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The DNA Methylome of Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells
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Abstract

DNA methylation plays an important role in biological processes in human health and disease. Recent technological advances allow unbiased whole-genome DNA methylation (methylome) analysis to be carried out on human cells. Using whole-genome bisulfite sequencing at 24.7-fold coverage (12.3-fold per strand), we report a comprehensive (92.62%) methylome and analysis of the unique sequences in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from the same Asian individual whose genome was deciphered in the YH project. PBMC constitute an important source for clinical blood tests worldwide. We found that 68.4% of CpG sites and <0.2% of non-CpG sites were methylated, demonstrating that non-CpG cytosine methylation is minor in human PBMC. Analysis of the PBMC methylome revealed a rich epigenomic landscape for 20 distinct genomic features, including regulatory, protein-coding, non-coding, RNA-coding, and repeat sequences. Integration of our methylome data with the YH genome sequence enabled a first comprehensive assessment of allele-specific methylation (ASM) between the two haploid methylomes of any individual and allowed the identification of 599 haploid differentially methylated regions (hDMRs) covering 287 genes. Of these, 76 genes had hDMRs within 2 kb of their transcriptional start sites of which >80% displayed allele-specific expression (ASE). These data demonstrate that ASM is a recurrent phenomenon and is highly correlated with ASE in human PBMCs. Together with recently reported similar studies, our study provides a comprehensive resource for future epigenomic research and confirms new sequencing technology as a paradigm for large-scale epigenomics studies.
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Introduction

DNA methylation plays a vital role in genome dynamics. In the human genome, it predominantly occurs at cytosine guanine dinucleotide (CpG) sites in somatic cells [1] and at non-CpG cytosines in embryonic stem cells [2] and perhaps other cells as well. DNA methylation at any of these sites can vary and thus affect many biological processes that impact on human health and disease [3]. Therefore, detailed knowledge of the DNA methylation status of all cytosines (the methylome) is paramount for understanding the mechanisms and functions underlying DNA methylation.

The emergence of the next-generation sequencing of bisulfite converted DNA represents an important advance in the field of DNA methylation analysis [4–6]. This technology has enabled
Author Summary

Epigenetic modifications such as addition of methyl groups to cytosine in DNA play a role in regulating gene expression. To better understand these processes, knowledge of the methylation status of all cytosine bases in the genome (the methylome) is required. DNA methylation can differ between the two gene copies (alleles) in each cell. Such allele-specific methylation (ASM) can be due to parental origin of the alleles (imprinting), X chromosome inactivation in females, and other as yet unknown mechanisms. This may significantly alter the expression profile arising from different allele combinations in different individuals. Using advanced sequencing technology, we have determined the methylome of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC). Importantly, the PBMC were obtained from the same male Han Chinese individual whose complete genome had previously been determined. This allowed us, for the first time, to study genome-wide differences in ASM. Our analysis shows that ASM in PBMC is higher than can be accounted for by regions known to undergo parent-of-origin imprinting and frequently (>80%) correlates with allele-specific expression (ASE) of the corresponding gene. In addition, our data reveal a rich landscape of epigenomic variation for 20 genomic features, including regulatory, coding, and non-coding sequences, and provide a valuable resource for future studies. Our work further establishes whole-genome sequencing as an efficient method for methylome analysis.

human methylome analysis to advance from single chromosomes [7] to low (100 bp) resolution whole genomes [8] to single-base resolution whole genomes using bisulfite sequencing [2,9]. For a comprehensive description of methylome analysis methods, please refer to the recent review by P. Laird [10].

Using whole-genome bisulfite sequencing, we here report the methylome analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from an anonymous male Han Chinese individual (Yan Huang) whose genome was determined in the first Asian genome project, henceforth referred to as YH [11]. This approach allowed us to analyse approximately 20 million CpG sites of this clinically important human methylome for genomic landscape, allele-specific methylation (ASM), and allele-specific expression (ASE) in primary cells in a single individual.

