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ABSTRACT

Adopting mixtures between legumes and non legumes can be an efficient tool to merge the advantages of the single species in the fall-sown cover crop practice. Nevertheless there is a lack of information on how the species proportion may affect N accumulation and C/N of the cover crops and how this can influence the N uptake and N status of different subsequent summer cash crops.

In this study the N effect of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) and hairy vetch (Vicia villosa Roth.) grown in pure stands or in mixtures with different sowing proportion was tested on maize (Zea Mays L.) and processing tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Cover crop N accumulation and C/N ratio were monitored during the whole growing cycle, and CO2 flux from the soil was measured after their incorporation into the soil. N status of the following cash crops was evaluated by comparing the observed data with the appropriate critical N dilution curves.

The results highlight the effectiveness of mixtures for the management of the winter cover crop practice. In the two considered years, the species proportion influences the aboveground biomass (ranging from 2.90 to 5.94 Mg ha−1) and N accumulation (ranging from 73.8 to 183.2 kg ha−1) of the mixtures. The legume component, even at low proportion, increased the N accumulation of the cover crop of 148% (in 2006) and 134% (in 2007) compared to pure stand barley. Also the biomass quality of the cover crops was greatly affected by species proportion (e.g. C/N ranging from 12.0 to 18.9) and this aspect showed a clear effect on the N availability for the subsequent crop. N effect (N eff) of the different cover crop mixtures (especially those with high barley proportions) brought tomato much closer to the critical N value than they did with maize. The basis of the relationship between cover crop C/N and N eff was confirmed, so mixtures can be used to adjust the extent and timing of mineralisation of the incorporated biomass to the subsequent cash crop requirements. Prediction of the cash crops N status on the cover crop C/N appears to be a useful approach, but, it may be important to take the characteristics of the following cash crop into account.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen (N) management in low-input and organic farming systems relies mainly on preventive measures, such as the introduction of legumes in crop rotations (van Kessel and Hartley, 2000), the use of winter cover crops and the adoption of conservative soil tillage techniques (Lampkin, 1990; Stockdale et al., 2002; Thorup-Kristensen et al., 2003). Recently, there has been an increased interest in cover crops also in conventional agriculture, mainly due to a rise in the price of fertilisers and to the increased awareness of environmental issues (Salmeron et al., 2011; Gabriel and Quemada, 2011).

In the Mediterranean environment, winter cover crops are mainly grown because of their capacity to incorporate N during the winter season and hand it over to the succeeding cash crop in spring–summer, upon the incorporation of aboveground biomass residues into the soil (Teasdale et al., 2008; Kramberger et al., 2009). Such ‘nitrogen effect’ results from two combined processes: on one side the uptake of N (N uptake) by the cover crop during its growing cycle and, on the other side, the release of N by mineralisation after the incorporation of aboveground biomass residues into the soil (Thorup-Kristensen, 1994a; Thorup-Kristensen and Nielsen, 1998). Therefore, the large N uptake variability of the subsequent cash crops, that mainly depends on crop potential and soil N availability (Vos and Van der Putten, 1997; Benincasa et al., 2010), and the
occurrence of asynchrony between N release from the residues and the subsequent cash crop, still need a comprehensive interpretation in the light of the “N effect” approach. All those aspects interfere, in fact, with the reliability of the cover crops as efficient tool for the agroecosystem N management. Asynchrony could occur as “excess-asynchrony” when release occurs at a time when plant demand is restricted or non-existent; or as “insufficient-asynchrony” when nutrient supply from the mineralisation of the biomass is too slow to meet plant needs (Myers et al., 1994).

One key aspect relates to the selection of species. When cover crops are specifically used for green manuring purposes, this selection depends on the primary aims to be achieved. Indeed, legumes are mainly used for their ability to fix, accumulate and supply large amounts of N (Ledgard and Giller, 1995; Peoples et al., 1995; Cazzato et al., 2003; Caporali et al., 2004; Campiglia et al., 2010), while non-legumes are mainly used to prevent soil erosion, trap N and reduce its leaching to the water table (Vos and Van der Putten, 2001; Macdonald et al., 2005; Constantin et al., 2011).

