



**Breakpoint cloning and haplotype analysis indicate a single origin of the common Inv(10)( p11.2q21.2) mutation among northern Europeans**

Nielsen, Mette Gilling; Dullinger, J.S.; Gesk, S.; Metzke-Heidemann, S.; Siebert, R.; Meyer, T.; Brondum-Nielsen, K.; Tommerup, Niels; Ropers, H.H.; Tümer, Zeynep; Kalscheuer, V.M.; Thomas, N.S.

*Published in:*  
American Journal of Human Genetics

*Publication date:*  
2006

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Gilling, M., Dullinger, J. S., Gesk, S., Metzke-Heidemann, S., Siebert, R., Meyer, T., ... Thomas, N. S. (2006). Breakpoint cloning and haplotype analysis indicate a single origin of the common Inv(10)( p11.2q21.2) mutation among northern Europeans.

# Breakpoint Cloning and Haplotype Analysis Indicate a Single Origin of the Common *inv(10)(p11.2q21.2)* Mutation among Northern Europeans

Mette Gilling,<sup>1,\*</sup> Jörn S. Dullinger,<sup>2,3,\*</sup> Stefan Gesk,<sup>4</sup> Simone Metzke-Heidemann,<sup>4</sup> Reiner Siebert,<sup>4</sup> Thomas Meyer,<sup>3</sup> Karen Brondum-Nielsen,<sup>5</sup> Niels Tommerup,<sup>1</sup> Hans-Hilger Ropers,<sup>2</sup> Zeynep Tümer,<sup>1</sup> Vera M. Kalscheuer,<sup>2</sup> and N. Simon Thomas<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wilhelm Johannsen Center for Functional Genome Research, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen; <sup>2</sup>Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics and <sup>3</sup>Charité University Hospital, Berlin, Germany; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Kiel, Germany; <sup>5</sup>The John F. Kennedy Institute, Glostrup, Denmark; and <sup>6</sup>Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury District Hospital, Salisbury, United Kingdom

The pericentric *inv(10)(p11.2q21.2)* mutation has been frequently identified in cytogenetic laboratories, is phenotypically silent, and is considered to be a polymorphic variant. Cloning and sequencing of the junction fragments on 10p11 and 10q21 revealed that neither inversion breakpoint directly involved any genes or repetitive sequences, although both breakpoint regions contain a number of repeats. All 20 apparently unrelated *inv(10)* families in our study had identical breakpoints, and detailed haplotype analysis showed that the inversions were identical by descent. Thus, although considered a common variant, *inv(10)(p11.2q21.2)* has a single ancestral founder among northern Europeans.

A small number of cytogenetically visible human chromosome rearrangements are considered to be polymorphic variants, including several common pericentric inversions.<sup>1</sup> These inversions fall into two classes: one in which both breakpoints occur within heterochromatin (chromosomes 1, 3, 9, and 16) and the other in which both breakpoints occur within euchromatin (chromosomes 2, 5, and 10). The heterochromatic variants are the most frequent but may be a consequence of alterations in the amount and distribution of heterochromatin rather than true inversions.

The pericentric *inv(10)(p11.2q21.2)* mutation is not associated with any phenotypic abnormalities<sup>2</sup> and has been frequently identified in cytogenetic laboratories in the United Kingdom,<sup>2</sup> France,<sup>3</sup> Denmark and Sweden,<sup>4</sup> and North America.<sup>5</sup> The estimated frequency of *inv(10)* among prenatal diagnostic referrals to the laboratories taking part in this study is 1 in 3,600 in Germany, 1 in 7,100 in Denmark, and 1 in 12,800 in the United Kingdom. Thus, although the great majority of chromosome inversions appear to be unique rearrangements, the frequency and wide geographical distribution of *inv(10)(p11.2q21.2)* suggest that it might be a recur-

rent variation that has arisen independently in different populations.<sup>6</sup>