Results

Data Generation and Quality Assessment

The methylome reported and analyzed here was generated from the same sample of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a consented donor whose genome was deciphered in the YH project [11]. The nuclear DNA was extracted and subjected to unbiased, whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (BS-seq) using the Illumina Genome Analyzer (Table S1a) [5,12]. In total, we generated 103.5 Gbp of paired-end sequence data. Of these, 70.4 Gbp (68%) were successfully aligned to either strand of the YH genome [11] with an average mismatch rate of 1.3% (Table S1b), resulting in an average sequencing depth of 12.3-fold per DNA strand or a 24.7-fold overall depth. Of the 18,962,679 CpGs present in the unique haploid part (2.21 Gb) of the YH reference genome sequence, approximately 99.86% were covered by at least one unambiguously mapped read of quality score >14 on either strand, and 92.62% were unambiguously covered on both strands (Figure S1 shows the cumulative distribution of sequencing depth; see Methods for details). Based on the 24.7-fold overall coverage, we estimated that about 88.1% of CpGs were covered on both alleles, but only 6.2% of CpGs could be definitively defined due to the limited number of nearby SNPs. We therefore only used these 6.2% (or 1.17 million) CpG sites for our allele-specific methylation analysis. Based on alignment to in silico converted non-CpG cytosines, the bisulfite conversion rate was determined to be at least 99.8% even assuming all non-CpG methylcytosines are due to conversion failure, ensuring reliable ascertainment of CpG methylcytosines at a false positive rate of <0.5%. All five libraries (Table S1a) showed similar conversion rates (99.7% to 99.9%), and a linear correlation was observed in methylation levels estimated from different libraries (Figure S2). This demonstrates high consistency between technical replicates. We also performed conventional bisulfite Sanger sequencing in randomly selected regions and found that 100% (50 of 50 tested CpG sites) showed a consistent methylation level ($p > 0.01$ in chi-square test; Table S8). The rate of unconverted non-CpG cytosines is a combination of incomplete conversion and authentic non-CpG methylation, which indicates very low methylation levels (<0.2%) of non-CpG cytosines in PBMC. We also used the methylome ascertainment method based on binomial test and false discovery rate constraint that was applied by Lister et al. [2] to distinguish putative non-CpG methylation sites from incomplete bisulfite conversion and found a comparable (<0.2%) rate of non-CpG methylation in human PBMC. Non-CpG methylation roughly followed an exponential distribution where only a few (<1e−5) cytosines had methylation levels of >80% (Figure S3). We used these findings to exclude non-CpG methylation from subsequent analyses and estimate the overall specificity of identified methylcytosines in the PBMC methylome presented here to be 99.5%. We also used computing simulation to estimate the false negative rate of methylation site discovery. Assuming the methylation levels of CpG cytosines are similar between hESC [2] and PBMC, we estimate that about 13% of methylated CpG sites would be missed, of which a majority would be hypomethylation (<20%) sites. This indicates the PBMC methylome has a sensitivity to detect most methylated CpG sites.

Landscape of PBMC Methylome

We carried out a global analysis of the PBMC methylome and found the overall CpG methylation level to be 68.4%, which is lower than in H1 human embryonic stem cells (ESC) [2] but is still considered to be relatively high. Next, we determined the methylation distribution (Figure 1a) and showed it was less bimodal (9.27% hypo (<20%) methylated, 28.81% hyper (>80%) methylated) than has been previously observed (27.4% and 42.4%, respectively) [7], reflecting less bias of the whole-genome approach used here. Chromosome-specific effects could be excluded based on a separate analysis (Figure S4) of the three chromosomes analysed in the previous study [7]. Most notable was that the methylation distribution was not significantly affected by our depth threshold (where 4-fold was the lowest depth; Figure S10). In support of the conclusions drawn here, these data were consistent with the previous observation showing the CpG methylation level to peak at >70% in the human ESC methylome using the same bisulfite sequencing technology [2]. The whole genome CpG density showed a negative correlation with previously observed methylation levels [2,6], while a major decrease was observed when CpG density rose from 10 to 15 per 200 bp windows.