Adopting mixtures between legumes and non legumes can be an efficient tool to merge the advantages of the single species in the cover crop practice (Ranells and Wagger, 1997) achieving both environmental and agronomic benefits (Crews and Peoples, 2005). The use of mixtures leads to a radical modification of the biochemical composition of the aboveground biomass that will be incorporated into the soil (Tosti et al., 2010), hence it could represent an important factor affecting the N mineralisation and the N availability for the subsequent crop. Moreover, the intercropping of legumes and non legumes frequently leads to facilitative interactions (Ofili and Stern, 1987; Jensen, 1996; Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen, 2005; Fan et al., 2006), but this advantage has never been evaluated considering how the proportion between the species affects the N effect for different summer cash crops.

The objectives of this study were to: (i) analyse the dynamics of growth (with particular reference to total N content and C/N ratio) for two cover crop species (hairy vetch, Vicia villosa Roth., and barley, Hordeum vulgare L.) cultivated in pure stands and in mixtures characterised by varying proportions among the different species; (ii) examine how the mixture composition affects the nitrogen effect for the succeeding crop, and (iii) evaluate possible differences between two summer crops (maize, Zea Mays L., and processing tomato, Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) in terms of response to the amount of N supplied by the cover crops in pure stands or mixtures.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental site and design

Field experiments were carried out in two growing seasons (2005–2006 and 2006–2007) at the Experimental Station of the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences of the University of Perugia (Papiano, Central Italy, 43° N, 165 m a.s.l.). The soil was a clay-loam (Fluvic Haplustox) with the 0–0.5 m soil layer containing 46% silt, 33% clay, 20% sand and 1.2% organic matter. The pH was 7.8; the content of extractable Olsen-P was 29.5 mg kg⁻¹, and exchangeable K was 254 mg kg⁻¹.

Complete weather data (including temperature and rainfall) were obtained from an automatic meteorological station inside the experimental site. In both years, the preceding crop was sunflower. After harvesting operation the residues of sunflower were cut and incorporated into the soil by a superficial (0.25 m) ploughing followed by harrowing. The seed bed preparation was optimal in both years. The experiment consisted of two consecutive phases, the first being the cultivation of autumn–winter cover crops until the killing date, the second being the cultivation of two spring–summer cash crops.

As cover crops, two species were used, i.e. barley (H. vulgare L., cultivar Amillis) and hairy vetch (V. villosa Roth., cultivar Capello). These species were sown as pure crops at the ordinary sowing rates (400 seeds of barley m⁻²; B100; 200 seeds of vetch m⁻²; V100) and as mixtures, with varying seed ratios according to the replacement principle (de Wit and van den Bergh, 1965; Connolly, 1986).

In detail, the following three combinations were chosen: barley at 75% of its full sowing rate + vetch at 25% of its full sowing rate (300 + 50 seeds m⁻²; B75V25), barley 50% + vetch 50% (200 + 100 seeds m⁻²; B50V50) and barley 25% + vetch 75% (100 + 150 seeds m⁻²; B25V75). Beside the cover crops, two control plots were also added to the experiment (see later), wherein pure barley was grown as in B100, but the aboveground biomass was removed instead of being incorporated into the soil at the killing date. The experimental design was a completely randomized block with 8 replicates in 2005–2006 and 6 replicates in 2006–2007. The plot size was 80 m² in both years.

After the killing of cover crops, grain maize (Z. mays L., cultivar Arzano FAO class 400) and processing tomato (L. esculentum Mill., cultivar PS1296) were sown/planted in 4 (2006) or 3 (2007) blocks each. Considering the aforementioned control plots (two for each block), these were used to accommodate respectively an unfertilised control (N0) and a fertilised control (N200). In such way, the pre-emptive competition effect (Thorup-Kristensen, 1993) occurred also in the N0 and N200 control treatments. N200 received 200 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea at sowing (in maize), or via fertigation (in processing tomato), while no other plots receive any mineral fertilisation input.

2.2. Crop management

Cover crops were sown on 28.10.2005 and 27.10.2006 in rows spaced 0.15 m apart. Barley and hairy vetch in the mixtures were sown in the same row. No N fertilisation was supplied to the cover crops while Phosphorus and Potassium were applied to all plots at a rate of 75 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ and K₂O, respectively, each at cover crop planting. No diseases or weed control was performed.

At killing dates (12.04.2006 and 03.04.2007) the hairy vetch plants were at beginning of flowering and barley plants at ear emergence. Cover crop aboveground biomass was mowed, finely chopped and immediately incorporated into the soil (0.2 m depth) by a rotary cultivator equipped with scissor organs and a back-roller.