Repetitive sequence elements have been implicated in the formation of a range of recurrent structural rearrangements.<sup>7</sup> For example, the breakpoints of the most frequently occurring non-Robertsonian translocation, *t(11;22)*, are within palindromic AT-rich repeat sequences,<sup>8</sup> and low copy number repeats (LCRs), or duplicons, mediate the formation of microdeletions and microduplications.<sup>9</sup>

We have studied a series of 20 apparently unrelated families with cytogenetically identical *inv(10)s*, comprising 9 families from the United Kingdom, 5 from Germany, 3 from Denmark, 2 from Sweden, and 1 from northwestern Russia (table 1). Our study had two specific aims: (1) characterization of the *inv(10)* breakpoints at the molecular level, to ascertain whether the formation of the inversion is mediated by repetitive sequence elements, and (2) haplotype analysis, to determine the proportion of *inv(10)s* that arose independently and the proportion that share an ancestral founder and are identical by descent (IBD).

The *inv(10)* breakpoints of patients 1 and 2 were lo-

Received November 29, 2005; accepted for publication February 22, 2006; electronically published March 17, 2006.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. N. Simon Thomas, Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, Salisbury District Hospital, Salisbury, SP2 8BJ, United Kingdom. E-mail: [simon.thomas@salisbury.nhs.uk](mailto:simon.thomas@salisbury.nhs.uk)

\* These two authors contributed equally to this work.

*Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2006;78:878–883. © 2006 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved. 0002-9297/2006/7805-0014\$15.00

Table 1

## Study Population

Family	Patient	Country of Origin
1	Ger1	Germany
2	<i>Sw1</i>	<i>Sweden</i>
3	<i>Sw2</i>	<i>Sweden</i>
4	Dk1	Denmark
5	Dk2	Denmark
6	Dk3	Denmark
7	UK1	United Kingdom
8	<i>UK3</i>	<i>United Kingdom</i>
9	<i>UK4</i>	<i>United Kingdom</i>
10	UK5	United Kingdom
11	UK6	United Kingdom
12	UK7	United Kingdom
13	UK8	United Kingdom
14	UK9	United Kingdom
15	<i>UK10</i>	<i>United Kingdom</i>
16	Ger2	Germany
17	Ger3	Germany
18	Ger4	Germany
19	Ger5	Germany
20	Rus1	Northwestern Russia

NOTE.—Bold italic type = phase known.

cated by FISH in the cytogenetic bands 10p11.21 and 10q21.1. For both inv(10) carriers, the BAC clone RP11-92B19 spans the breakpoint on 10p11.21. On 10q21.1, the breakpoints of both carriers were within the overlapping region of BAC clones RP11-22H3 and RP11-806B6. Subsequent analysis showed that the breakpoints of a further seven inv(10) carriers fell in the same spanning BAC clones.<sup>10</sup>

The inversion breakpoints of patient 1 were further refined by Southern blot analysis and were subsequently cloned. Sequence analysis revealed an overall loss of two nucleotides. The break in the 10q junction fragment could be unambiguously assigned, but, in the 10p junction fragment, there was a 2-bp overlap common to both 10p11 and 10q21 sequences (fig. 1). Thus, it is not possible to tell at which breakpoint site the deletion occurred. Apart from the 2-bp identity at the breakpoint, there was no extensive homology between the 10p11 and 10q21 sequences.

To determine whether other inv(10) carriers in our

10pter - GTAGTAATGTATGCATTGTGAATAGTAATAGTTAACATTACCA  
**GTAGTAATGTATGCATTGT--TAGTAATAGTTAACATTACCA**  
 ATCAATTAGTGTATTCATAATTCTATGTAATGTAATTATTATTAA - 10qter  
ATCAATTAGTGTATTCATAA--CTATGTAATGTAATTATTATTAA

Sequence of the 10p  
 junction fragment     **GTA TGC ATT TGT AA**     TTA TGA ATA CAC  
                                  CAT AGC TAA ACA TT     AAT ACT TAT GTG

Sequence of the 10q  
 junction fragment     ACA ATT ACA TAG **TAG TAA TAG TTA**  
                                  TGT TAA TGT ATC     ATC ATT ATC AAT