We next performed a comprehensive analysis of the PBMC methylome for an additional 20 distinct genomic features (Figure 1b–u). Although some of these features have been analysed before [2,6,7,13] [reviewed in [13]], our analyses provided additional information as well as a more global assessment of
Figure 1. Landscape of the PBMC methylome. Heat maps show distinct methylation and CpG density patterns for different genomic features. Each panel represents a separate feature, and \( n \) refers to the number of analyzed CpGs (per-strand depth \( \geq 10 \)) within that feature. CpG density (\( x \)-axis) is defined as the number of CpG dinucleotides in 200 bp windows. Methylation level (\( y \)-axis) is defined as the mean methylation level of cytosines in CpGs. The thin black lines within each heat map denote the median methylation level of CpGs at the given local density. The red gradient
indicates the abundance of CpGs that fall into bins of given methylation levels and CpG densities. The blue bar charts above each heat map show the distribution of CpG densities, projected onto the x-axis of the heat maps. The green bar charts to the right of the heat maps show the distribution of methylation levels, projected onto the y-axis of the heat maps.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000533.g001

some of these components. For example, with respect to protein-coding genes, our data enabled the integration of multiple features into higher-order structures, such as canonical DNA methylation profiles across the entire transcriptional units of expressed and silent genes (Figure 2). Up to 13% difference \((p<1e{-42})\) in methylation between highly expressed and silent genes (as determined by digital gene expression profiling (DGEP) of the same sample) are clearly visible, as are two discrete switchover zones, one upstream of the TSS and one in intron 1 that demarcates the transition from hypo- to hypermethylation in the inverse relationship between promoter and gene-body methylation and expression. Also evident is a distinct elevation in methylation level at internal exons with clear demarcation of intron/exon boundaries.

To define genes as expressed or silent, we grouped them according to their DGEP tags, allowing correlation to be assessed between averaged levels of DNA methylation and gene expression. However, other factors than DNA methylation can of course affect expression levels, and future analysis of samples from different tissues should help to address this issue. Within these limitations, we observed a clear trend for DNA methylation levels of expressed tissues should help to address this issue. Within these limitations, we observed a clear trend for DNA methylation levels of expressed genes to decrease at TSS and to increase at gene bodies. This is consistent with results reported from bisulfite sequencing of human ESC [2].

For non-coding RNA genes, we found that different gene families had very different methylation profiles (Figure 1b–j). For instance, tRNA genes had a significantly \((p<1e{-343})\) lower methylation level than rRNA genes and the genome average. We further conducted a comprehensive analysis of repeat elements, which is a particular strength of our unbiased whole-genome BS-seq approach (Figure 1k–t). Here we found elements that were still active, such as long terminal repeats (LTRs), LINE/L1, and SINE/Alu, and had significantly higher methylation levels than genome average \((p<1e{-100})\), displaying hypermethylation even at high CpG density (>12 CpGs in 200 bp). For instance, we found that methylation levels in Alu elements negatively correlated with evolutionary sequence divergence (Figure S5) and thus negatively correlated with retrotransposon mobility [14]. Loss of methylation in such transposable elements is known to be associated with tumorigenesis [15, 16], and the above observations are consistent with DNA methylation playing a role in controlling retrotransposon mobility by lowering their activities and thereby stabilizing the genome.

In methylome studies, CpG islands are a special genomic feature of great interest (Figure 1u). To investigate these, we performed a canonical analysis of CpG islands and found CpG density and methylation levels displayed a mirrored pattern (Figure S11). CpG islands are CpG-rich and generally hypo-

---

**Figure 2. Canonical DNA methylation profiles of expressed and silent genes in PBMC.** Expression status was determined by digital gene expression profiling (DGEP). Genes with >5 DGEP tags were defined as expressed \((n=5,251,\text{color-coded red}\)\). Genes with no DGEP tag were defined as silent \((n=3,912,\text{color-coded blue}\)\). The canonical gene structure is defined by 7 different features, denoted by the x-axis. The length of each feature was normalized and divided into equal numbers of bins. Each dot denotes the mean methylation level per bin and the respective lines denote the 5-bin moving average. Each feature was analyzed separately for the numbers listed in the table below the figure. The green vertical line indicates the mean location of the transcription start sites (TSS).

