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Abstract: We generated monoclonal scFv (single chain variable fragment) antibodies from an antibody phage display library towards three small synthetic peptides derived from the sequence of αs1-casein. Key difficulties for selection of scFv-phages against small peptides were addressed. Small peptides do not always bind efficiently to passive adsorption surfaces, and we developed a simple method to quantify the binding capacity of surfaces with the peptides. Background binding (the binding of scFvs to the background matrix) is an obstacle for successful selection, and we evaluated two methods that drastically reduced the background binding. An optimized method therefore enabled a panning procedure where the specific (peptide binding) scFv-phages were always dominant. Using 15-mer peptides immobilized on Nunc Immobilizer Streptavidin plates, we successfully generated scFvs specifically against them. The scFvs were sequenced and characterized, and specificity was characterized by ELISA. The methods developed in this study are universally applicable for antibody phage display to efficiently produce antibody fragments against small peptides.

Keywords: Phage display, scFv, Tomlinson I + J libraries, casein, peptide.

INTRODUCTION

Anti-peptide antibodies or antibody derivates have a number of uses in biological research and bioassay, which can be applied for the identification, quantification and purification of proteins containing the peptide sequence chosen [1-3]. Due to the precise epitope location on native proteins recognized by anti-peptide antibodies, some investigators used them for the specific applications, such as to block adherence of cells [4], to inhibit enzyme activity [5, 6], to detect receptor binding region of target proteins [7], and to detect proteolysis [8, 9]. Compared to anti-protein antibodies, anti-peptide antibodies are more useful for protein-protein interaction study, because peptide antigen sequences are already defined and antibody sequences can be simply obtained by sequencing of monoclonal antibody genes. The antibodies directly produced by using peptides as antigens also save much time for epitope mapping.

The conventional method for producing antibodies is called hybridoma technology [10], by which monoclonal antibodies are obtained from immunized animals with a purified antigen. The methods for producing antibodies have been revolutionized in the last decades. The manipulation of genes encoding antibodies allowed to successfully construct antibody derivates, which retain full antigen binding function, e.g. single-chain variable antibody fragment (scFv) [11]. Functional scFv expressed from a single cDNA sequence can be produced efficiently in bacteria without the need to immunize animals [12]. Since the pioneering work of Smith [13] and development by Mccaafferty et al. [14], the expression of scFvs on the surface of phage and panning of these phage libraries against target antigen has matured into an extensively used technique to produce recombinant antibodies for research purposes and for the development of therapeutics [15, 16]. The production and selection of scFvs on phage surface is much faster compared to conventional hybridoma technique. The availability of large and diverse antibody gene repertoires in phage [17, 18] has provided a source of scFvs to almost any antigens. The antibodies produced by hybridoma technology as animal antibodies immunogenic for human cannot be directly used as therapeutic agents, whereas human antibody libraries can be established on the phage surface [19, 20]. Furthermore, affinity maturation (increasing the affinity and specificity) of recombinant antibodies is applicable and simple by using antibody phage libraries [21, 22].

The primary aim of this study was to select antibodies (scFvs) against small peptide fragments by antibody phage display. These peptide sequences traverse cleavage sites of different enzymes responsible for cheese ripening. The selected antibodies can subsequently be utilized in studies of cheese ripening, as the antibodies will bind to the intact peptide sequence, but after cleavage by enzymes, the antibody can no longer recognize the substrate.

Selection of scFv-phage libraries against big proteins is rather straightforward. In contrast, selection against small peptides has two primary challenges: (1) Efficient immobilization of peptides on solid surface, (2) Reduction of background binding scFv-phages. Background binding is the binding of scFvs to the background matrix (e.g., blocking proteins, or empty solid surface). In addition, as our target antigens are fragments from milk (αs1-CN), the most popular blocking reagent, skim milk solution, was not applicable. In this study we solved the above difficulties and succeeded in generating scFv-phages against synthetic peptide fragments from αs1-CN by selection of Tomlinson I + J scFv-phage libraries.

