Intracellular pH gradients in migrating cells

Martin, Christine; Pedersen, Stine Helene Falsig; Schwab, Albrecht; Stock, Christian

Published in:
American Journal of Physiology: Cell Physiology

DOI:
10.1152/ajpcell.00280.2010

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (APA):
Intracellular pH gradients in migrating cells
Christine Martin, Stine F. Pedersen, Albrecht Schwab and Christian Stock
doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00280.2010

You might find this additional info useful...

This article cites 44 articles, 24 of which you can access for free at:
http://ajpcell.physiology.org/content/300/3/C490.full#ref-list-1

This article has been cited by 1 other HighWire-hosted articles:
http://ajpcell.physiology.org/content/300/3/C490#cited-by

Updated information and services including high resolution figures, can be found at:
http://ajpcell.physiology.org/content/300/3/C490.full

Additional material and information about American Journal of Physiology - Cell Physiology can be found at:
http://www.the-aps.org/publications/ajpcell

This information is current as of November 22, 2012.
Intracellular pH gradients in migrating cells

Christine Martin,1 Stine F. Pedersen,2 Albrecht Schwab,1 and Christian Stock1

1Institute of Physiology II, University of Muenster, Muenster, Germany; and 2Section for Cell and Developmental Biology, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Submitted 20 July 2010; accepted in final form 6 December 2010


Cell polarization along the axis of movement is required for migration. The localization of proteins and regulators of the migratory machinery to either the cell front or its rear results in a spatial asymmetry enabling cells to simultaneously coordinate cell protrusion and retraction. Protons might function as such unevenly distributed regulators as they modulate the interaction of focal adhesion proteins and components of the cytoskeleton in vitro. However, an intracellular pH (pHi) gradient reflecting a spatial asymmetry of protons has not been shown so far. One major regulator of pHi, the Na+/H+ exchanger NHE1, is essential for cell migration and accumulates at the cell front. Here, we test the hypothesis that the uneven distribution of NHE1 activity creates a pHi gradient in migrating cells. Using the pH-sensitive fluorescent dye BCECF, pHi was measured in five cell lines (MV3, B16V, NIH3T3, MDCK-F1, EA.hy926) along the axis of movement. Differences in pHi between the front and the rear end (ΔpHi front-rear) were present in all cell lines, and inhibition of NHE1 either with HOE642 or by absence of extracellular Na+ indirectly by enhancing Cdc42 signaling (10, 17).

It has been hypothesized for quite a long time that the uneven distribution of adhesion proteins from the cytosol (24). As part of focal adhesion NHE1, which accumulates at the leading edge (16) and expels protons from the cytosol (24). As part of focal adhesion contacts (22), NHE1 activity is of fundamental importance for cell migration, e.g., in fibroblasts (4, 29) and tumor cells (39). It has been hypothesized for quite a long time that the uneven distribution of NHE1 along the cell membrane contributes to differences in the local pH, with the lamellipodia being more alkaline (4, 11). However, so far the postulated pH gradient has not been demonstrated experimentally in migrating cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Cell Culture

All cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2-95% air. A human cell line [MV3 (43)] and a murine [B16V (8)] melanoma cell line were grown in bicarbonate-buffered RPMI 1640 (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany), transformed Madin-Darby canine kidney epithelial (MDCK-F) cells (21) in Earle’s MEM (PAAS), and the EA.hy926 human endothelial cell line (7) and NIH3T3 mouse fibroblasts (14) in DMEM 41965 (GIBCO). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

The five cell lines were chosen since, although of completely different origin, all of them share the ability to polarize and to feature intrinsic migratory activity without being in need of additional stimuli or specific directional cues. Under subconfluent conditions, the typical migration speeds in single, unstimulated, randomly migrating cells come to (μm/min) ~0.7 in MV3 (36), ~0.4 in B16V [n = 25 cells from N = 4 experiments, unpublished observations; in B16-F10 up to 1 μm/min (20)], 0.8 in MDCK-F (5, 33), ~0.49 in EA.hy926 cells [n = 16 cells from N = 4 experiments; unpublished observations; (44)] and to ~0.7 μm/min in NIH3T3 fibroblasts (32).

