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Background: Germline mutations of the REarranged during Transfection (RET) proto-oncogene cause multiple endocrine neoplasia 2 (MEN2). It is unclear whether the distribution of RET mutations varies among populations. The first nationwide study of the distribution of RET mutations was conducted, and the results were compared to those of other populations.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study included 1583 patients who underwent RET gene testing in one of three centers covering all of Denmark between September 1994 and December 2014. Primary testing method was Sanger sequencing, which included exons 8–11 and 13–16. Mutations were defined according to the ARUP database July 1, 2016.

Results: RET mutations were identified in 163 patients from 36 apparently unrelated families. Among the 36 families 13 (36.1%) carried mutations in codon 611, four (11.1%) in codon 618, three (8.3%) in codon 620, one (2.8%) in codon 631, six (16.7%) in codon 634, one (2.8%) in codon 790, one (2.8%) in codon 804, one (2.8%) in codon 852, one (2.8%) in codon 883, and five (13.9%) in codon 918. Among the 13 families with codon 611 mutations, 12 had the p.C611Y mutation.

Conclusions: The distribution of RET mutations in Denmark appears to differ from that of other populations. Mutations in codon 611 were the most prevalent, followed by more frequently reported mutations. This might be due to a possible founder effect for the p.C611Y mutation. However, further studies are needed to find possible explanations for the skewed mutational spectrum in Denmark.
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Introduction

MULTIPLE ENDOCRINE NEOPLASIA 2 (MEN2) is an autosomal dominant inherited cancer syndrome subdivided into MEN2A and MEN2B. MEN2A associates medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), pheochromocytoma (PHEO), hyperparathyroidism (HPTH), cutaneous lichen amyloidosis (CLA), and Hirschsprung’s disease (HD). MEN2B associates MTC, PHEO, ganglioneuromatosis of the aerodigestive tract, and facial, ophthalmologic, and skeletal abnormalities (1).

MEN2A and 2B are caused by activating missense germline mutations of the REarranged during Transfection (RET) proto-oncogene. These pivotal discoveries were made in 1993 and 1994 (2–5), and since then, >100 mutations have been identified (1).
Codon 634 mutations are reported as the most prevalent in both European (6–16) and non-European families (17–25). However, a recent Greek study of 58 RET positive families found mutations of codon 533 to be predominant (26). Smaller studies from Portugal (27), Cyprus (28), and Sardinia (29) revealed mutations prevailing in codons 611, 618, and 804, respectively. Also, a large multicenter study of 250 families from Italy showed an unusually high prevalence of codon 804 and 891 mutations (7). A high prevalence of codon 790 mutations has been noted in Germany (6). Consequently, it is unclear whether the distribution of RET mutations is comparable among populations or whether geographical differences exist.

The first nationwide study of the distribution of RET mutations was conducted, and the results were compared to those of other populations.

Patients and Methods

Patients

This retrospective cohort study included 1583 unique patients who underwent RET gene testing in one of three centers covering all of Denmark between September 1994 and December 2014. At the end of the study period on January 1, 2015, the population of Denmark was 5,659,715 (www.dst.dk).

RET testing was performed in 1056, 459, and 104 patients at (i) the Center for Genomic Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital, (ii) the Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, and (iii) the Department of Endocrinology and Medicine, Aalborg University Hospital, respectively. If tested in more than one center, patients were considered only once. One patient was tested in all three centers, while 34 patients were tested in two centers. This yielded a total of 1583 unique patients of which 164 were positive and 1419 negative for a RET mutation (Fig. 1).

RET testing was performed on genomic DNA extracted from whole blood in 1581 patients. One patient harbored the p.C634Y + p.Y791F tandem germline mutation. The ARUP database classifies the p.Y791F sequence change as uncertain (www.arup.utah.edu/database/) (30). However, because recent evidence has classified the sequence change as neutral, the patient was counted as a p.C634Y mutation alone (31,32).

Two patients with MTC were tested using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. Both patients were deceased at the time of testing. One was tested using normal tissue, and one using submandibular tissue suspected of MTC metastasis. The latter proved positive for the L790F mutation, but due to suspicion of a somatic mutation in the local metastasis, the patient was excluded from our RET germline mutation cohort (Fig. 1). The patient has been described elsewhere (33).