Maize was sown with a pneumatic drill on 24.04.2006 and on 19.04.2007 and the emergence occurred on 08.05.2006 and 03.05.2007; two weeks later plant density was adjusted by thinning out to 8 plants m⁻². Processing tomato was bedded using a drawn row crop transplanter on 15.05.2006 and 15.05.2007 in twin-rows (1.2 m + 0.4 m) at 3.3 plants m⁻². Both cash crops were drip-irrigated twice a week for all treatments, according to ETC (Allen et al., 1998). Pest control was never necessary during the growing cycle, while weeds were thoroughly controlled by hand. Copper was applied during the processing tomato crop season in order to control foliar diseases.

2.3. Plant sampling and analytical determinations

Aboveground biomass accumulation of the cover crops was determined by periodical samplings of plants from a surface area of 1.2 m² per each plot. Plant sampling was performed at 75, 103, 138, 159, 166 Days After Sowing (DAS) in 2005–2006, and at 47, 81, 124, 139, 158 DAS in 2006–2007. At each sampling date, the aboveground biomass of vetch and barley in mixtures was separated by hand. The harvested aboveground biomass was weighed,
oven dried at 80 °C, ground to a fine powder and stored for the analysis of N content (see later).

In order to assess the mineralisation rhythm, CO2 flux from soil (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was monitored after the incorporation of cover crops (Kuzack, 2006; Bardgett and Wardle, 2010), by using an ADC-LCA4 gas analyser (Analytical Development Company Ltd, UK), equipped with a soil respiration hood device. Owing to the time required for these determinations, a total of 7 and 6 measurements were taken respectively in the two years, on B100, V100, B50V50 and N0. Measurements were taken in 4 sites per each plot between 10:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. with the purpose of avoiding extreme heat fluxes. In order to obtain a reliable estimate of the mineralisation of the cover crop residues, the amount of CO2 evolved from N0 was subtracted from the measurements taken on all the other plots (ΔCO2).

The aboveground biomass accumulation of maize was determined by sampling 6 plants per plot, at 23, 29, 43, 57, 71, 80 and 151 Days After Emergence (DAE) in 2006 and at 21, 35, 47, 63, 77 and 151 DAE in 2007. Maize grain yield was determined at the end of the growing season by harvesting 30 maize plants from the central area of each plot, and weighing the whole above ground mass and separately, the yield of grain. The aboveground biomass accumulation of processing tomato was determined at final harvest: in the core area of each plot, and weighing the whole above ground mass and rotten fruits) and weighed.

As already mentioned for cover crops, the harvested aboveground biomass was weighed, oven dried at 80 °C and ground to a fine powder. For both cover crops and cash crops, an automatic analyser (FlowSys, Systea, Italy) was used to measure reduced-N concentrations in Kjeldahl digests prepared following the method proposed by Isaac and Johnson (1976). The C/N ratio of the cover crops was estimated assuming that the C content of the aboveground biomass was equal to 42% of the dry matter (Thorup-Kristensen, 1994b; Quemada, 2004).

2.4. Data analysis

N status (i.e. limiting/optimal/luxury N consumption) was evaluated over the whole cycle of both cash crops, by comparing the actual N concentration in plant tissues with the critical N concentration curves, as determined in previous studies in maize (Piénot and Lemaire, 2000) and processing tomato (Tei et al., 2002).

N effect (Neff) of cover crops on the following cash crops was estimated as follows:

\[
N_{eff,i} = N_{upt,CC,i} - N_{upt,N0}
\]

where i represents the different cover crop treatments (from 1 to 5 levels), NuptCC represents the Nupt (kg N ha⁻¹) at harvest in each cash crop following each cover crop treatment and NuptN0 represents the Nupt recorded in N0. As above mentioned, the pre-emptive competition occurred also in N0, so Neff only considers the mineralisation effect of the cover crop. Therefore, Neff can be compared across years, cover crops and cash crops.

For a better discussion of results, Neff values obtained with Eq. (1) were compared with those predicted from the characteristics of the incorporated aboveground biomass for each cover crop by using the model proposed by Thorup-Kristensen (1994b):

\[
N_{eff} = 0.75N - 0.03C
\]

where N and C represent the total nitrogen and carbon content in the cover crop aboveground biomass.