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000533.g002
methylated, and the shores [17] showed gradual transition of CpG density and methylation levels between the CpG islands and genome average.

Co-Methylation

Next, we examined the correlation of methylation level of any two nearby CpGs and the relationship between spatial distance (from one CpG to another) and strength of this correlation. Gaining knowledge of genomic regions or features that are highly correlated in methylation status is advantageous for developing efficient designs for genome-wide association studies by enabling the selection of tag CpGs, analogous to tag SNPs [10]. As has been previously observed [7], co-methylation deteriorates over distance and becomes nearly undetectable at distances >1,000 bp (Figure S6a). The co-methylation observed here was not affected by the underlying CpG density (Figure S12).

Analysis of CpG cytosines that had the same distance between them showed that higher methylation levels correlate when they were located on the same strand than on opposite strands ($p<6e^{-7}$; Figure S6a). This is presumably due to temporary hemimethylation as a result of post-replication lag in methylation maintenance in proliferating cells. Co-methylation is also markedly different between different genomic features (Figure S6b–t). For example, the correlation was significantly ($p<1e^{-30}$) higher in gene- than in repeat-associated features. Using Fourier transformation, we also tested the methylation correlation for patterns and found a significant ($p<1e^{-4}$) peak in periodicity of approximately 170 bp (Figure S7). A similar (CHG) methylation pattern was observed in Arabidopsis [4], which the researchers suggested was due to a nucleosome positioning effect on co-methylation. However, no significant periodicity of smaller motifs was observed in our data.

Tissue-Specific Differentially Methylated Regions

We compared the PBMC methylome to that of fetal lung fibroblast cells (IMR90) [2,3] to assess potential tissue-specific differentially methylated regions (tDMR). In total, 240,856>200 bp independent regions (range 200–3,500 bp; median size 500 bp; see Methods for more details) that had significant differences in methylation level (>2-fold change, at least in one tissue is not hypomethylated (<$20\%$) and Fisher test $p$ value <$1e^{-2}$) were identified as candidate tDMRs. Of these, 6,197 were located in the 2 kb flanking sequences of transcription start sites (TSSs) of 6,415 genes. GO classification showed that genes associated with PBMC-specific, hypomethylated tDMR candidates (confirmed to be expressed according to DGEP analysis and/or the GEO database [19]) were significantly ($p<1e^{-4}$) overrepresented in categories that related to DNA damage checkpoint (Tables S2, S3).

Allele-Specific Methylation

We examined the PBMC methylome to assess allele-specific methylation (ASM) in the context of genomic imprinting [20] and allele-specific expression (ASE) [21]. Integration of our methylation data with the YH haploid genome sequences [11] enabled us to determine ASM for 1.17 million CpG sites (see above), providing an unprecedented opportunity to identify a first and comprehensive set of haploid differentially methylated regions (hDMR) in any human cell type. Using a conservative threshold ($\geq5$ CpGs with at least 2-fold methylation difference and $p$ value <$0.001$ in Fisher test), we identified 599 hDMRs (mean size of 312 bp), which accounted for 0.61% of all CpGs with biallelic methylation information or 0.33% of 181,599 regions with bi-allelic sequence information and $\geq5$ CpGs in their 300 bp flanking sequences (Table S4, see Methods for details). For each of the hDMRs, we randomly selected genomic CpGs with same sequencing depths to that of the hDMRs and subjected them to 10,000 bootstrap iterations to determine how many times the randomly selected CpGs would show differential methylation as defined above. The simulation indicated that 4.17% of these hDMRs were stochastic (showing hDMR signals in >5% of the simulations). As there are approximately 20 million CpG sites in the human genome and ASM could be ascertained for 1.14 million sites, we extrapolated the total number of hDMRs in the YH methylome to be approximately 10,000. This rate, however, is likely to be an overestimation because: (1) 300 bp windows with <$5$ CpGs may not have enough statistical power to distinguish ASM and (2) CpGs in such lower-CpG-density regions are generally hypermethylated and statistically less likely (likelihood ratio $<0.133$ compared to windows with $\geq5$ CpGs in 300 bp windows based on 100,000 simulations on the PBMC methylome) to qualify as ASM according to the conservative threshold described above ($p<0.001$, 2-fold methylation level change). Nonetheless, if none of the 300 bp windows with <$5$ CpGs were to display ASM, and those with $\geq5$ CpGs were to have the same rate of displaying ASM as observed in flanking regions with bi-allelic sequence information, the lower limit of the total number of hDMRs in the YH methylome would still be expected to be 5,000. Thus, we estimate that 0.3%–0.6% of the YH genome are subject to ASM. Annotation analysis revealed that some of these hDMRs were associated with 287 genes (see Table S5 for full list). Figure 3 shows an example of such an association (with the gene FANK1), which displays ASM. FANK1 is a testis-specific gene and has been proposed to play a role in the transition from the diploid to the haploid phase during spermatogenesis [22]. In addition, we investigated the distribution of hDMRs within the YH genome. This analysis revealed a significant ($p<1e^{-343}$) tendency for the hDMRs to cluster, particularly when in proximity to telomeres or centromeres, which are both hallmarks of imprinting.