MATERIALS & METHODS

scFv-Phage Library, Bacteria, and Reagents

The Human Single Fold scFv libraries I + J (Tomlinson I + J), E. coli TG1 and KM13 helper phage [23] were kindly...
Table 1. The Selected Peptides from α_{s-CN}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>MW (g/mol)</th>
<th>Amino Acid Residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>α_{s-CN} f17–31</td>
<td>NENLLRFVFAPFPEV</td>
<td>1790.91</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>α_{s-CN} f150–163</td>
<td>FRQFYQLDAYPSGA</td>
<td>1661.77</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>α_{s-CN} f185–199</td>
<td>PIGSENSEKTTMPLW</td>
<td>1591.72</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

provided by MRC Centre (Cambridge, UK). All types of 96 well microplates were from Nunc A/S (Roskilde, Denmark). The HRP/anti-M13 phage conjugated antibody was from GE Healthcare. Unless otherwise stated, all the other commonly used reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Introduction to Tomlinson I + J Libraries

Tomlinson I + J libraries are synthetic libraries displayed on M13 filamentous phage. 18 different amino acid positions in the antigen-binding sites are mutated to construct the highly diverse repertoire [24]. Two different mutation strategies result in library sizes of 1.47×10^8 (Library I) and 1.37×10^10 (Library J) [24]. The scFv-phage in Tomlinson I + J libraries is monomeric, which in practice means that only one copy of scFv is attached on each phage [25]. The bound phage can be easily eluted, because the c-myc epitope tag cleavable by trypsin is introduced between the scFv and pIII (phage coat protein) [26]. A successful multiplication of scFv-phages in the host requires the presence of a helper phage. The KM13 helper phage has another trypsin cleavage site in the engineered pIII, which renders KM13 non-infective after trypsin treatment [23], whereas the scFv-pIII fusion loses scFv protein but remains infective. The non-specific binding population in eluted phage is therefore dramatically reduced by trypsin treatment compared with the traditional eluting method (e.g., triethylamine eluting) in phage display panning [26, 27].

Synthetic Peptides

Three peptides were selected from α_{s-CN} sequence (Table I) named F1, F2 and F3, respectively. The peptides (including the biotinylated) were synthesized at 80% purity by JPT Peptide Technologies GmbH, Berlin, Germany.

E. coli TG1 growth and scFv-phage production is 2×TY media [16 g/l Tryptone (hydrolyzed caseins), 10 g/l yeast Extract, 5 g/l NaCl]. We replaced T/1 yeast Extract, 5 g/l NaCl. We replaced Tryptone with Peptone (Bacto), hereafter named PY medium. This replacement did not affect the growth (results not shown). The reason for the replacement was that we wanted to select scFvs against casein fragments, and Tryptone is a hydrolysate of casein, whereas Peptone is hydrolysate of animal tissue.

Immobilization Mechanism of Different Solid Surfaces

Immo-Amino incorporates amine reactive moieties that react with nucleophiles (e.g. primary amines and thiols) of proteins/peptides and thereby causes covalent immobilization. Immo-Strep utilises the strong interaction between streptavidin and biotinylated specific antigens. Because the basic material of these reactive surfaces is Polysorp, intermolecular attraction forces caused by hydrophobic binding can also happen (Nunc Technical Bulletin No. 6, Nunc Tech Note Vol. 6 No. 41 and 43). Maxisorp is a passive adsorption surface without reactive moieties.

Immobilization of Peptides or Proteins on Different Solid Surfaces

Unless otherwise stated, all the proteins or peptides had the following concentrations for binding: peptides (non-modified and biotinylated) 20 μg/ml, α-casein 500 μg/ml, Casein and BSA 1 mg/ml, fish gelatin 5 mg/ml.

Maxisorp: The peptides or proteins in PBS buffer (5.84 g/l NaCl, 4.72 g/l NaHPO4 and 2.64 g/l NaH2PO4·2H2O, pH 7.2) were incubated overnight at 4°C.

Immo-Amino: The peptides in Na carbonate buffer (Na2CO3 3.18 g/l, NaHCO3 5.86 g/l, pH 9.6) or proteins in PBS buffer were incubated 1-1.5 hr with gentle shaking at room temperature.