**Figure 1** Sequence analysis of junction fragments. A, Genomic sequence encompassing breakpoints. B, Sequence of PCR-amplified junction fragments showing the chromosome 10 genomic sequence (normal text), the sequence flanking the 10p11 breakpoint (white text), the sequence flanking the 10q21 breakpoint (underlined text), and the sequence from either 10p11 or 10q21 (boxed). The genomic sequences shown have the following coordinates in NCBI 35 (November 2005): 10p: 37,148,066–37,148,108/AL390061.9; 4,483–4,525 and 10q: 59,748,173–59,748,217/AC016396.6; 120,582–120,626.

series contained the same breakpoints, we designed PCR assays to specifically amplify the rearranged chromosome 10 (table 2). PCR fragments of identical length were amplified at both breakpoints in the remaining 19 families, and sequencing revealed that the breakpoints were identical in all the inv(10) carriers.

The 10p11 breakpoint maps to 37,148 kb from 10pter (NCBI 35, November 2005) in a gene desert with no known gene for 300 kb on either side of the breakpoint. The 10q21 breakpoint maps to 59,748 kb within a cluster of four genes (*IPMK*, *CJ070*, *UBE2D1* [MIM 602961], and *TFAM* [MIM 600438]). Although a position effect cannot be excluded, no genes are directly disrupted by either breakpoint. This observation is consistent with the benign nature of the inversion.

The breakpoints did not directly involve any repetitive sequences. However, although the breaks occurred within short stretches of unique single-copy sequence, in both cases these were flanked by several repeats. The RepeatMasker program showed that the sequence around both breakpoints was enriched for interspersed repetitive elements. The 10-kb interval on 10p11–5 kb

Table 2

## Amplification of 10p11 and 10q21 Junction Fragments

BREAKPOINT	PRIMER SEQUENCES		FRAGMENT SIZE (bp)	PCR CONDITIONS
	Forward	Reverse		
10p11.2	GAGGCCAGGCTTAAAGCAAC	CCCACTATGGTCTGCACCAG	354	39 cycles: 95°C 30 s, 57°C 30 s, 72°C 40 s
10q21.2	AGCTGCTGTAGCCTTTGCAC	AACTGGTAAAAGAAGATCCTTGG	513	39 cycles: 95°C 30 s, 56°C 30 s, 68°C 40 s