To assess the potential of the hDMRs to denote known or novel imprinted loci, we tested the 599 identified hDMRs for correlation with known imprinted loci [23]. First, we analysed the known genomic imprint space (defined by 40 loci in 15 chromosomal regions [23]) and identified 17 overlaps (Figure 4), indicating that most of the ASM regions can be identified by bisulfite sequencing and that the major limiting factor is a lack of SNPs to differentiate the two alleles. We therefore estimate that most of the hDMRs are not attributable to imprinting but to other mechanisms such as sequence-dependent ASM [24].

Finally, we analysed the possible involvement of ASM (defined by presence of hDMRs) in epigenetically driven allele-specific expression (ASE) (reviewed in [21]). For this, we randomly selected 6 of the 76 genes that had one or more hDMR(s) within 2 kb of their TSS and measured their expression by TA clone sequencing. Five of the six genes (83%) showed a >1.5-fold difference in expression level between the two alleles (Table S6), confirming the inverse relationship between promoter ASM and ASE. As ASM is definitive for 6.2% of the genome and 76 genes had hDMRs within 2 kb flanking sequence, we estimated that 600 to 1,200 genes (3%–6%) display ASM, which indicates that up to a quarter of the 20% of human genes that have been reported to display ASE [25] may be driven by ASM.

To determine possible biological functions of the 76 genes displaying ASM, we carried out gene ontology (GO) analysis. Our results showed that these hDMR-containing genes are significantly
(p<1e−4) overrepresented in function categories related to cell division and differentiation (see Table S7 for full list of significant GO categories), such as “negative regulation of S phase of mitotic cell cycle,” “mitotic metaphase/anaphase transition,” and “negative regulation of lymphocyte proliferation.” This functional enrichment pattern was also supported by hDMR-containing non-coding RNA genes *hY3* and *hY5* that were reported to be essential in DNA replication [26], which is an integral part of cell division.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have generated and analysed the two haploid methylomes of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from an individual whose genome was previously sequenced. This allowed, for the first time, for assessment of the level of ASM within a human methylome and extends recent studies analysing variation between different human methylomes.

![Figure 3. Example of a gene (FANK1) newly identified to display allele-specific methylation (ASM). Tracks 1 and 2 show the position of exon 1 and the associated CpG island, respectively. Track 3 shows the position of the identified haploid differentially methylated region (hDMR), W and C denote the forward (Watson) and reverse (Crick) strands of allele 1, and W’ and C’ denote the corresponding strands of allele 2. The DNA methylation status is color-coded: hypomethylated (yellow) and hypermethylated (blue). The bottom track shows the underlying bisulfite sequencing data for each CpG in the hDMR. The color code is as above, except for unfilled boxes, which denote the absence of data. The actual methylation level (shown as yellow:blue ratio) was derived from an average of 14.7 reads per CpG site.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000533.g003)

![Figure 4. Venn diagram showing the relationship between haploid differentially methylated regions (hDMRs, red), known imprinted genes (blue), and their intersections (green). In the intersection, 17 known imprinted genes overlapped with 12 hDMRs in their genomic space.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000533.g004)
Sample Preparation and Bisulfite Sequencing

Data Availability

Materials and Methods

Data Availability

The PBMC methylome data have been deposited into the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE17972). In addition, the PBMC methylome and other data are available at the YH genome database (http://yh.genomics.org.cn).