A MEN2 family was defined by (i) clinical workup in one of the four MEN2 management centers in Denmark, (ii) a unique mutation, or (iii) a molecular proven de novo mutation (both parents of the index case tested mutation negative).

Indications for testing included MTC, PHEO, HPTH, HD, and relatives at risk of MEN2, among others.

Methods

To assess criterion (i), data were provided by collaborators from the four MEN2 management centers. For criteria (ii) and (iii), data were provided by the three RET testing centers. Sequence changes were defined as mutations if classified as pathogenic in the continually updated ARUP database on July 1, 2016 (30).

RET testing centers

Center for Genomic Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital. From September 1994 to December 1995, Sanger sequencing of RET exons 10 and 11 was performed. Since January 1996, June 1997, February 2000, and September 2007, analysis was expanded to exons 16, 13 + 14, 15, and 8 + 9, respectively. Since October 2014, next-generation sequencing (NGS) of all RET exons has been performed. Predictive testing of relatives at risk of MEN2 was performed in the relevant exon only.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using the primers described in Table 1. Sanger sequencing was carried out in both directions using an ABI377 DNA sequencer as previously described (33,34) or an ABI3730 genetic analyzer, and the sequences were analyzed manually or by the use of SeqScape (Applied Biosystems).

NGS was carried out using biotinylated oligos (Roche NimbleGen) designed to capture all exons from the NM_0020975 transcripts. Library construction was carried out using 500 ng of genomic DNA. The DNA was fragmented into an average size of 200 bp using a Covaris S2 AFA ultrasonicator, and adaptors from Illumina or Roche NimbleGen were ligated to the fragments. Illumina adaptors included in the TruSeq DNA LT Sample Preparation Kit were attached using an SPRI-works System I for the Illumina Genome Analyzer (Beckman Coulter). Adaptor sequences provided by Roche NimbleGen (SeqCap Adapter Kit A/B) were ligated using the KAPA HTP Library Preparation Kit Illumina on a Sciclone G3 NGS Workstation (PerkinElmer). Sequence capture was performed using a double capture protocol (Roche NimbleGen), where 6–12
samples are multiplexed prior to hybridization. Finally, 2 × 76 bp paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform to an average depth of at least 100 ×.

Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital. From October 1995 to January 1996, Sanger sequencing of exons 10 and 11 was performed. Since February 1996, October 1996, December 1998, September 2004, and September 2007, testing was expanded to exons 16, 13 + 14, 8 + 9, and 15, respectively. Until 2000, only the cysteine domains were tested. As testing has improved, some old blood samples initially negative of RET mutations were retested. Predictive testing of relatives at risk of MEN2 was performed in the relevant exon only.

PCR was carried out using genomic DNA extracted from EDTA-stabilized blood. The primers are shown in Table 1. Sequencing was carried out in both directions on an ABI3130XL or ABI350XL Genetic Analyzer, and sequences were analyzed using Gensearch\textsuperscript{®} software (Phenosystems).

Department of Endocrinology and Medicine, Aalborg University Hospital. From November 1996 to July 2002, primer-specific PCR amplification to detect the p.C611Y mutation was performed. The method has been described in detail previously (35).

**Approvals**

The investigation was approved by the Danish Health Authority (3-3013-395/2) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (13/19275).

**Results**

RET testing of 1583 patients detected 14 different germline mutations in 163 patients from 36 apparently unrelated families. Twelve families were defined by either a unique or de novo mutation, while the remaining were defined by clinical workup (Table 2). Of the 36 families, 30 (83%) had MEN2A and six (17%) had MEN2B.

Accounting for 36% of all families, RET germline mutations of codon 611 were the most frequent. Subsequently, mutations of codons 634 (17%), 918 (14%), 618 (11%), 620 (8%), 631 (3%), 790 (3%), 804 (3%), 852 (3%), and 883 (3%) followed (Table 3). No mutations of codons 292, 515, 533, 609, 630, 666, 750, 768, 891, 904, or 912 were identified.

Among the 13 families with codon 611 mutations, 12 had the p.C611Y mutation, while one had the p.C611W mutation.
The distribution of RET germline mutations reported in European and non-European studies is shown in Tables 3 and 4.