Table 3

## Microsatellite Analysis in Phase-Known Families

LOCUS	LOCUS SIZE (Mb)	HETEROZYGOSITY	NO. OF ALLELES	ALLELE SIZE <sup>a</sup>					FOUNDER
				Family 2	Family 3	Family 8	Family 9	Family 15	
<i>D10S600</i>	28.7	.84	10	178	182	190	186	182	-
<i>D10S213</i>	29.5	.83	9	188	180/188	188	172	182	-
<i>D10S204</i>	29.7	.76	12	291	291	319	329	295	-
<i>D10S193</i>	30.6	.82	9	214	220	224	224	220	-
<i>D10S208</i>	31.7	.80	9	180	182	182	178	182	-
<i>D10S199</i>	32.4	.86	12	173	173	173	179	179	-
<i>D10S1666</i>	33.7	.72	10	266	258	276	274	256	-
<i>D10S1175</i>	33.9	1.00	Unknown	320	320	316	310	348	-
<i>D10S176</i>	36.8	.70	10	114	94	94	94	94	-
<i>D10S1791</i>	37.1	.73	6	207	201	201/207	201	201	-
10p11.21	37.1								
<i>D10S508</i>	37.8	.67	Unknown	184	184	184	184	184	184
Centromere	39–41								
<i>D10S141</i>	42.8	.85	13	115	115	115/131	115	115	115
<i>D10S469</i>	42.8	.87	10	123	123	123/137	123	123	123
<i>ZNF22</i>	44.8	.84	10	151	151	151	151	151	151
<i>sJRH</i>	48.0	.90	17	303	299	299	299	299	299
<i>D10S1793</i>	49.5	.86	11	254	254	254	252	254	254
<i>D10S1766</i>	50.4	.75	5	171	171	171	171	171	171
<i>D10S220</i>	51.7	.84	10	107	107	107	107	109	107
<i>D10S196</i>	51.8	.79	6	100	94	100	100	94/100	100
<i>D10S1790</i>	54.6	.84	11	191	193	193	193	193	193
<i>D10S539</i>	54.7	.76	8	93	93	93	93	93	93
<i>D10S1124</i>	56.8	.88	14	231	231	231	231	231	231
<i>D10S1788</i>	57.3	.78	7	249	249	249	249	249	249
<i>D10S1767</i>	58.0	.71	13	256	256	256	256	256	256
<i>D10S1756</i>	58.4	.84	9	192	192/194	192	192	192	192
<i>D10S524</i>	58.6	.88	Unknown	369	365	369	369	369	369
<i>D10S1659</i>	58.7	.75	8	184	184	184	184	184/194	184
10q21.1	59.8								
<i>D10S589</i>	60.8	.79	8	190	186	184	184	186	-
<i>D10S464</i>	60.9	.78	8	140	140	144	134	140	-
<i>D10S1652</i>	63.8	.78	10	167	163	165	161	171	-
<i>D10S581</i>	65.2	.80	12	142	134/138	136	136	148	-
<i>D10S1743</i>	66.8	.78	9	227	-	235	243	241	-
<i>D10S1670</i>	68.2	.76	12	305	301	305	305/307	321	-
<i>D10S210</i>	69.4	.80	6	135	-	133	129/133	131	-
<i>D10S1647</i>	70.3	.82	9	204	208	212	208	206	-
<i>D10S1665</i>	70.6	.87	12	240	-	238	218	234/240	-
<i>D10S537</i>	71.7	.83	9	-	-	298	290	292	-
<i>D10S1650</i>	72.6	.85	12	136	-	132	124	138	-

NOTE.—Breakpoints and the centromere are shaded in gray. All microsatellite details are available from the Genome Database, and distances were taken from Ensembl. Alleles outside the inversion are in italics. Shared alleles and the common haplotype are shown in bold italics, and allele differences are underlined.

<sup>a</sup> Allele sizes are taken from the total size of the PCR product and are given in base pairs, rounded to the nearest whole number.

on either side of the breakpoint—contained 34% repetitive sequences (15% short interspersed transposable elements [SINEs] and 14% LTRs), and the 10-kb interval on 10q21 contained 47% repetitive sequences (20% long interspersed transposable elements [LINEs], 10% LTRs, and 9% SINEs). Interspersed repeats may promote instability and the formation of DNA double-strand breaks and/or act as substrates for recombination.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, although it seems unlikely that the

sequences around each breakpoint are predisposed to the formation of the inversion, we cannot exclude this possibility.

The presence of the same breakpoints in all inv(10) carriers and the lack of obvious predisposing factors suggest a founder effect—that is, that all 20 families share a common ancestor. To determine whether the inv(10)s were all IBD, we undertook detailed haplotype analysis, using microsatellites and SNPs. DNA was avail-

Table 4

## Microsatellite Results for All 20 Inv(10) Families

LOCUS	ANCESTRAL HAPLOTYPE	FAMILY ALLELE SIZE																			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
10p11.21																					
<i>D10S508</i>	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Centromere																					
<i>D10S141</i>	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S469</i>	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>ZNF22</i>	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>sJRH</i>	299	-	303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	303	303	-	-
<i>D10S1793</i>	254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	252	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1766</i>	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S220</i>	107	109	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109	109	-	-	-	109	-	-	-	-	109
<i>D10S196</i>	100	-	-	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1790</i>	193	-	191	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S539</i>	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1124</i>	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	213/223	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1788</i>	249	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1767</i>	256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254	254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1756</i>	192	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	190	190	-	-
<i>D10S524</i>	369	-	-	365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>D10S1659</i>	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10q21.1																					

