperatures: 10, 15, 21, 25, 30, 37 and 42°C. Growth in LB broth at 10°C was investigated by making a 10-fold dilution of the cultures and spotting of 10−1 dilutions on LB agar. To estimate the number of clpP cold suppressor mutants, serial dilutions of mutant and wild-type bacteria were plated on LB agar and incubated in parallel at 10 and 37°C. The growth parameters were estimated by the Baranyi growth equation [40] using the Excel macro DMFit (http://www.ifr.ac.uk/safety/dmfit). The average and standard deviation between the biological replicates were determined in Microsoft Excel.

**Microscopic investigation**

Bacterial morphology was studied by phase contrast microscopy and by electron microscopy. Bacterial cultures for microscopy were grown as described above at low
temperature. A drop of cultures were applied directly to microscope slides and observed by phase-contrast microscopy with a Zeiss AxioPlan2 Microscope. For electron microscopy, bacterial cultures were grown in LB broth at 12°C. A drop of LB broth was placed onto 800-mesh copper grid, and excess liquid was removed after 10 min by filter paper. The grid was stained with 1% aqueous phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.0) for 60 s. The grid was examined with a transmission electron microscope Philips EM2085. Both for phase contrast and electron microscopy concentration by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 2 min and the pellet was stored at −80°C until analysis. Cell pellets were suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF) and lysed by FastPrep FP120 instrument (BIO101, ThermoSavent) by 5 rounds of 30 second at speed 6.5. The protein concentration was determined by using a Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and 5 μg of each sample was separated on NuPAGE 4 to 12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) using MOPS buffer (Invitrogen). The gels were stained with Coomassie blue using Safe-stain (Invitrogen) to check for equal amounts of protein or transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Invitrogen) using an XCell SureLock Mini-Cell system (Invitrogen) as recommended by the supplier. RpoS was detected using E. coli RpoS monoclonal antibodies (NeoClone Biotechnology) at a 1:1000 dilution and the WesternBreeze Chemiluminescent Anti-Mouse kit (Invitrogen).

RNA purification and dot blotting
For transcriptional analysis, RNA was purified from exponential grown and cold-shocked cells as described for Western blot analysis. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 2 min and the pellet was stored at −80°C. RNA purification was performed using RNeasy Mini kit as described by Thomsen et al. [41]. RNA was quantified by measuring absorbance at 260 nm and quality was verified by 260 nm/280 nm as well as RNA was run on a agarose gel. Five μg of total RNA was loaded on the gel, and controlled for equal amounts loaded by staining with ethidium bromide. Three μg of total RNA were denatured as described by Frees et al. [42] and used for Dot blotting using a Minifold (Schleicher & Schuell) as described by Sambrook et al. [43] with minor modifications. Hybridization probes were generated by PCR from chromosomal DNA of S. Typhimurium C5 using specific primers for the clpP (5′-atgctacagcggagaagc and 5′-agattgaccgctatgctgg) genes. The probes were labelled with [α-32P]dCTP, and hybridization was visualized with a STORM 840 Phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics).

PCR for detection of the clpP and rpoS genes
PCR for detection of the rpoS gene including a 600 bp upstream and 30 bp down-stream region of the gene
was performed by standard procedures [43] with the following primers RpoS_F2 (5′-atggagctctggtaa) and RpoS_R2 (5′-cattgagacgatctc). PCR for detection of clpP was performed using the primers ClpP-B1 (5′-attgagctctgtgctgacatagt-3′) and ClpP-H1 (5′-cc tgaacctggccagtctgtgta-3′).

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Complementation of the csrA (sup) mutant for growth at 10°C by introduction of the csrA gene in trans (pCA132) and further by using an arabinose inducible promoter (pC114 arabinose).
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