Experimental Solutions

Measurements of pH were performed using HEPES-buffered Ringer solutions of pH 7.0 containing (in mmol/l) 122.5 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.8 MgCl2, 1.2 CaCl2, 1.0 NaH2PO4, 2H2O, 5.0 glucose, and 10.0 HEPES. Ringer pH was adjusted by adding 1 M NaOH. For inhibition of NHE1, either HOE642 (Cariporide; 10 μmol/l) was added or the cells were superfused with a Na+-free Ringer solution containing N-methyl-d-glucamine (NMDG) instead of Na+. To check the contribution of bicarbonate (HCO3−) to the local, cytosolic pH, one set of experiments on MV3 cells was performed in HCO3−-buffered Ringer solution of pH 7.0 containing (in mmol/l) 106.0 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.8 MgCl2, 1.2 CaCl2, 0.8 Na2HPO4×2H2O, 0.2 NaH2PO4×H2O, 24.0 NaHCO3, and 5.5 glucose. pH was kept at 7.0 by continuous CO2-gassing during the measurements [11.7% CO2; P CO2 in the experimental solution was 89 mmHg as determined by a blood gas analyzer (model ABL5, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark)].

The pH of 7.0 was chosen because both the human (MV3) and the murine (B16) melanoma cells show their maximum migratory activity (36, 42) at this extracellular pH. Moreover, B16 cells are most invasive at pH 7.0 (42). And in tumors, the extracellular pH is generally more acidic than 7.4. For these reasons it is quite relevant to assess the motility at a lower pH.

Immunofluorescence of NHE1

Murine melanoma cells. B16V cells seeded on coverslips coated with a basement membrane-like matrix (in mg/ml: 0.4 collagen type IV, 0.003 laminin, 0.03 fibronectin in HEPES-buffered RPMI, pH 7.4) were treated with cold 0.2% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min before fixation. They were then fixed in 3.5% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 45 min at 4°C. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked with 2% BSA (wt/vol) and 0.2% (wt/vol) gelatine in phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at 4°C and incubated with the primary antibody against NHE1, diluted 1:100 in 0.1% Triton X-100 (model ABL5, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark).
PBS. After the cells were stained with the primary antibody (hNHE1-mAb, BD Biosciences Pharmingen) for 1 h and a Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody for 45 min, the slide preparations were fixed once again, washed in PBS, and covered with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, CA). Images were taken using an inverted microscope (Axiovert200, Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany), a digital camera (model 9.0, RT-SE-Spot, Visitron Systems), and MetaVue software.

Mouse fibroblasts. Immunofluorescence labeling of NHE1 in NIH3T3 cells was carried out essentially as previously described (26). Cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (15 min room temperature, 30 min on ice), washed in Tris-buffered saline (TBS), permeabilized for 10 min in 0.2% Triton X-100 in TBS, blocked for 30 min in 5% BSA in TBST (TBS + 0.1% Triton), incubated with rabbit polyclonal NHE1 antibody (a kind gift from Mark Musch, University of Chicago) 1:100 in TBST + 1% BSA (TBST-BSA) overnight at 4°C, washed in TBST-BSA, and incubated with AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Invitrogen, 1:400 in TBST-BSA, 1 h), followed by further washes in TBST-BSA and mounting in N-propyl-gallate mounting medium (2% wt/vol in PBS-glycerine). Cells were visualized using ×100/1.4 numerical aperture plan-apochromat objectives and the 488 nm Ar/Kr and 365 UV laser lines of a Leica DM-IRB/E microscope with a Leica TSC-NT confocal laser-scanning unit (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany). Optical slice thickness was 1 μm and pinhole size was 1 airy disc. Images were collected and frame-averaged using Leica software and processed (overlays and brightness/contrast adjustment only) using Adobe Photoshop.

Essentially, no labeling was detectable in the absence of primary antibody (not shown).

Measuring pHi

pHi was measured using video imaging techniques and the fluorescent pH indicator BCECF (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). MV3, MDCK-F, and NIH3T3 cells were plated onto coverslips coated with collagen type I (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany). B16V cells were plated onto coverslips coated with a basement membrane-like matrix (see above). Before polymerization, pH of this mixture was adjusted to 7.4 by adding 1 M NaOH. Cells were allowed to adapt for 3 h and were then incubated in the respective, HEPES- or HCO3-calibrated by successively superfusing the cells with modified, control pH-buffered, NMDG-containing superfusion solution containing 5 mmol/l BCECF-AM for 5 min. The coverslips were placed on the stage of an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200; Carl Zeiss) and continuously superfused with prewarmed (37°C) HEPES- or HCO3-buffered control solution containing 5 μg/ml BCECF-AM for 5 min. The coverslips were observed during 100 s leads to an increase in pHi when Na+ is added again to the superfusate. The initial slope of the change in pHi after readdition of Na+ was taken as a measure of NHE1 activity, because these experiments were carried out in absence of HCO3−, precluding contributions from Na+-HCO3− cotransporters (29, 40).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were repeated at least eight times except for the migration experiments mentioned above (N = 4). Data are presented as means ± SE and were tested for significance employing Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired samples, Mann-Whitney U-test for independent samples, and Friedman test when more than two groups were compared with each other. If normal distribution could be verified, Student’s t-test was applied. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05 unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

Immunolocalization of NHE1

As shown for various other cell lines including MV3, MDCK-F, and endothelial cells (3, 11, 16, 37), NHE1 also accumulated at the leading edge of migrating murine melanoma cells and NIH3T3 fibroblasts (Fig. 2).