Immo-Strep: The surface was washed 3 times by 0.05% TPBS (Tween 20 in PBS), and biotinylated peptides in 0.05% TPBS were incubated 1-1.5 hr with gentle shaking at room temperature.

ELISA

To prepare ELISA, the surface with immobilized antigens was washed 3 times with 1% TPBS. scFv-phage at a concentration of 10^{11} pfu/ml in 1% TPBS was added and incubated for 1 hr. After 6 times washing with 1% TPBS, 1:5000 diluted HRP/anti-M13 phage conjugated antibody in 3% BSA-PBS was incubated for 1 hr. After 3 times washing with 1% TPBS, the phage binding was detected with TMB (tetramethylbenzidine). After 10 min, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 1M H2SO4. The calculation of absorbance was performed by subtracting A_{520} from A_{450} (Thermo Labsystems Multiskan MCC/340).

Helper Phage ELISA to Detect Peptide Binding Yield on Different Solid Surfaces

The peptides (5, 20, 100 μg/ml in PBS for Maxisorp, or in Na carbonate for Immo-Amino) and two control proteins (α_{s-CN} and BSA; 100 μg/ml in PBS) were added into microtiter plate and incubated overnight at 4°C. After 3 times washing with PBS, helper phage at a concentration of 10^{10}-10^{11} pfu/ml in PBS was added and incubated for 1.5 hrs. After 6 times washing with 1% TPBS, phage binding was detected with ELISA as described above.
Biotin-HRP to Detect Biotinylated Peptide Binding Yield on Immo-Strep

The biotinylated peptides (a series of concentrations in 0.05% TPBS) and biotin (100 μg/ml in 0.05% TPBS) as control were added into Immo-Strep plate and incubated 1.5 hr at room temperature. After 6 times of washing with 1% TPBS, Biotin-HRP (432040, Invitrogen) at a concentration of 20 ng/ml in 0.05% TPBS was added and incubated for 1 hr. 6 times of washing with 1% TPBS was performed again, and the bound Biotin-HRP was detected by TMB and ELISA as described above.

Elution Methods

Trypsin Elution (TE): After phage incubation and washing, 120 μl of 1 mg/ml trypsin in PBS solution was incubated onto each well for 10 min at room temperature with rotation. The supernatant was collected and used for further rounds of panning. The theory behind Trypsin Elution is explained above.

Background Absorption (BA): After phage incubation and washing, 120 μl per well of 0.1 M triethylamine (TEA) was added and following incubation for 10 min at room temperature with rotation, the TEA solution with the eluted phages (8 well replicates, totally 120×8=960 μl) was collected and mixed with 0.5 ml of 1 M Tris–HCl, pH 7.4. The prepared empty Streptavidin plate (blocked by 200 μg/ml biotin for 1 hr) was washed with 1% Tween 20 PBS. The eluted solution was then added to this empty plate and incubated for 1 hr with rotation at room temperature. The supernatant was collected and used for further rounds of panning.

Competitive Elution (CE): After phage incubation and washing, 120 μl of 25 μg/ml biotinylated peptide solution was incubated onto each well for 1 hr at room temperature with rotation. The supernatant was collected and used for further rounds of panning.

A schematic presentation of the elution methods is shown in Fig. (2).

Optimized Procedure for Panning of Phage Libraries Against Small Peptides Immobilized on Immo-Strep Surface