**Discussion**

In this nationwide study of 1583 patients who were RET tested from 1994 to 2014, 14 different RET germline mutations were identified in 163 patients from 36 apparently unrelated MEN2 families. Mutations of codon 611 were the most prevalent (36%), followed by mutations of codon 634 (17%).

**Limitations**

To estimate the true number of RET mutations in a country, the entire population needs to be tested. However, this would result in both immense socioeconomic and ethical challenges. For the best possible estimate under the given circumstances, this study included all RET tested patients in Denmark since testing became available and for more than two decades onwards.

To capture yet unrecognized MEN2 patients, the Danish MEN taskforce has recommended routine RET screening in all patients with MTC, PHEO, C-cell or parathyroid hyperplasia, familial/recurrent HPTH, and HPTH <40–50 years of age (36,37). Unfortunately, the completeness of RET screening in these patient groups is unknown and will require further studies. In most other studies, only patients with MTC, clinically diagnosed MEN2, or relatives at risk of MEN2 were submitted to mutational analysis of RET (7–29,38,39).

To establish a nationwide RET positive MEN2 cohort, data were collected in collaboration with the RET testing and MEN2 management centers in Denmark. This might cause
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RET mutation</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Greece</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Poland</th>
<th>Spain</th>
<th>Slovenia</th>
<th>Czechia</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Spain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.V292M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C515S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.G533C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (36)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C609R/G/F/S/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C611R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>13 (36)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C618R/G/F/S/Y</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>4 (31)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C620R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>14 (7)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C630R/F/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.D631Y</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.C634R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>19 (33)</td>
<td>73 (38)</td>
<td>85 (37)</td>
<td>9 (39)</td>
<td>17 (68)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>46 (47)</td>
<td>36 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.K666E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.A750P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.E768D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.L790F</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26 (14)</td>
<td>8 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.V804L/M</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td>52 (22)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.I852M</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.A883F/T</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.S891A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>23 (10)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.S904F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.R912P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.M918T</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>32 (17)</td>
<td>17 (7)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36 (100)</td>
<td>58 (100)</td>
<td>191 (100)</td>
<td>232 (100)</td>
<td>23 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
<td>97 (100)</td>
<td>41 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequence changes classified as pathogenic in the ARUP database July 1, 2016 (30).
*Including series with a minimum of 10 European RET families ((27–29) excluded), a minimum of exons 10–11 and 13–16 tested ((16) excluded), and specifying familial prevalence.
*Denmark; S, single center; M, multicenter; n, number of families.
issues of inter-variability in regards to methods and interpretation of results. However, methods and temporal expansion of RET testing were roughly similar in the two major RET testing centers, accounting for 94.7% (1499 patients) of the cohort. The remaining 84 patients were tested solely at the Aalborg University Hospital and thus only for the p.C611Y mutation. Of those, 26 were p.C611Y positive, and 45 were related to a p.C611Y patient. This leaves only 13 patients (0.7% of the total cohort) in which a RET mutation different from the p.C611Y might have been missed.

To estimate the true number of unrelated MEN2 families, a haplotype or genealogy study would be ideal. However, 24/36 apparently unrelated MEN2 families were defined by thorough clinical work-up. As in other studies, this workup is based on the index patient’s recollection and knowledge of the familial history. Thus, possible relations between families carrying similar mutations might have been missed and may cause an overestimation of the number of families.

**Codon 611 mutations**

In the present study, mutations in codon 611 of exon 10 were the most prevalent accounting for 36% of the 36 families. This appears to be an unusually high prevalence. Numerous other studies did not detect any mutations in codon 611, despite systematic testing of RET exon 10 (8–10,16–19,21–26,28,29,39). Most of these are single-center studies, and there might be an expectation of capturing more MEN2 patients in a nationwide study such as the one presented here. However, this does not seem to be the explanation. In fact, in three large European predominantly multicenter series based on a total of 232, 191, and 97 families, the prevalence of codon 611 mutations was only 0%, 3%, and 1%, respectively (Table 3) (6,7,12,13). The highest prevalence rates reported have been from Portugal (40%), Saudi Arabia (11%), and Iran (9%). However, these reports were based on small mainly single-center studies with a total of 5, 11, and 9 families (20,27,38).