NOTE.—Breakpoints and the centromere are shaded in gray. A hyphen indicates that the same allele is present as that in the ancestral haplotype. Where no allele is shared with the ancestral haplotype (i.e., a microsatellite mutation), the size of the divergent allele is shown. Allele sizes are taken from the total size of the PCR product and are given in base pairs, rounded to the nearest whole number. Only microsatellites within the inverted region are shown.

able for more than one inversion carrier from 5 of the 20 families. The five haplotypes for which phase was known were identical or differed at no more than 2 of the 17 microsatellites tested within the inversion (table 3). This suggests that all five inv(10)s are IBD and allowed us to predict the likely ancestral haplotype that was identical to that observed for family 8 (UK3). In contrast to the degree of allele sharing within the inverted region, the flanking haplotypes were completely divergent outside the inversion breakpoints.

We also typed the same microsatellites in the 15 families where DNA was available from only a single carrier (table 4). This demonstrated that all 20 families are IBD. The alleles in 8 of the 20 families were consistent with the common haplotype, whereas in 12 families there was at least one difference. In total, there were nine allele differences: five were private mutations, whereas four were seen in more than one family. The most common allele change observed was at the microsatellite *D10S220*, from a PCR product length of 107 bp in the ancestral haplotype to 109 bp in five families.

Table 5

## dbSNP Accession Numbers and Details for the Analyzed SNPs

The table is available in its entirety in the online edition of *The American Journal of Human Genetics*.

For SNP analysis (table 5), we compared three families for which phase was known and four families for which phase was unknown. In contrast to the microsatellites, which spanned the whole inversion, SNPs were chosen over a few kilobases in the immediate vicinity of the breakpoints. All seven inv(10) families tested had exactly the same haplotype (table 6), providing further evidence that the inversions are IBD. Families 2 (Sw1) and 3 (Sw2) had identical haplotypes even though they differed at 4 of the 17 microsatellites. This is likely to be due to the higher mutation rates in microsatellites compared with SNPs. Thirty-six control SNP haplotypes were generated from 18 normal individuals (from 9 trios) to assess the frequency of the inversion haplotype. There were 19 different haplotypes and, in total, 6 of the 36 control chromosomes carried the inversion haplotype (one homozygous and four heterozygous individuals). Thus, it is unlikely that the SNP haplotype shared by the inv(10) carriers is coincidental.

The haplotype analysis demonstrated complete suppression of recombination within the inverted segment. Our data cannot distinguish between a direct effect—namely, that crossing over does not occur—and indirect selection against unbalanced recombinant products. The inversion breakpoints are close to the centromeric areas of low recombination. No recombinants were seen in two studies of 33 and 15 inv(10) families.<sup>2,4</sup>

**Table 6****Conserved SNP Haplotype**

Chromosome and dbSNP Accession Number	Alleles	Inv(10)
10p:		
<i>rs3898062</i>	A/G	G
<i>rs2488748</i>	C/G	G
<i>rs12257945</i>	A/G	A
<i>rs12572077</i>	A/C	A
<i>rs2695081</i>	C/G	G
<i>rs4934835</i>	C/G	C
<i>rs11010897</i>	A/G	A
Breakpoint		
<i>rs2463226</i>	A/T	A
<i>rs10827731</i>	C/T	C
<i>rs11817755</i>	G/T	T
<i>rs3867222</i>	C/T	T
<i>rs2490841</i>	G/T	T
10q:		
<i>rs16851</i>	C/T	T
<i>rs11818916</i>	A/C	A
<i>rs2486489</i>	G/T	T
<i>rs12248484</i>	A/G	G
Breakpoint		
<i>rs7072568</i>	A/G	G
<i>rs12241885</i>	C/T	C
<i>rs1007915</i>	C/T	T

NOTE.—Twelve SNPs around the 10p breakpoint and seven SNPs around the 10q breakpoint were selected for SNP analysis by enzyme digestion or sequencing. All details are given in table 5.