For each experimental treatment and recorded variable, means and standard errors were calculated and reported on the tables/graphics. The observed data at the final sampling date of the cover crops (aboveground biomass dry weight; N accumulation and C/N ratio) and at cash crop harvest (aboveground biomass dry weight; N uptake, commercial yield) were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA), by entering the year and experimental treatment (N0, N200 and cover crops) as fixed effects. Statistical analyses were performed by using the software R (R Development Core Team, 2009).

3. Results

3.1. Weather conditions

The total amount of rain during the first experimental year (728 mm) was substantially higher than during the second (476 mm), while the two years were quite similar in terms of daily mean air temperature (Fig. 1). Likewise, the difference between precipitations and Penman–Monteith evapotranspiration (Δ, mm) was very high during the first year and rather low during the second. The main difference in terms of rain frequency and amount between the two years was observed at a very early stage of the cover crop cycle (i.e. in November, December and early January; Fig. 1), while the rest of the season was rather similar in the two years, except for a short period of intense precipitations at the end of September 2006. The time interval from cover crop incorporation into the soil to cash crop establishment was characterised by higher Δ in 2006 as compared to 2007 (Fig. 1).

3.2. N accumulation dynamics and aboveground biomass accumulation of cover crops

A uniform plant emergence was observed in both years, about two weeks after sowing. As expected, Nacc dynamics in barley was very different as compared to vetch (Fig. 2). In both years, Nacc rate of barley was fast during the initial phase, but slowed down later on. Considering the amount of Nacc in 2006, barley showed low values during the whole growing cycle and the differences among the four sowing proportions were negligible; on the other hand, in 2007, total Nacc of these species was consistently higher than in 2006 and, during the first part of the growing cycle, it was positively affected by increasing sowing proportions; however, no significant differences among treatments were observed at the last sampling date (Fig. 2). Nacc dynamics observed in hairy vetch showed a slow initial phase followed by an increasing rate until the incorporation of this crop into the soil; in both years, the amount of Nacc increased with increasing vetch sowing proportions, in a statistically significant manner, starting from the 3rd sampling date onwards (Fig. 2).

The last sampling dates (soil incorporation of cover crops) are particularly relevant in terms of plant nutrition for the following cash crops. With this respect, no significant ‘treatment by year’ interactions were observed, showing that effects were consistent across years.

Considering the final accumulated aboveground biomass (Table 1), barley showed lower values in 2005–2006 with respect to 2006–2007. Otherwise, vetch was not affected by the year. Mixtures showed a high and stable aboveground biomass accumulation in 2007, while in 2006 values increased with the proportion of vetch. Besides, in both years total aboveground biomass accumulation of mixtures was higher compared to that of pure barley (Table 1).

Total Nacc by the cover crops in the whole aboveground biomass (barley + vetch), was significantly (P = 0.0015) higher in 2007 with
Fig. 1. Weather data during the experiment. Dark columns and thin lines represent daily precipitation (mm) and mean air temperature (°C), respectively. Bold lines represent the difference between cumulated rainfall and cumulated Penman–Monteith evapotranspiration (Δ mm). The timing of the main field operations is also reported.

respect to 2006 (137.1 vs. 104.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) on average). As expected, \(N_{\text{acc}}\) was high in pure vetch (187.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) as an average of the two years) and low in pure barley (39.7 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)), while \(N_{\text{acc}}\) of mixtures increased with the proportion of vetch within the above range and the observed differences were always significant \((p<0.001)\). Regarding each single species, \(N_{\text{acc}}\) of barley was not affected by the sowing proportion, but only by the year \((p=2.433\times10^{-10}; 25.6\text{ vs. }51.2\text{ kg N ha}^{-1}\text{ respectively in 2006 and 2007})\). On the other hand, \(N_{\text{acc}}\) of vetch was not significantly affected by the year and it was significantly affected by sowing proportion \((p=5.761\times10^{-8})\), ranging on average from 54.6 to 187.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\).