Public Data Used

The YH genome was downloaded from YH database (http://yh.genomics.org.cn). Gene and repeat annotations were downloaded from the UCSC genome database (http://genome.ucsc.edu/). The NCBI reference genes with prefix “NM” were mapped to the reference genome using BLAT by UCSC. Hits with >90% identity were retained for further analysis and only one transcript was retained for each gene. Known imprinted genes were extracted from the content of [23], respectively.

Sample Preparation and Bisulfite Sequencing

Peripheral blood was obtained from the same individual as in the YH project, and mononuclear cells were separated through Ficoll-Paque (GE HealthCare) gradient centrifugation. The total DNA was prepared by proteinase K/phenol extraction, and RNA was extracted from mononuclear cells with RNasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA was fragmented by sonication using a Bioruptor (Diagenode, Belgium) to a mean size of approximately 250 bp, followed by blunt-ending, dA addition to 3’-end and, finally, adaptor addition (in this case of methylated adaptors to protect from bisulfite conversion), essentially according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The bisulfite conversion of the adaptor-added DNA was carried out as previously described [31]. Raw GA sequencing data were processed by Illumina Pipeline v1.3.1.

Estimation of Methylation Level

Sequencing errors could affect the ascertainment of methylation; therefore, we used the non-CpG methylation level as an indicator of errors. Overall methylation level of non-CpG sites becomes stable when quality >14 (Figure S9), which means the estimate is reliable.
above such a threshold. To eliminate the effect of low quality bases when estimating the methylation level of a specific genomic CpG cytosine, we divided the number of ascertainment bases by the number of total Q14-covering bases of that genomic location. To estimate the methylation level of a single base accurately, we only used CpG cytosines with a per-strand depth of more than 4 in the analysis of distribution of single CpG methylation levels, as for Figure 1 in the main text. Distribution of methylation level on CpG sites with 4× to 10× coverage, between which there was at minimum a consistent 10× coverage, indicated that the depth requirement was reasonable at even 4× coverage and could provide 5 different results (Figure S10).

For estimating the methylation level in a specific region, we divided the number of all ascertainment bases in the region by the number of all Q14 bases covering CpG cytosines in that region.

Identification of Potential Tissue-Specific Differentially Methylated Regions (tDMR)

Potential tDMRs were identified by comparison of the PBMC and fetal lung fibroblast cell (IMR90) [2] methylomes using windows that contained at least 5 CpG sites with a 2-fold change in methylation level and Fisher test p value <1e−20. In addition, we require that both tissues should not be hypomethylated in tDMR discovery. Two nearby tDMRs would be considered interdependent and joined into one continuous tDMR if the genomic region from the start of an upstream tDMR to the end of a downstream tDMR also had 2-fold methylation level differences between sperm and PBMC with a p value <1e−20. Otherwise, the two tDMRs were viewed as independent. After iteratively merging interdependent tDMRs, the final dataset of tDMRs was made up of those that were independent from each other.

Identification of Haploid Differentially Methylated Regions (hDMR)

We checked single-end and paired-end reads that were aligned across heterozygotes identified from the YH genome [11] to assign them to specific alleles. We calculated the methylation level of CpGs in SNP-allele containing reads that were assigned to an allele, and the number of methylcytosines and cytosines in the reads from each allele were subjected to Fisher test. Regions with at least 3 genomic CpGs, 2-fold change in methylation level, and a p value <0.001 were defined as hDMRs. Two hDMRs were joined if the phasing relationship could be validated by haplotype analysis of the corresponding YH sequence data or by reads spanning two heterozygotes.
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