It is difficult to make an accurate estimation of the age of the inversion. The geographical distribution of the 20 inversion carriers, the accumulation of micro-satellite mutations within the inversion—estimates for which range from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-4}$  per locus per generation—and the occurrence of crossovers very close to both the 10p11 and 10q21 breakpoints in most, if not all, families suggest that the rearrangement is not a recent event. This is consistent with the calculation of average reproductive fitness for inversions of  $0.926 \pm 0.085$ .<sup>11</sup>

The breakpoints of a small number of other pericentric inversions have also been determined. In contrast to inv(10), these inversions were studied because they were associated with specific abnormal phenotypes, and, consequently, the majority of breakpoints were identified within the introns of genes.<sup>12–16</sup> Graw et al.<sup>17</sup> cloned the breakpoints of the inv(8)(p23.1q22.1), which is associated with various clinical manifestations, including mental retardation and heart defects in unbalanced carriers (Rec 8 syndrome [MIM 179613]). The results were similar to inv(10) in a number of ways: No genes were directly disrupted by the inversion, the breakpoint sequences showed little homology, the breakpoints lay in

unique sequences flanked by repetitive elements, and the inversion has spread widely from a single founder.

The 20 inv(10) families studied were all from northern Europe. It would be interesting to establish whether all cases worldwide are also derived from the same founder. Of the inv(10) cases in the literature, only one has been reported as de novo.<sup>18</sup> Breakpoint sequencing and haplotype analysis should be applied to any potentially unrelated or non-European inv(10) carriers. We have contacted several cytogenetic laboratories worldwide whose populations are unlikely to be of European origin. To date, we have had replies from three laboratories (in Egypt, Mexico, and Singapore), none of which have identified a single inv(10). The only non-European cases in the literature are from the United States and Canada,<sup>3</sup> and these individuals could conceivably be of European origin.

Thus, the overall evidence suggests that, although it is considered a common variant, inv(10) may well be a unique rather than a recurrent rearrangement, with a single European founder. It would be interesting to apply the approaches used in this study to other common inversions, such as the variant inv(2)(p11q13), to establish whether they are also IBD.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the cytogenetic staff of the Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory, especially Morag Collinson, and of the Institute of Human Genetics in Kiel. We are grateful to Minna Becker, Susanne Freier, and Hannelore Madle, for cell culture and chromosome preparations; to Dr. Panos Deloukas (Sanger Centre, U.K.), for providing BAC clones; and to Drs. Merete Bugge and Ulf Kristofferson, for collecting patient DNA. We also thank Drs. Ashraf Ibrahim, Hanaa Adib, Horacio Rivera, and Leena Gole, for information on inv(10) identification in their laboratories, and Jan Hansen from the Danish Cytogenetic Central Registry and Professor Patricia Jacobs, for critical reading of this manuscript. The Institute of Human Genetics and the Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics are supported by grants from the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) within the National Genome Project. The Wilhelm Johannsen Center for Functional Genome Research is supported by the Danish National Research Foundation.

## Web Resources

URLs for data presented herein are as follows:

dbSNP, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/>  
 Ensembl, <http://www.ensembl.org/>  
 Genome Database, <http://www.gdb.org/>  
 National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>  
 Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Omim/> (for *UBE2D1*, *TFAM*, and Rec 8 syndrome)  
 RepeatMasker, <http://www.repeatmasker.org/>  
 UCSC Genome Browser, <http://genome.ucsc.edu/>

