The neuroanatomical and neurochemical basis of apathy and impulsivity in frontotemporal lobar degeneration
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Apathy and impulsivity are common and often coexistent consequences of frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD). They increase patient morbidity and carer distress, but remain under-estimated and poorly treated. Recent trans-diagnostic approaches that span the spectrum of clinical presentations of FTLD and parkinsonism, indicate that apathy and impulsivity can be fractionated into multiple neuroanatomical and pharmacological systems. These include ventral/dorsal frontostriatal circuits for reward-sensitivity, response-inhibition, and decision-making; moderated by noradrenaline, dopamine, and serotonin. Improved assessment tools, formal models of cognition and behavior, combined with brain imaging and psychopharmacology, are creating new therapeutic targets and establishing principles for stratification in future clinical trials.
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Neurocognitive mechanisms of apathy and impulsivity

The examination of behavioral profiles (latencies, accuracy, choice preferences) in terms of an accumulation-to-threshold decision model [17]; or effort allocation models [18] are key examples of model-based approaches to study apathy and impulsivity. Such models can parameterize effort, fatigue, reward expectations and behavioral biases, and other latent variables related to apathy and impulsivity [19,20,21]. Differences in the accumulation of ‘evidence’ for effort, or the variation in decision thresholds according to reward, can be mapped to differences in brain structure and function [23].

This powerful modeling approach is beginning to elucidate the etiology of behavioral changes in FTLD and Parkinsonian syndromes, such as the similarly deleterious effect of PSP and Parkinson’s disease (PD) on response inhibition (Figure 2a). A ‘drift-diffusion’ model describes the binary-choice between action and inhibition in a Go/No-Go paradigm, with neuronal ‘accumulators’ integrating the momentary evidence over-time [20,21]. When this evidence reaches a threshold, the agent is committed to respond, or inhibition of a response. Despite their profound akinesia, PSP patients, relative to PD patients and controls, have a markedly increased bias toward making a Go response. However, they are severely impaired at accumulating the necessary additional evidence to commit to a response [17]. Through the computational model of patient behavior, one can see how PSP patients are simultaneously prone to impulsivity (i.e. bias toward a responding, plus noise) and apathy (severe difficulty to reach threshold) (Figure 2b) [17]. In contrast to model parameters, the mean reaction-times and errors did not reveal the cognitive deficits that distinguished PSP patients from PD patients and controls [17]. Latent cognitive variables for effort and reward are similarly derived from saccadic responses [24], and although only applied thus far to PD, this approach has potential advantages to study FTLD, where akinesia or rigidity may interfere with responding over and above the cognitive disorders underlying apathy and impulsivity.

Apathy

The composite nature of goal-directed behavior supported the theoretical decomposition of apathy into emotional/motivational, cognitive, and behavioral (‘auto-activation’) subtypes. The first variant relates to blunted affect, while the cognitive apathy closely resembles the typical executive deficits observed in FTLD syndromes.
However, the relationship between apathy and cognition remains unclear; apathy has been linked to rapid cognitive/functional decline [25], while others have reported no correlation between apathy and cognition [26]. The ‘auto-activation’ variant reflects a reduced ability to self-generate motor patterns without external prompting. This distinction is clinically heuristic but a clear operationalization of such subtypes is needed to link clinical observations to modern cognitive neuroscience ontologies and their neuroanatomical substrates.

Although direct evidence linking brain structural deficits to different modalities of apathy in FTLD syndromes remains limited, the motivational apathy has been hypothesized to arise from deficits from orbital/ventromedial prefrontal cortex (PFC)/ventral striatum circuits; the cognitive apathy from dorsolateral-PFC/caudate networks; and the ‘auto-activation’ apathy from premotor/motor circuits including the supplementary motor area (SMA) and pre-SMA [27]. Dysfunction of the latter circuit in FTLD syndromes can cause the failure to self-generate motor patterns, over and above blunted affect or cognitive dysfunction, in keeping with evidence for this circuit in voluntary action selection in health [20,28] and poor signal-to-noise in motor plans arising from the medial frontal cortex [29]. This ‘auto-activation’ deficit can also be formulated as a failure to reach a necessary activation threshold, by leakage, decay or refractoriness in the frontoparietal neuronal ensembles that represent actions [17].