Intracellular pH

Local pH measurements revealed significant differences in pHi between the front and the rear end (ΔpHi, front-rear) in all middle segment containing the nucleus, and the uropodium (Fig. 1). Each segment contained at least three defined regions of interest placed over the cytosol, excluding the nucleus region. The measured values for the three regions were combined in one single value representing one segment. Only adherent and polarized cells were measured. Since B16V cells adhere but do not polarize on collagen type I, the experiments with those cells were performed on a basement membrane-like matrix on which they do polarize.

NHE1 activity was assessed by using the NH4+−-prepulse technique (33). Exposing cells to 20 mmol/l NH4+ for 100 s leads to an alkalinization of the cytosol. Upon removal of NH4+ and Na+ (isosmotically substituted by NMDG+) pHi decreases rapidly. pHi recovers when Na+ is added again to the superfusate. The initial slope of the change in pHi after readdition of Na+ was taken as a measure of NHE1 activity, because these experiments were carried out in absence of HCO3−, precluding contributions from Na+-HCO3− cotransporters (29, 40).

Fig. 1. Measurement of intracellular pH (pHi) gradients. A sample cell (MV3) is loaded with the proton-sensitive fluorescein-conjugate BCECF. For analysis, the images are divided into three segments (1-3: front, middle, rear). Each segment contains at least three defined regions of interest placed over the cytosol while explicitly excluding the nucleus region. A mean value of the three regions of interest is calculated for each segment. See text for further details.
investigated cell lines (Fig. 3). Measured in HEPES-buffered Ringer solution, the mean ΔpH_{front-rear} averaged 0.16 ± 0.02 pH units in MV3, 0.15 ± 0.05 pH units in B16V, 0.05 ± 0.02 pH units in both NIH3T3 and MDCK-F, and 0.07 ± 0.02 pH units in EA.hy926 cells. Except in the NIH3T3 cells, the pH_{i} value of the middle segment was always found between the pH_{i} values of the front and rear segment, indicating that the measured differences in cytosolic pH mirror a pH_{i} gradient along the longitudinal axis of the cell body. To exclude that the gradient is caused or enhanced by the absence of HCO_{3} in the experimental solution, pH_{i} of MV3 cells was measured also in HCO_{3}-buffered Ringer solution. Under these conditions, ΔpH_{front-rear} averaged 0.18 ± 0.04 pH units, underlining the physiological significance of the gradient.

NHE1 Dependence of the pH_{i} Gradient in MV3 and B16V Cells

Because pH_{i} gradients were most distinct in the two melanoma cell lines, these cells were used to investigate whether NHE1 activity contributes to the generation of the pH_{i} gradients. Upon inhibition of NHE1 by applying either the specific NHE1 inhibitor HOE642 or a Na^{+}-free Ringer solution, the cells acidified. Acidification of the cytosol was most prominent at the leading edge corresponding to the localization of NHE1 at the cell front. In MV3 cells the ΔpH_{front-rear} decreased from 0.16 ± 0.02 pH units to 0.08 ± 0.03 pH units when exposed to HOE642 and to 0.09 ± 0.02 pH units when exposed to a Na^{+}-free solution. In B16V cells exposed to HOE642, no differences in pH_{i} between the front and the rear end were detectable (Fig. 4). Thus, inhibition of NHE1 caused the pH_{i} gradient to flatten or disappear, accompanied by a general decline in pH_{i}, demonstrating that the establishment of pH_{i} gradients to a great extent depends on NHE1 activity.

Subcellular Distribution of NHE1 Activity in MV3 and NIH3T3 Cells

Since pH_{i} gradients depended on NHE1, an NHE1 activity gradient seemed supposable. Applying the NH_{4}^{+-}prepulse technique and using the same arrangement of regions as for the pH_{i} measurements, NHE1 activity was quantified along the longitudinal axis of the cell. Corresponding to the NHE1 accumulation at the leading edge, its activity was found to be the highest at the cell front (Fig. 5B). After an acid load, MV3 cells recovered at a rate of 0.37 ± 0.07 pH units/min at their
front, 0.32 ± 0.06 pH units/min in the middle segment, and 0.3 ± 0.06 pH units/min at their rear. NIH3T3 cells recovered at a rate of 0.29 ± 0.06 pH units/min in the middle segment, and 0.26 ± 0.06 pH units/min at their trailing end. In addition to immunofluorescence analysis of NHE1 distribution, both the NHE1 inhibition experiments and the subcellular activity measurements provide physiological evidence for the uneven NHE1 distribution in migrating cells and its contribution to the establishment of an intracellular pH gradient.