Fig. 2. N accumulation (kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) in the aboveground biomass of barley (B), hairy vetch (V) and barley + vetch (B + V) during the growing cycles in 2006 and 2007. Barley and hairy vetch were grown as cover crops in pure stand at full sowing density (B100 and V100, respectively) and in mixtures with varying seed ratios, relative to full sowing density, i.e. 75% barley + 25% vetch (B75V25), 50% + 50% (B50V50) and 25% + 75% (B25V75). Bars indicate ±1 standard error.
Table 1
Dry weight accumulation (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) of the cover crops aboveground biomass at the date of its incorporation into the soil in 2006 and 2007 (see Fig. 2 for the treatment abbreviations). Standard errors (in brackets) and results of the analysis of variance are also reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barley</th>
<th>Vetch</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B100</td>
<td>2.34 (0.161)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.34 (0.161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B75V25</td>
<td>1.70 (0.155)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.189)</td>
<td>2.90 (0.123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50V50</td>
<td>1.16 (0.081)</td>
<td>2.26 (0.063)</td>
<td>3.43 (0.050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B25V75</td>
<td>1.00 (0.065)</td>
<td>3.13 (0.174)</td>
<td>4.12 (0.193)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.51 (0.262)</td>
<td>4.51 (0.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B100</td>
<td>4.17 (0.154)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.17 (0.154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B75V25</td>
<td>3.67 (0.150)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.158)</td>
<td>5.03 (0.082)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B50V50</td>
<td>2.94 (0.185)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.099)</td>
<td>5.02 (0.182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B25V75</td>
<td>2.82 (0.185)</td>
<td>3.12 (0.191)</td>
<td>5.94 (0.239)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.82 (0.224)</td>
<td>4.82 (0.224)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment (T)</strong></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year (Y)</strong></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T x Y</strong></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***: Significantly different at P<0.001
**: Significantly different at P<0.01
*: Significantly different at P<0.05
ns: not significant

N concentration on dry aboveground biomass (N%) recorded at the final sampling date was not affected by sowing proportion in hairy vetch (3.72 ± 0.148% in 2006 and 4.02 ± 0.131% in 2007); while it increased linearly (R\(^2\) = 0.893, n = 8) in barley following the increase of vetch proportion on the total aboveground biomass (Fig. 3).

3.3. C/N ratio of cover crops

C/N ratio was affected by the sowing proportion in barley and it increased during the growing cycle. Otherwise, this same ratio in vetch was stable around 10 and unaffected by sowing proportions in 2006, though in 2007 it decreased from 15 to 20 during early growth to 10 at later stages. In both years, C/N in mixtures ranged from 10 to 20 and it was reduced as the sowing proportion of vetch was increased. C/N remained relatively stable over time, especially when compared to the pure stand barley (Fig. 4).

3.4. CO\(_2\) flux from the soil

On average, CO\(_2\) flux rates were higher in pure and mixed vetch than in pure barley. The general trend of CO\(_2\) flux dynamics was quite similar in the two years, including an initial peak at 9 Days After the Incorporation (DAI) into the soil in 2006 and at 15 DAI in 2007, followed by a sudden fall, which was particularly sharp in V100 and B50V50 and less evident in B100 (Fig. 5). As compared to 2006, in 2007 the above fall was more evident. In both years, the CO\(_2\) flux from the soil returned to the same values as base values (N0) approximately from 50 DAI. Afterwards, the ∆CO\(_2\) stayed close to 0, except in 2007, when the CO\(_2\) efflux recorded in V100, rather than showing a low asymptotic behaviour, decreased to values lower than in the control (N0), implying negative ∆CO\(_2\) values. During the first 40 DAI of both years, the estimated proportion of C released from the soil on the total C incorporated ranged from 35% to 65%, and the release from the intercrop was higher than from either pure barley or vetch (Fig. 5).

3.5. N status, N effect and yield of the cash crops

At a very early stage, maize N nutritional status after V100 was close to optimal, but became sub-optimal when maize reached a dry aboveground biomass of approximately 5 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). Indeed, even the pure legume did not have sufficient effect on maize N nutritional status, particularly in 2007. Even though N deficiency was moderate, it was constant and lasted until the end of the maize growing cycle. Pure barley had the smallest effect on maize, and the concentration of N (%) within this cash crop was even below the...
level observed in N0. All the mixtures, particularly B75V25, showed a scarce effect on the maize N status (Fig. 6).