Nevertheless, there is lack of consistency across studies examining the neuroanatomical substrate of apathy in FTLD, due to limited numbers of patients, lenient statistical thresholds, and the inclusion of single diagnostic entities which reduces the generalization of previous studies. To overcome these limitations, we recommend multiple modes of assessment of apathy (e.g. behavioral tests, questionnaires from multiple sources, wearables technologies) as well as trans-diagnostic approaches that emphasize the commonality of the manifestation of apathy across the broad clinical spectrum of FTLD diagnoses. This enables a data-driven approach to interrogate the phenomenology and etiology of apathy and impulsivity [8*30]. For example, Lansdall et al. used a principal components analysis of multiple questionnaires and laboratory tests, combined with structural magnetic resonance imaging [8*30]. They found a positive correlation between measures of apathy and impulsivity (Figure 3) and a dissociation between patient ratings, carer ratings, and dissociable neural correlates of the different modes of apathy and impulsivity, depending on the rater (Figure 3) [8*]. Carers’ observations of apathetic changes in behavior correlated with diffuse atrophy in frontostriatal and frontotemporal regions, while patients’ reports related to deficits in motor networks, suggesting that patients retain insight in some aspects of their disability. These findings imply that the aspects of FTLD which distress carers and patients differ: future studies targeting patient-reported or carer-reported symptoms should choose outcome measures accordingly.

Impulsivity
Impulsivity is a multi-faceted construct, which reflects the tendency to act prematurely, with adverse consequences, or with insufficient evidence to make a decision [31]. Such definitions imply the distinction of impulsivity into separate neurocognitive systems, with identifiable neuroanatomical and neurochemical components. For example, aberrant processing of reward-expectation and delay-discounting measures (‘risky decision-making’ and ‘waiting impulsivity’), differ from response-inhibition deficits and cognitive dysregulation (‘stopping’ and ‘reflection’ impulsivity) [31].
The neural determinants of impulsivity in FTLD syndromes include: subcortical FTLD-related pathological changes within striatal, thalamic, and sub-thalamic neurons which affect reward processing and dis-inhibition of thalamo-cortical loops, with consequent biases toward contextually inappropriate actions [21*,22,32]; and neocortical pathology, especially in PFC networks, which impair decision-making and action selection processes [33*]. Lesions at different points across the functional gradients of interlocking PFC-striato-thalamo-cortical circuits affect different modes of impulsivity [31].

For example, degeneration of ‘limbic’ ventral PFC-striatal circuits leads to risky decision-making and delay intolerance while neurodegeneration in dorsal ‘motor’ and ‘cognitive’ circuits impairs the ability to refrain from or cancel inappropriate actions. These effects span animal models of impulsive disorders [34], neuroimaging data from individuals with impulsive neurodevelopmental disorders [35] and adult neuropsychiatric patients (e.g. obsessive-compulsive disorders and PD) [21*,22,36]. The prevalence of impulsivity in these diverse conditions highlights the value of translational and trans-diagnostic approaches to elucidate the neural underpinnings of impulsivity [8*,30]. In the study by Lansdall et al. [12], the response-inhibition deficits observed during laboratory-based behavioral paradigms (e.g. the stop-signal task of response cancelation) correlated with focal atrophy in the inferior frontal gyrus and pre-SMA. These are two critical ‘hubs’ in cognitive and motor control, and the target of therapeutic strategies which we consider in the next section [7,13–15].

Neuropharmacology of apathy and impulsivity

The emotional/motivational contributors to apathy have been linked to the dopaminergic reward system [37], but the pharmacology of ‘auto-activation’ deficits is unclear. A link between dopamine, reward, and motivation is well established in health and PD [38], but the motor and affective components of incentive motivation are disassociated and the principal determinants of apathy in PD may be distinct from apathy in FTLD [39]. In clinical practice, apathy in FTLD syndromes is frequently unresponsive to anti-parkinsonian dopaminergic medications, although dopamine deficiency is common in FTLD, not only the overtly parkinsonian disorders like PSP, but also the bvFTD. For example, half cases of FTD-linked C9orf72 mutation develop parkinsonism, and this common mutation is associated with striatal dopamine deficiency. The extent to which this causes apathy and impulsivity, as opposed to atrophy on frontostriatal circuits, remains unclear. It is possible that dopamine deficiency in some circuits and the relative preservation in other circuits is accompanied by dopaminergic ‘overdose’, as in PD [40], contributing to impulsivity in FTLD syndromes.

We propose that dysfunction of the noradrenergic system may play a key role in the pathogenesis of apathy, especially in FTLD syndromes [16]. There are early pathological changes in the locus coeruleus (LC) in post mortem tissue from FTLD patients (Figure 4) [33*]. The LC is the principal source of noradrenaline in the forebrain, which regulates the neuronal signal-to-noise ratio in the neocortex, gating information processing and modulating arousal [41]. It is possible that the dopaminergic and noradrenergic systems influence different components of goal-directed behavior (e.g. motivation and energization) [41,42*], but such a dichotomy is oversimplistic, and there is counter evidence for strong interactions between the dopaminergic and noradrenergic neurotransmission [42*].