## References

- Gardner RJM, Sutherland GT (1996) Chromosome abnormalities and genetic counselling, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 115–182
- Collinson MN, Fisher AM, Walker J, Currie J, Williams L, Roberts P (1997) Inv(10)(p11.2q21.2), a variant chromosome. *Hum Genet* 101:175–180
- Groupe de Cytogénéticiens Français (1986) Pericentric inversions in man: a French collaborative study. *Ann Genet* 29:129–168
- Sherman SL, Iselius L, Gallano P, Buckton K, Collyer S, De Mey R, Kristofferson U, Lindsten J, Mikkelsen M, Morton NE, Newton M, Nordensson I, Petersen MB, Wahlstrom J (1986) Segregation analysis of balanced pericentric inversions in pedigree data. *Clin Genet* 30:87–94
- Daniel A, Hook EB, Wulf G (1989) Risks of unbalanced progeny at amniocentesis to carriers of chromosome rearrangements: data from United States and Canadian laboratories. *Am J Med Genet* 33:14–53
- Youings S, Ellis K, Ennis S, Barber J, Jacobs P (2004) A study of reciprocal translocations and inversions detected by light microscopy with special reference to origin, segregation and recurrent abnormalities. *Am J Med Genet A* 126:46–60
- Shaw CJ, Lupski JR (2004) Implications of human genome architecture for rearrangement-based disorders: the genomic basis of disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 13:R57–R64
- Kurahashi H, Shaikh TH, Zackai EH, Celle L, Driscoll DA, Budarf ML, Emanuel BS (2001) Long AT-rich palindromes and the constitutional t(11;22) breakpoint. *Hum Mol Genet* 10:2605–2517
- Emanuel BS, Shaikh TH (2001) Segmental duplications: an “expanding” role in genomic instability. *Nat Rev Genet* 2:791–800
- Metzke-Heidemann S, Gesk S, Martin-Subero JL, Harder L, Caliebe A, Kautza M, Duba C, Erdal M, Jenderny J, French L, Earthrowl ME, Grote W, Deloukas P, Seibert R (2004) Molecular cytogenetic mapping of the breakpoints of the constitutional pericentric inversion inv(10)(p11.2q21.2). *Eur J Hum Genet* 13(S1):P0255
- Jacobs PA, Frackiewicz A, Law P, Hilditch J, Morton NE (1975) The effect of structural aberrations of the chromosomes on reproductive fitness in man. II. Results. *Clin Genet* 8:169–178
- Saito-Ohara H, Fukuda Y, Ito M, Agarwala KL, Hayashi M, Matsuo M, Imoto I, Yamakawa K, Nakamura Y, Inazawa J (2002) The Xq22 inversion breakpoint interrupted a novel Ras-Like GTPase gene in a patient with Duchenne muscular dystrophy and profound mental retardation. *Am J Hum Genet* 71:637–645
- Beiraghi S, Zhou M, Talmadge CB, Went-Sumegi CB, Davis JR, Huang D, Saal H, Seemayer TA, Sumegi J (2003) Identification and characterisation of a novel gene disrupted by a pericentric inversion inv(4)(p13.1q21.1) in a family with cleft lip. *Gene* 309:11–21
- Iida A, Emi M, Matsuoka R, Hiratsuka R, Okui K, Ohashi H, Inazawa J, Fukushima Y, Imai T, Nakamura Y (2000) Identification of a gene disrupted by inv(11)(q13.5q25) in a patient with left-right axis malformation. *Hum Genet* 106:277–287
- Sood R, Bader PI, Speer MC, Edwards YH, Eddings EM, Blair RT, Hu P, Faruque MU, Robbins CM, Zhang H, Leuders J, Morrison K, Thompson D, Schartzberg PL, Meltzer PS, Trent JM (2004) Cloning and characterisation of an inversion breakpoint at 6q23.3 suggests a role for Map7 in sacral dysgenesis. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 106:61–67
- Tadin-Strapps M, Warburton D, Baumeister FA, Fischer SG, Yonan J, Gilliam TC, Christiano AM (2004) Cloning of the breakpoints of a de novo inversion of chromosome 8, inv(8)(p11.2q23.1) in a patient with Ambras syndrome. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 107:68–76
- Graw SL, Sample T, Bleskan J, Sujansky E, Patterson D (2000) Cloning, sequencing and analysis of Inv8 chromosome breakpoints associated with recombinant 8 syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 66:1138–1144
- Warburton D (1991) De novo balanced chromosome rearrangements and extra marker chromosomes identified at prenatal diagnosis: clinical significance and distribution of breakpoints. *Am J Hum Genet* 49:995–1013