DISCUSSION

The hypothesis that NHE1 activity may generate small but still important pH gradients either extracellularly or intracellularly has been around for some time (11, 34). The presence of an extracellular pH gradient at the cell surface and its functional importance in facilitating cell migration has already been shown for human melanoma cells (37, 40). Cells that do not express a functional NHE1 or whose NHE1 is inhibited do not establish a pH gradient at the cell surface nor do they migrate. In human melanoma cells that exhibit normal NHE1 activity, partial removal of the glycocalyx causes the extracellular pH gradient to collapse and the migratory activity to decrease considerably (18). In these cells, however, the cell surface pH-gradient as well as the migratory activity can be restored by stimulating NHE1 activity (18). Here, we demonstrate a complementary, NHE1-dependent, intracellular pH gradient not only present in melanoma but also in other migrating cells. Upon inhibition of NHE1, both the pH-gradient (this study) and the cell surface pH-gradient (37) flatten and melanoma cell migration is hindered (36). Changes in the overall extracellular pH cannot compensate for the loss of the cell surface pH-gradient (40). Correspondingly, Tominaga and Barber (41) found that, in the absence of NHE1 activity, cell adhesion and spreading were impaired and pH decreased. An overall alcalinization of the cytosol did not rescue the delay in cell adhesion or spreading (41). These results demonstrate the importance of local pH regulation in facilitating cell migration. Since both the extracellular pH-sensitive cell surface/matrix interaction (18, 19) and many components of the intracellular migratory machinery are regulated in a pH-dependent manner, the existence of both pH gradients seems to be most advantageous for migrating cells.

After adhering to the surrounding matrix, migrating cells polarize, defining the direction of movement. As a major regulator of this polarization, the small GTPase Cdc42 accumulates at the cell front (27), where it induces actin polymerization via the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) and the Arp 2/3 complex (12, 25) as well as through the Rac/Cdc42 effector IQ-motif-containing GTPase-activating protein 1 (IQGAP1) (2). As shown for migrating fibroblasts, activation of Cdc42 requires H+ efflux by NHE1 (10), which implies a fundamental role of pH in positive feedback loops at the leading edge necessary for enduring cell polarization and directed movement.

Furthermore, the polymerization and depolymerization of actin filaments are coordinated pH-dependently. The generation of free barbed filament ends by the activity of the actin-severing protein cofilin promotes dynamic actin polymerization (45) and membrane protrusion at the cell front. The ability to maintain an overall acidosis of the cell front, maintained through NHE1 activity, is crucial for the generation of an anterior pH-gradient sufficient to activate Cdc42 (10, 48). Changes in the overall extracellular pH cannot compensate for the loss of the anterior pH-gradient.
of coflin to sever F-actin is stronger at more alkaline pH values (46) due to an increased inhibition of coflin by pH-dependent phosphoinositide binding at more acidic pH (9). Another actin-binding protein regulating actin assembly and disassembly, gelsolin, is activated by more acidic pH values (1) as can be found at the rear end of migrating melanoma cells. It is tempting to assume that gelsolin is involved in actin recycling at the rear end of migrating cells whereas coflin regulates actin dynamics at the leading edge. Those are only two of several examples of how migratory events depend on an optimal pH environment (13, 35, 38).

Thus, the pH gradient appears to be a prerequisite for cell migration, and the question arises as to whether its slope might function as a “cruise control system.” As a matter of fact, H-ras-transformed NIH3T3 migrate faster than wild-type NIH3T3 (28), show an increased net acid extrusion (15), and tend to establish a steeper pH gradient \( \Delta p_{H_i} \) front-rear: 0.07 ± 0.01 for NIH3T3-ras \((n = 9)\) vs. 0.05 ± 0.02 for wild-type NIH3T3 \((n = 10; P = 0.14)\). On the other hand, a comparison of the five investigated cell lines regarding migratory speed is hardly feasible due to their different origins including their different demands on their substrate/matrix components.

In conclusion, we demonstrate here that unevenly distributed NHE1 activity establishes a pH gradient along the axis of movement in migrating cells of multiple different origins. We hypothesize that the establishment of this pH gradient represents one mechanism by which NHE1 activity promotes cell migration.
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