Tomlinson I and J libraries were used individually in parallel to perform the panning. The peptide solution (20 μg/ml in PBS, pH 7.2) was incubated 1–1.5 hr onto Nunc Streptavidin plates with 150 μl per well (each peptide had 8 replicates). After 3 washes by PBS with 1% TPBS, 120 μl scFv phage library (10^12–10^13 cfu/ml) in 1% TPBS was added to each well and incubated for 2 hrs. Wells were washed with 1% TPBS by 15 times for first round, 21 times for second and further round of panning. The bound phage was eluted by CE method as described above. For each peptide, 8 replicates of eluted phage were collected and mixed together. Then 500 μl of the eluted phage was used to infect 2 ml of exponential phase growing E. coli TG1, which were plated on TYE-Amp-Glu plates (TYE plates containing 100 mg/ml of ampicillin and 1% glucose) and incubated at 37°C overnight. The grown colonies were scraped into 4 ml of PY–Amp-Glu (PY broth containing 100 mg/ml of ampicillin and 1% glucose). 200 μl of this scraped culture were used to inoculate 50 ml fresh PY–Glu–Amp and incubated with shaking at 37°C for 2 hrs to exponential phase. KM13 helper phage (5×10^10 pfu) were added to 10 ml of each library culture and the mixture incubated at 37°C without shaking for 30 min. Infected cells were pelleted, resuspended in 50 ml PY–Amp-Glu with 50 μg/ml kanamycin, and incubated overnight with shaking at 30°C.

scFv-phage particles were concentrated to 2 ml from each culture supernatant by precipitation with 20 ml polyethylene glycol in 2.5 M NaCl as described previously [14]. The concentrated phage were diluted in 1% TPBS (10^12–10^13 cfu/ml) using for the next round of selection. The phage library was subjected to four rounds of panning. The monoclonal scFvs were selected by monoclonal ELISA according to protocol from scFv-phage libraries’ supplier. The monoclonal scFvs was considered positive if absorbance value is above 0.350.

BstNI DNA Fingerprinting

DNA fingerprinting with the BstNI restriction enzyme was used to determine the number of unique clones from the monoclonal scFv-phage ELISA. From wells that gave a high positive signal in monoclonal ELISA, bacteria (containing scFv-DNA in phagemid) were picked and added to 30 μl sterile water and incubated in a microwave oven for 1.5 min at 700 W. 5 μl was subsequently transferred to 15 μl PCR mix containing 2.5U Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen), 0.2 μM forward primer LMB3 (5’-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3’) and 0.2 μM reverse primer PHEN (5’-CTATTCGATCCCCATTCA-3’), 0.2mM dNTPs, 1.5mM MgCl2 and 2 μl 10 × PCR buffer (Invitrogen). PCR was carried out in 30 cycles (45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 50°C and 2 min at 72°C). After amplification, the 1,000-bp scFv-cassette was digested with the enzyme BstNI (New England Biolabs). To 20 μl of the PCR product, the following was added: 17.4 μl H2O, 2 μl 10 × NEB buffer 2, 0.2 μl (2U) BstNI and 0.2 μl 10 mg/ml BSA (bovine serum albumin). The mix was digested for 2 h at 60°C and restriction patterns were analyzed on a 3% agarose gel.

scFv Gene Sequencing

Three to eight clones from each DNA fingerprinting patterns were selected, and sequenced by IIT Biotech GmbH (Bielefeld, Germany). The bidirectional sequencing were performed with two primers LMB3 and pHEN for each clone, to ensure that the the scFv gene (1000 bp) were sequenced reliably.

RESULTS

Identification of Binding Capacity of Different Solid Surfaces with Small Peptides

Helper phage, detected by HRP / anti-M13 phage conjugated antibodies, was used to identify the binding capacity of tested surfaces with peptides. The empty surface with high absorbance shows that the surface was fully occupied by helper phage (Fig. 1A, B). On the contrary, the absorbance of surfaces exposed to two control proteins (CN and BSA) is low as these proteins prevent the phage from
binding to the empty surface. The amount of bound helper phage on the Immo-Amino or Maxisorp exposed to three peptides (F1, F2, F3) was almost as high as the empty surface (Fig. 1A, B), showing that the only a small fraction of the surface is occupied by peptides.

For investigation of binding to the Immo-Strep, Biotin-HRP was used to detect how much streptavidin already occupied by biotinylated peptides. The absorbance value represents the amount of Biotin-HRP bound on surface (Fig. 1C). The empty surface with high absorbance shows that the maximal absorbance reached 1.60, when the surface was fully occupied by Biotin-HRP. The Biotin-HRP used in the test has so strong binding ability to the Immo-Strep that even high concentration of biotin (100 μg/ml) could not completely block it. Consequently, an absorbance of 0.60 indicates that all the free streptavidin on the surface have been occupied. The absorbance of Biotin-HRP from surfaces exposed to biotinylated peptides was similar to absorbance of the surface exposed to biotin. This shows that the biotinylated peptides have occupied all binding sites of streptavidin.