**Mutations highly prevalent in other series**

An unusually high prevalence of specific RET mutations has also been described in other populations (6,7,26,28,29). In Cyprus, eight families were studied, and all carried the p.C618R mutation (28). A Sardinian study of seven families found three kindreds (43%) with the p.V804M mutation (29). In a large Italian multicenter study, 22% and 10% of the families had mutations in codons 804 and 891, respectively (Table 3) (7). A large German study identified codon 790 mutations in 14% of all included families, while a recent Greek study of 58 families found that 36% harbored the p.G533C mutation in exon 8 (Table 3) (6,26).

Due to rounding up, not all sums of the numbers fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>RET mutation</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Iran</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S (17)</td>
<td>S (18)</td>
<td>S (19)</td>
<td>M (20)</td>
<td>S (21)</td>
<td>M (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>p.V292M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>p.C515S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>p.G533C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>p.C609R/G/F/S/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>p.C611R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>p.C618R/G/F/S/Y</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>p.C620R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>p.C630R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>p.D631Y</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>p.C634R/G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>6 (55)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>p.K666E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>p.A750P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>p.E768D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>p.L790F</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>p.V804L/M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>p.I852M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>p.A883F/T</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>p.S891A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>p.S904F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>p.R912P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>p.M918T</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4. Distribution of RET Germline Mutations* **Outside Europe**

*Sequence changes classified as pathogenic in the ARUP database July 1, 2016 (30).
Including series with a minimum of 10 European RET families ((25,38,39) excluded), a minimum of exons 10–11 and 13–16 tested ((23,24) excluded), and specifying familial prevalence.
S, single center; M, multicenter; n, number of families.
Thus, there seems to be a genuine high prevalence of codon 611 mutations in Denmark. This is supported by the fact that 70% (114/163) of the patients studied carry a codon 611 mutation.

Possible founder effect

Among the 13 apparently unrelated families with codon 611 mutations in the present study, 12 had the p.C611Y mutation. This could indicate a possible founder effect for the p.C611Y mutation. A similar effect has been indicated in other studies (7,26–29,41,42).

In the Mediterranean islands of Cyprus and Sardinia, the authors suggested that a founder effect for the p.C618R and the p.V804M mutation could be explained by geographical isolation (28,29). However, geographical isolation alone does not seem to explain a possible founder effect in Denmark, since its southern border connects the country to Germany and the rest of Continental Europe.

In Greece, the p.G533C mutation was found especially in the southern part of Central Greece and the southeast region of the Peloponnese (26). In Italy, the p.S891A mutation was mainly present in a well-defined northern area. The Italian authors also found a high prevalence of codon 804 mutations, but excluded a founder effect by single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis (7). Only few and very small studies have proven a founder effect of RET mutations in mainland areas by haplotype analysis (27,41,42). To elaborate on this question in Denmark, further investigations are planned.

Prevalence of other mutations

Codon 634 mutations have been reported as the most predominant in several European (Table 3) (6–14) and non-European series (Table 4) (17–25). This might be explained by earlier onset of disease and a presentation frequently involving the full-blown MEN2 syndrome (MTC, PHEO, and HPTH). Mutations of codon 634 were the second most frequent alteration found in the present study, accounting for 17% (Table 3).

In the three largest studies to date, the prevalence of the p.V804L/M mutations ranged from 10% to 22% (Table 3) (6,7,12,13). In the present cohort, only one family (3%) was identified with this mutation. Despite testing for mutations in exon 14 since 1996 and 1997 in the two major RET testing centers, one center did not test the non-cysteine domains until after 2000. Retesting of patients tested before 2000 was performed but not systematically. Consequently, some patients tested for these mutations between 1996 and 2000 might have been missed, and this may result in a reduced number of families with non-cysteine codon 804 mutations. The distribution of the remaining mutations did not differ largely from that found in the literature.

Conclusion

The distribution of RET mutations in Denmark appears to differ from that of other populations. Mutations in codon 611 were by far the most prevalent alterations followed by more frequently reported mutations. This might be due to a possible founder effect for the p.C611Y mutation. However, further studies are needed to find possible explanations for the skewed mutational spectrum in Denmark.
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