Processing tomato responded more positively to the cover crops in terms of N status (Fig. 6). When grown after V100, processing tomato could find optimal N conditions during the whole crop cycle and it was rarely found to be significantly above the critical N dilution curve. Thus, V100 was found to be a more suitable fertilisation strategy than N200, which produced a continuous luxury N consumption. Otherwise, pure barley resulted in an inadequate N status of processing tomato, similar to N0. The mixtures between barley and vetch gave a different effect on N nutritional status of tomato and, while this cash crop was close to the critical curve when grown after B25V75, it was in sub-optimal conditions after B75V25. After B50V50 the N status of tomato was intermediate (Fig. 6).

Pure barley led to the lowest $N_{eff}$, showing even negative values in 2007, while pure vetch showed the highest $N_{eff}$, especially in 2007. Mixtures showed intermediate $N_{eff}$ in both cash crops, in close agreement with the proportion of vetch within the aboveground biomass of cover crops (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, when processing tomato was grown after the mixtures, it showed $N_{eff}$ values consistently higher than maize. Furthermore, these
Fig. 6. N concentration (% on dry aboveground biomass) vs. aboveground biomass accumulation (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) observed in maize and processing tomato in 2006 (closed symbols) and 2007 (open symbols). Maize and processing tomato were cultivated both in controls (low and high N fertility, N0 and N200 respectively) and after cover crops (see Fig. 2 for the treatment abbreviations). The curves represent the critical N concentration for processing tomato (Tei et al., 2002) and maize (Plénet and Lemaire, 2000). Vertical and horizontal bars indicate ±1 standard error.

Differences between the two cash crops were much more evident in 2007 as compared to 2006.

The mean values of \(N_{\text{eff}}\) calculated across both years for maize and tomato were compared to the predicted values obtained from the model proposed by Thorup-Kristensen (1994b) for the N mineralization from plant material incorporated into the soil (Eq. (2)). The model predicted the observed values quite well, even if a general underestimation of the observations was found, especially with processing tomato (Fig. 8).

Aboveground biomass production, commercial yield and N uptake of maize and processing tomato were always significantly influenced by the experimental treatments (preceding cover crops and controls, Table 2) and only aboveground biomass production showed a significant ‘treatment by year’ interaction, explained by a very high aboveground biomass production with both cash crops (particularly maize) in 2007, when preceded by mineral fertilisation or cover crops with high vetch proportion (V100 and B25V75).

Fig. 7. Nitrogen effect (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) observed in 2006 and 2007 in maize and processing tomato following cover crops (see Fig. 2 for the treatment abbreviations). The N effect is calculated as the difference in main crop N uptake after the cover crop treatments and the N0 control treatment (Eq. (1)). Bars indicate ±1 standard error.
Apart from this interaction, effects were similar in both years: pure barley caused the lowest aboveground biomass production, yield and N uptake in both species and years (never significantly different from N0).

Considering pure vetch, this cover crop appeared generally more effective on the yield of maize rather than on processing tomato, but, nevertheless, in both species, aboveground biomass and commercial yield in V100 were not significantly different from N200. Otherwise, N uptake after pure vetch was always lower than in N200, particularly in tomato (Table 2).

Considering the mixtures, effects were more complex. In 2006, both cash crops gave similar aboveground biomass and commercial yields after the three mixtures (B75V25, B50V50 and B25V75), while N uptake was lower in B75V25. Otherwise, in 2007 aboveground biomass production, commercial yield and nitrogen uptake of both cash crops increased as the vetch sowing proportion increased (Table 2).

4. Discussion

4.1. Cover crops N accumulation

The reduction in barley growth observed in 2005–2006 with respect to 2006–2007 (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 1) can be ascribed to the very high frequency of intense rainfall events since early November 2005, which had a strong effect on base N fertility in soil. This is confirmed by the fact that the growth of vetch was not very much affected by the year.

Aboveground biomass accumulation in mixtures was higher with respect to pure barley, particularly in 2006 (49% vs 26% on average). Barley is generally the strongest competitor and thanks to its lower base temperature requirement for growth and faster growth rate, it should be more productive as winter cover crop than barley aboveground biomass with high C/N ratio led to stronger immobilization than mineralization and thereby to net N immobilization (Geisseler et al., 2010). On the contrary, in 2006 a small net mineralization was observed in B100 (Fig. 5).