Methods to Reduce Background Binding (Surface-Binding scFv-Phages)

Based on the above result, Immo-Strep was selected as the best surface for immobilization of peptides. However, even with Immo-Strep, the original panning procedure with the TE method (Fig. 2) was not successful (Table 3), because some scFvs (called anti-Polysorp in this study) that specifically bind to Polysorp were always dominant in the phage population after every cycle of panning. To reduce the amount of surface-binding scFv-phages and thereby enhance the amount of peptide-binding scFv-phages during panning procedure, it was necessary to develop an improved elution procedure. Two alternative methods for elution were tested, BA and CE as outlined in Fig. (2).

Every generated phage sub library by panning on peptide was added to both a surface coupled with peptide and an empty surface. The relative increase in peptide-binding scFv-phages was then calculated as the ratio between the two numbers (enrichment ratio) and is shown in Table 2. The results show that both BA and CE are much better than the original TE, because no enrichment is observed with the TE. CE is the elution method that has the highest enrichment ratio.

96 single colonies from the 4th round of panning using both CE and BA were selected at random, and tested against peptide F2 and empty surface in monoclonal phage ELISA. The specific monoclonal peptide-binding scFv-phage could be successfully isolated from phage population panned by using both BA and CE methods (Table 3). The percent of peptide-binding, surface-binding and others binding scFvs in total 96 colonies were calculated. It showed that CE had higher percent of peptide-binding, lower percent of surface-binding, which indicates that CE was more effective than BA.

Production of Monoclonal scFv-Phages Against Peptide F1 and F3

Panning of phage libraries on peptide F1 and F3 was also performed using the CE method. The eluted phage from peptide-binding or empty surface was titrated after each round of panning. It was observed that panning of library J on both peptide F1 and F3 resulted in a high enrichment ratio after 4th panning, whereas panning of library I always had a
low enrichment ratio (Table 2). Therefore, only the phage population from library J after 4th round of panning was selected for the monoclonal phage ELISA. The amount of isolated specific monoclonal phages is showed in Table 3.

From the anti-F3 polyclonal phage sub library, we obtained 70 of 96 colonies against F3 and only 1 colony against the surface. This is corresponding with a high enrichment ratio of 290 determined by titering eluted phage (Table 2).

DNA Fingerprinting and Sequencing of scFv Genes

For each group of anti-peptide scFvs, the 29 strongest binding clones from the monoclonal ELISA (Table 3) were selected, and BstN1 DNA fingerprinting was then applied. Based on the restriction enzyme cleavage pattern (Fig. 3), 1 unique pattern was observed within the 29 anti-F1 scFv-phages, 2 patterns were revealed within anti-F2 scFv-phages, and 4 patterns were revealed within anti-F3 scFv-phages. Three to eight clones from each pattern were sequenced (Table 4 and Fig. 4), and the pattern 2 of anti-F3 exhibits two different scFv sequences, whilst all the other patterns possess only one unique scFv sequence. In the following, each monoclonal scFv will be abbreviated with the Roman numeral of its corresponding group.

Specificity of Monoclonal scFvs

To identify the specificity of the produced anti-peptide monoclonal scFv-phages, ELISA was performed on the monoclonal scFv-phages against different peptides and proteins. All anti-peptide monoclonal scFv-phages showed high affinity with their corresponding peptides (Fig. 5A), high binding ability with α-CN and CN, and no cross-reaction with the negative controls, BSA and gelatin (Fig. 5B). The anti-F1 and anti-F2 I scFvs had good specificity and very low binding with non-target peptides. The other monoclonal scFvs had little cross-reactions with non-target peptides. Anti-F3 II had the lowest cross-reaction within the anti-F3 scFvs.