Impulsivity in FTLD syndromes may reflect dysfunctions in multiple monoaminergic systems, including serotonin, noradrenaline, and dopamine [43]. The reduction of serotonin in FTLD reported by Bowen and Proctor through post mortem studies, led Hughes and colleagues to test whether the serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram could restore the functional systems for response inhibition [44*]. As predicted, bvFTD patients had a functional deficit in the PFC when required to inhibit actions, but this deficit was restored by citalopram. Clinical trials are necessary before this approach could be introduced therapeutically, but the study indicates the value of a translational approach, across species and across disorders [13,44*].

Noradrenaline is necessary to effectively cancel ongoing behaviors when the context changes, in animal models and healthy humans [45]. There is growing evidence for the role of noradrenaline deficiency in impulsivity in FTLD syndromes [14,15,46]. The early and severe pathology in the LC in FTLD [33*,47] suggests that restoring noradrenergic neurotransmission might be a

Figure 4

Left panel. At the macroscopic examination, a patient with progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) shows, relative to a healthy control, paler locus coeruleus (red arrows) reflecting reduced intracellular neuromelanin. Right panel. There is also evidence that tau pathology (red arrows) is present in the locus coeruleus in PSP.
therapeutic target for impulsivity. One candidate is the noradrenergic reuptake inhibitor atomoxetine, which restores activity and connectivity in inhibitory control networks in another disorder with noradrenergic deficiency, PD [14]. Together, these results suggest that targeting noradrenergic transmission may be a useful treatment for apathy and impulsivity in FTLD syndromes.

Concluding remarks
We propose that apathy and impulsivity are not opponent manifestations of a unidimensional behavioral spectrum, but instead are multi-dimensional behavioral constructs resulting from common neuroanatomical and neurochemical deficits (Figure 5). To improve effective therapeutic strategies in FTLD, we recommend targeting apathy and impulsivity jointly, ensuring that chosen assessment tools capture each of their principal dimensions. There is a pressing need to develop improved assessment tools for apathy and impulsivity, to empower clinical trials in terms of stratification and outcome markers. These are especially relevant to trans-diagnostic therapies, which would maximize the impact of effective new treatments to a larger population of patients and carers alike.
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This landmark paper revises the diagnostic criteria for progressive supranuclear palsy and eight sub-types based on clinical features. Of particular relevance to this review is the ‘PSP-F’ variant with early frontal cognitive/behavioral changes, including apathy and impulsivity. Cognitive/behavioral changes are widely reported in PSP, but may often be masked by or followed by motor features, and these new criteria provide a critical step toward formal recognition of non-motor consequences of PSP.


This paper reports the structural brain changes associated with different types of apathy and impulsivity, across the spectrum of disorders caused by frontotemporal lobar degeneration (frontotemporal dementia, progressive supranuclear palsy, and corticobasal syndrome). The positive correlation between apathy and impulsivity (as observed by carers) indicates the need for a unified therapeutic strategy. The lack of correlation between patient and carer ratings, and objective behavioral measures, highlights the need of better assessment tools and revised outcome measures for clinical trials.


A novel saccadic distraction task was developed to quantify the impact of Parkinson’s disease on the speed and accuracy of the eye movements under varying levels of reward. Critically, a computational model was used to test the hypothesis that dopamine deficits alter reward sensitivity in Parkinson’s disease. Specifically, the model revealed the cost of producing precise responses, balancing effort and reward. This model proposes a mechanistic and quantifiable approach to study apathy and its treatment.


Apathy and motivation


This detailed neuropathological examination of patients with Pick’s disease revealed the spatiotemporal evolution of pathological tau deposition: originating in limbic/paralimbic cortex (Phase I), followed by subcortical structures, including basal ganglia, locus coeruleus and raphe nuclei (Phase II), then the primary motor cortex and pre-cerebellar nuclei (Phase III) and finally the visual cortex (Phase IV). These sequential phases are shown to reflect the evolution of clinical symptoms, degeneration on imaging metrics and disease duration.


Neurophysiological recording in monkeys demonstrated that the firing of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra encode the reward-value associated with decision-making. Conversely, the firing of noradrenergic neurons in the locus coeruleus was implicated in ‘energizing’ behavior so as to face the challenges associated with a particular task, especially in terms of the effort required to produce an action.


This double-blind placebo-controlled pharmaco-magnetoencephalography study demonstrated the impact of serotonergic augmentation on response-inhibition systems in patients with frontotemporal dementia. The NoGo-N2 and NoGo-P3 components in the frontal and temporal lobes were significantly decreased in patients, relative to controls, but restored by drug treatment even in the presence of irreversible focal atrophy.