4.2. Cover crop N supply and Neff on the succeeding crop

It is generally known that when fresh plant material is incorporated into the soil, the initial mineralisation is very fast compared to other organic materials (Kuzyakov et al., 2000; Prescott, 2005; Kuzyakov, 2010). Indeed, CO2 flux from the soil suggests that the most intensive mineralization period occurred within two weeks after ploughing (Fig. 5). Therefore, the initial N availability for the subsequent crops (especially for maize) was substantially related to the amount of N released (or immobilised) during this early stage (Fig. 5), when a sort of N reservoir is built. Our results support the idea that the build up of this initial reservoir was strictly related to the quality (mainly to C/N) of the aboveground biomass incorporated into the soil (Thorup-Kristensen, 1994b; Reddy et al., 2008) and thus it was dependent on the type of cover crop mixtures.

Before discussing the results about Neff, it is necessary to point out that in this experiment the effect of "pre-emptive" competition (Thorup-Kristensen, 1993) has been eliminated by growing and removing pure barley in control plots without incorporation. Therefore, the measured values of Neff are essentially dependent on N mineralization. It should also be considered that, if the effect of pre-emptive competition had not been eliminated, the measured Neff values would have been lower and, in the case of B100, more clearly negative. Neff observed in maize and processing tomato after B100 was negative in 2007, confirming that the high amount of barley aboveground biomass with high C/N ratio led to stronger immobilization than mineralization and thereby to net N immobilization (Geisseler et al., 2010). On the contrary, in 2006 a small net N mineralization was observed in B100 (Fig. 7).

Neff for both cash crops increased while the proportion of vetch in total aboveground biomass increased (Fig. 7) and such an effect is clearly related to the above-mentioned decrease in C/N ratio.
Indeed, the main mineralisation effect of the cover crop could be reasonably well predicted, based on its quality, i.e. on C and N content in its aboveground biomass (Fig. 8). In order to get an insight of what the effect would be when changing the incorporation date, predictions were made also by using the observed aboveground biomass quality at two earlier dates, with respect to the actual incorporation dates (Table 3). These predictions showed that $N_{\text{eff}}$ in V100 and all ‘barley + vetch’ mixtures should decrease when anticipating aboveground biomass incorporation, while the behaviour in B100 should be opposite. This seems to suggest that the optimal incorporation date depends on the type of the cover crop. Moreover, using ‘barley + vetch’ mixtures might allow for longer growth during the spring without hindering $N_{\text{eff}}$ (Table 3). From our results, we can argue that maize would have probably been more influenced by this delay than processing tomato. Finally, the N supply for both the cash crops was clearly modulated by the different barley/vetch proportions of the cover crops, that also influenced the C/N and consequently the $N_{\text{eff}}$ (Fig. 8 and Table 3).

4.3. N status and yield of maize and processing tomato

Comparing the N status of the cash crops to the critical N dilution curves, confirmed the better response of processing tomato to the mixtures (especially to B25V75) as compared to maize. Maize, in fact, represents a very high N-demanding crop, so its optimal N status was only reached when it was fertilised with synthetic N (N200). Thereby, the $N_{\text{eff}}$ of the different cover crops (especially those with high barley proportions) brought tomato much closer to the critical N value than they did with maize. Pure vetch did meet the N requirement of maize only in 2006, while it perfectly satisfied the N demand of processing tomato in both years (Fig. 6). Maize sowing usually precedes processing tomato transplanting of approximately 20 days; therefore less time is left for N mineralization before crop establishment. Furthermore, toxic and/or allelopathic compounds released from the decomposing biomass have not been taken into account in this study, but they could have also provoked some kind of negative effect on maize growth, particularly during the early phase (Hill et al., 2007).

As observed, in the considered pedoclimatic conditions the N immobilization was higher than the mineralization from the barley aboveground biomass leading to a small net N release in 2006, while in 2007 the N accumulated in the pure barley tissues was not available for the cash crop (Fig. 7). This finding suggests that the basis of the relationship between the N status of the cash crops and maize was even worse. The quality of cover crop aboveground N uptake was mainly influenced by C/N, rather than by the total N content of the cover crops aboveground biomass. Even if the non fertilised control supplied an extremely low amount of N to the cash crops, the effect of pure barley on the N status of both tomato and maize was even worse. The quality of cover crop aboveground biomass showed a clear effect on the N availability for the subsequent crop, but, differently from maize, the $N_{\text{eff}}$ values observed in tomato were constantly higher than the predicted ones (Fig. 8). This finding suggests that the basis of the relationship between