Table 2. Changing of Enrichment Ratio After Each Round of Panning on Peptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elutionb</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Enrichment Ratioa After Each Round of Panning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe generated phage sub library after each round of panning on peptide was added to both a surface coupled with peptide and an empty surface. Enrichment ratio was determined by the number of eluted phage from peptide coupling surface compared to that from empty surface.

bTE: Trypsin Elution; CE: Competitive Elution; SA: Surface Absorbing.

Table 3. Distribution of Binding Profiles for Monoclonal scFv-Phages After 4 Rounds of Panning on Peptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elutionb</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Binding Profilea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe numbers of isolated monoclonal phages against peptide, empty surface, or unknown from 96 random selected single colonies.

bTE: Trypsin Elution; CE: Competitive Elution; SA: Surface Absorbing.

DISCUSSION

The first requirement for successful generation of anti-peptide scFv-phage is to permanently immobilize the peptides on a solid surface. Small peptides normally do not have enough intermolecular attraction forces to exert passive adsorption. Although some researchers have used Maxisorp for immobilization of small peptides [28-31], we advise against this procedure for phage display panning. From our experience the small peptides are sometimes difficult to immobilize and are easily released from e.g. Maxisorp during phage display panning. It is therefore very important to check the binding capacity of small peptides to a selected surface before panning, e.g by the methods shown in Fig. (1).
We used helper phage to perform the binding capacity check in an ELISA. We knew from a previous study that the helper phage in PBS (without Tween 20) binds strongly to Maxisorp and Polysorp based surfaces and do not detach in subsequent washing steps [32]. So, incubation and subsequent detection of the helper phage can reveal the level of empty positions on a surface already treated with peptides.

Fig. (2). Different methods for eluting bound phage. (A) Trypsin Elution (TE): Trypsin cleaves between the scFv and pIII of phage. Thus, all the scFvs-attaching phage (both antigen binding and surface binding) can be eluted, whereas phage-head-attaching phage is still bound to on surface. (B) Surface Absorption (SA): The eluted phage by TEA (triethylamine) (containing both antigen-binding and surface-binding phage) were incubated onto empty solid surface to reduce the surface-binding phage, and then the unbound phage population was collected for next panning. (C) Competitive Elution (CE): The elution of bound phages is performed by using high concentration of target antigen. The surface-binding phage should still be bound to surface.
With the helper phage ELISA, we could not measure any immobilized peptides on Immo-Amino (Fig. 1). As a side comment, the peptides immobilized on Immo-Amino and Maxisorp could also not be detected by the later generated anti-peptide scFv-phages (data not shown), which confirms our observation. In contrast, Casey et al. [33, 34] coupled a 20-mer peptide to Immo-Amino and detected this peptide with antibodies. We have also detected very strong binding

Table 4. Amino Acid Sequences of Selected Monoclonal scFvs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scFv</th>
<th>Pattern(^a)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sequence(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CDRH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-F1</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S1QGLGSTLYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-F2</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S1QYQKPRTYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>n.a.'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-F3</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A1KGGQARITYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>S1SOQKITRYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>G1RSQKRTYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>S1HGNGATPYAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>S1KSTGATRIPAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Polysorp</td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)From Fig. (3).
\(^b\)The 18 randomly changed residues are bold and underlined. The complete sequence is shown in Fig. (4).
\(^c\)n.a. = not applicable.

Fig. (3). BstNII DNA fingerprinting of unique scFv genes. 29 clones from each group of anti-peptide scFv-phages have been tested. Only unique restriction patterns (P1-P4) are shown. M1, O’GeneRuler Express DNA ladder (Fermentas). M2, 100 bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen).
ability between the dipeptidase (pepD) of *Lactococcus lactis* and Immo-Amino (results not shown). So our conclusion is that the binding between Immo-Amino and peptides/proteins are variable, and therefore not universally applicable.

