



**Comments on “A course on endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta
A pilot study for residents and specialists”**

Engberg, Morten; Taudorf, Mikkel; Russell, Lene; Konge, Lars; Lönn, Lars

Published in:
Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research

DOI:
[10.4174/astr.2021.101.2.129](https://doi.org/10.4174/astr.2021.101.2.129)

Publication date:
2021

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
[CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Citation for published version (APA):
Engberg, M., Taudorf, M., Russell, L., Konge, L., & Lönn, L. (2021). Comments on “A course on endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: A pilot study for residents and specialists”. *Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research*, 101(2), 129-130. <https://doi.org/10.4174/astr.2021.101.2.129>

Comments on “A course on endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: a pilot study for residents and specialists”

Morten Engberg^{1,2}, Mikkel Taudorf³, Lene Russell^{1,4}, Lars Konge^{1,2}, Lars Lönn^{2,3}

¹*Copenhagen Academy for Medical Education and Simulation (CAMES), Centre for Human Resources and Education, Copenhagen, Denmark*

²*Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*

³*Department of Radiology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark*

⁴*Department of Intensive Care, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark*

The recently published article “A course on endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: a pilot study for residents and specialists” in *Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research* by Chang et al. [1] describes the design of a new endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta course (ET-REBOA). To evaluate its effectiveness, 16 residents and 12 board-certified specialists were enrolled and performed 2 REBOA procedures on a simulator after completing a series of lectures. Outcomes were evaluated by participants’ self-reported confidence, a new procedure checklist, and procedure duration. The authors conclude that “...the ET-REBOA course significantly decreased the time taken to perform the REBOA procedure with high satisfaction of the participants. The course could be an effective addition to the curriculum for the development of endovascular skills for performing REBOA.”

We commend the authors for their important efforts to advance simulation training of REBOA, which we agree is warranted [2]. However, the methods used to assess performance cause concern about the validity and, hence, the clinical applicability of the conclusions. First of all, doctors are consistently incapable of evaluating their own skills, and therefore self-assessment has little value [3]. Second, the authors use a checklist with no evidence of validity to support the use of this specific list. Validity evidence is essential for ensuring that assessment instruments measure what they intend; actual procedural skill [4,5]. Finally, the demonstrated reduction in

procedure time does not in itself equal skills improvement, as concluded by the authors. A fast procedure might be dangerous if performed incorrectly or unsafely.

What is needed to advance REBOA simulation training is an assessment tool supported by strong validity evidence, preferably using rating scales instead of a checklist design [6]. Such a tool would allow for competency-based training programs and open the door to comparative studies of the effect and cost-effectiveness of different training strategies [7,8].

We congratulate the authors for their fine contribution, and we are excited to learn about future plans to explore the effect of the course on real-life clinical outcomes of trauma patients treated with REBOA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Engberg has received a research grant from Neurescue, a Danish REBOA company. Professor Lönn is medical director for Mentice. Dr. Taudorf is a clinical advisor for Neurescue.

ORCID iD

Morten Engberg: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5241-7268>

Mikkel Taudorf: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4856-9856>

Lene Russell: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7352-8728>

Lars Konge: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1258-5822>

Lars Lönn: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2089-5211>

Received April 15, 2021, Accepted May 4, 2021

Corresponding Author: Morten Engberg

Copenhagen Academy for Medical Education and Simulation, Ryegade 53B, Entrance 98A, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Tel: +45-38664631

E-mail: morten.engberg@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5241-7268>

Copyright © 2021, the Korean Surgical Society

© Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research is an Open Access Journal. All articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: All authors

Writing – Original draft: ME

Writing – Review & Editing: All authors

REFERENCES

1. Chang YR, Park CY, Kim DH, Ma DS, Chang SW. A course on endovascular training for resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: a pilot study for residents and specialists. *Ann Surg Treat Res* 2020;99:362-9.
2. Engberg M, Taudorf M, Rasmussen NK, Russell L, Lönn L, Konge L. Training and assessment of competence in resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) - a systematic review. *Injury* 2020;51:147-56.
3. Eva KW, Regehr G. Self-assessment in the health professions: a reformulation and research agenda. *Acad Med* 2005;80(10 Suppl):S46-S54.
4. Cook DA, Beckman TJ. Current concepts in validity and reliability for psychometric instruments: theory and application. *Am J Med* 2006;119:166.e7-16.
5. Borgersen NJ, Naur TM, Sørensen SM, Bjerrum F, Konge L, Subhi Y, et al. Gathering validity evidence for surgical simulation: a systematic review. *Ann Surg* 2018;267:1063-8.
6. Ilgen JS, Ma IW, Hatala R, Cook DA. A systematic review of validity evidence for checklists versus global rating scales in simulation-based assessment. *Med Educ* 2015;49:161-73.
7. Cook DA. If you teach them, they will learn: why medical education needs comparative effectiveness research. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract* 2012;17:305-10.
8. McGaghie WC. Mastery learning: it is time for medical education to join the 21st century. *Acad Med* 2015;90:1438-41.