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (Y)</th>
<th>Treatment (T)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>$N_0$</th>
<th>$N_{200}$</th>
<th>$V_{100}$</th>
<th>$B_{25V75}$</th>
<th>$B_{50V50}$</th>
<th>$B_{75V25}$</th>
<th>N0</th>
<th>N10</th>
<th>N20</th>
<th>N30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>B100</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.31</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B75V25</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>189.8</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B50V50</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>215.4</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B25V75</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>219.0</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V100</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>22.15</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>231.9</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N200</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>313.3</td>
<td>16.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>148.6</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>211.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>B100</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B75V25</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>161.6</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B50V50</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>175.8</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B25V75</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>226.3</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V100</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>207.3</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>274.6</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N200</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>231.2</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>301.1</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>151.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>198.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ns**: Significantly different at $P<0.001$ 
**: Significantly different at $P<0.01$ 
*: Significantly different at $P<0.05$ 
ns: not significant

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAS</th>
<th>N uptake (kg N ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Aboveground biomass (Mg ha$^{-1}$ of DM)</th>
<th>Grain yield (Mg ha$^{-1}$ of DM)</th>
<th>N uptake (kg N ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Aboveground biomass (Mg ha$^{-1}$ of DM)</th>
<th>Fruit yield (Mg ha$^{-1}$ of DM)</th>
<th>N uptake (kg N ha$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>B100</td>
<td>−2.48 (1.368)</td>
<td>−4.49 (0.485)</td>
<td>−7.22 (1.828)</td>
<td>4.96 (0.641)</td>
<td>−1.30 (0.785)</td>
<td>−15.34 (1.937)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>B75V25</td>
<td>6.89 (1.578)</td>
<td>4.39 (0.812)</td>
<td>18.86 (5.372)</td>
<td>15.83 (0.830)</td>
<td>12.74 (2.445)</td>
<td>23.56 (6.824)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>B50V50</td>
<td>10.97 (1.204)</td>
<td>15.30 (4.198)</td>
<td>28.44 (4.228)</td>
<td>21.58 (2.011)</td>
<td>26.27 (2.845)</td>
<td>41.01 (4.355)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>B25V75</td>
<td>19.94 (0.838)</td>
<td>24.14 (1.737)</td>
<td>57.05 (3.952)</td>
<td>26.01 (1.631)</td>
<td>51.97 (3.806)</td>
<td>62.53 (1.059)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>V100</td>
<td>26.03 (2.114)</td>
<td>30.04 (3.419)</td>
<td>75.59 (11.089)</td>
<td>39.77 (3.755)</td>
<td>72.62 (2.758)</td>
<td>87.30 (2.444)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cover crop C/N and $N_{\text{eff}}$ was well-founded, even though the characteristics of the subsequent crop may play a role and should also be taken into account.

The effect of the cover crops on yield was quite similar for both cash crops. Amongst all the cover crop treatments, V100 allowed the best yield in maize, while the effect of B25V75 on the yield of processing tomato was better than that observed in pure vetch (Table 3). The total N supplied by B25V75, and the C/N of this mixture allowed a very good development of the photosynthetic structures of the crop, and, on the other hand, an optimal homogeneity and better synchrony in the ripening of the processing tomato fruits (i.e. less unmarketable fruits). These results led to a better yield as compared to V100 or even to N200 (Table 2).

5. Conclusions

- Using mixtures proved to be a very effective strategy for the management of winter cover crops, because barley and vetch complement each other very well, as the grass is capable of high growth rates during the cold season, while vetch becomes very important in spring, when N becomes the limiting factor. Changing the proportion of species within the mixture can be a key factor to adjust the extent and timing of N mineralisation to the nutritional requirements of the following crop.

- Likewise, changing the above proportion can be very important to ensure a good quality of the incorporated biomass (with particular reference to C/N ratio), which is fundamental for a good initial growth and N status of the subsequent cash crop.

- Aboveground biomass quality is central to predict its $N_{\text{eff}}$ on the subsequent crop. Therefore, the implementation of simple models for such a prediction appears to be a useful approach, even though our findings suggest that it may be important to consider also the characteristics of the following cash crop.

- The adoption of mixtures in the optimization of the “cover crop strategy” should be considered in order to improve the N use efficiency. In particular, the potential applications of cover crop mixtures for the mitigation of environmental risks caused by N leaching need to be better investigated, especially in the Mediterranean environment.
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