Another factor that impairs the successful generation of anti-peptide scFv-phage is high non-specific binding and background binding scFv-phages. It is therefore necessary to reduce both phenomena during the panning. Non-specific binding is the binding of phage heads rather than the scFvs.
to any components, and we previously demonstrated that Tween 20 can reduce most of this non-specific binding [32]. Background binding is the binding of scFvs to the background matrix, and we found two reasons for a high background binding. One is the ratio between the surface occupied by the immobilized antigen and the total surface. If this ratio is low, the background binding will easily dominate the panned phage library [35]. The other reason is that in highly diverse antibody phage libraries e.g. large naive or synthetic libraries [36]. There is a high risk that these libraries contain specific antibodies (scFvs) against the background matrix. We observed this, and have isolated and identified such monoclonal anti-Polysorp scFvs (sequences shown in Table 4). This problem has also been found by using large naive libraries for panning against complex antigen targets, such as cells [37-39].

In this study, we introduced the concept of enrichment ratio (Table 2), which is determined by comparing the titer of eluted phage from a peptide-binding surface to the titer from an empty surface. An increase in this ratio represents the enrichment of peptide-binding scFvs in the produced sub phage library. We found that a high enrichment ratio determined by titering eluted phage was corresponding to a high number of peptide-binding clones determined by monoclonal ELISA (Tables 2 and 3). The presented enrichment ratio, which only requires a control panning on the background, can therefore immediately predict the proportion of specific binders in the subsequent generated polyclonal phage library. This is an advantage, compared to the normal procedure, where ELISA is always used to detect the specificity of the generated polyclonal phage library toward target antigen. This ELISA procedure requires extra work in the laboratory as well as waiting time for producing the polyclonal phage library.

There are basically four methods to reduce the background binding during the panning of an antibody phage library. We investigated two methods: i) BA method, which was also performed by Portolano et al. [40] and Krichevsky et al. [41], and ii) CE method, which was proved to be applicable by Meulemans et al. [42] and Heiskanen et al. [43]. We found that CE provided a stronger enrichment than BA, and was adequate for our purpose. Two other methods have been mentioned in literatures, iii) Antigen modification method [44-46], and iv) Alternate background panning [47, 48], but were not tested in this study.

We focused on solid surface supported panning method, which is similar to standard ELISA assays. Some researchers used another panning method called solution panning for developing the antibodies against small peptides [49-52]. The solution panning mixes the phage library with biotinylated peptides in buffer solution, and bound phages are collected from the solution after a short (15 min) incubation with streptavidin beads. Apparently, the short time incubation for streptavidin-biotin binding should reduce background binding phages. However, we tried solution panning with Immo-Strep to collect bound phage and found that background binding (Polysorp surface binding) phage was still dominant in the panned phage population (results not shown). We did not test streptavidin beads in our study, but the basic material of the magnetic beads and Polysorp surface are both polystyrene, so there is a pronounced risk that some scFvs in the library could also specifically bind to the beads’ polystyrene material. Therefore, successful selection of phages against our selected peptides is still dependent on methods to reduce the background binding.

In summary, we have optimized the method to select monoclonal scFvs from a large synthetic antibody phage display library against small peptides immobilized on solid surface. This was achieved by developing a method for detecting the efficiency of immobilization of small peptides and selecting the best method for excluding the background binding scFv-phage. Apart from the specific antibodies raised in this study, it is our belief, that the methods developed are universally applicable for anyone interested in selecting antibodies (antibody fragments) against small peptides by antibody phage display.
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Isolating Antibody Fragments Against Small Peptides

ABBR EVIATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scFv</td>
<td>Single chain variable fragment of antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1, F2, F3</td>
<td>Synthetic peptides from α-casein sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>f17–31 (NENLRRFFVAPFPEV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>f150–163 (FRQFYQLDAYPSGA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>f185–199 (PIGENSKEKTMLW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Complementary determining regions of antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPBS</td>
<td>Tween 20 in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immo-Amino</td>
<td>Nunc Immobilizer Amino surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immo-Strep</td>
<td>Nunc Immobilizer Sreptavidin surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Casein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>Horse radish peroxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Molecular Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Trypsin Elution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Competitive Elution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Background Absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>Triethylamine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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