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ABSTRACT

Cancer pain, especially pain caused by metastasis to bone, is a severe type of pain, and unless the cause and consequences can be resolved, the pain will become chronic. As detection and survival among patients with cancer have improved, pain has become an increasing challenge, because traditional therapies are often only partially effective. Until recently, knowledge of cancer pain mechanisms was poor compared with understanding of neuropathic and inflammatory pain states. We now view cancer-induced bone pain as a complex pain state involving components of both inflammatory and neuropathic pain but also exhibiting elements that seem unique to cancer pain. In addition, the pain state is often unpredictable, and the intensity of the pain is highly variable, making it difficult to manage. The establishment of translational animal models has started to reveal some of the molecular components involved in cancer pain. We present the essential pharmacologic and neurobiologic mechanisms involved in the generation and continuance of cancer-induced bone pain and discuss these in the context of understanding and treating patients. We discuss changes in peripheral signaling in the area of tumor growth, examine spinal cord mechanisms of sensitization, and finally address central processing. Our aim is to provide a mechanistic background for the sensory characteristics of cancer-induced bone pain as a basis for better understanding and treating this condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain is a multifaceted sensation involving the entire nervous system. Pain processes usually start in the periphery, where tissue or nerve damage arises as a consequence of trauma, disease, or lesion, including the growth of a tumor within tissue or nerves. Different patterns of electrical and chemical events then transfer the painful messages via specialized nerves through to the spinal cord, where, after integration of the incoming messages, activity passes to many parts of the brain through a series of increasingly complex pathways. Each level is subject to enhancement and modulation. With simple acute pain, the peripheral and central processes are short-term, temporary changes that resolve when the tissue heals. By contrast, chronic pain is driven by long-lasting, ongoing activity from the periphery that produces more persistent changes within spinal cord and brain circuits. In broad terms, pain can be divided into inflammatory pain (also called nociceptive pain), where the pain arises from chemical or natural stimuli from damaged tissue (surgery, osteoarthritis, or trauma), and neuropathic pain, caused by direct lesion or disease of the sensory nerves (diabetes or shingles). Here, the pain is predominantly initiated by changes in the ion channels that produce action potential within the nerves. Thus, inflammatory pain is initiated by chemical mediators, and neuropathic pain is initiated by altered electrical events (Fig 1). Table 1 lists the events that occur in these types of pain and compares them with cancer pain. Importantly, cancer-induced pain can involve both inflammatory and neuropathic mechanisms, because tumor expansion induces tissue damage and release of various inflammatory mediators. In addition, the cancer can also compress, grow within a sensory nerve, or denervate the target tissue, all causing neuropathic changes. Cancer pain can be considered a type of mixed pain, but increasing amounts of evidence suggest additional unique features indicating that it should be regarded as a separate pain state.

CANCER PAIN

As advances in treatment and detection of cancer have resulted in longer survival time, chronic pain has become an increasing problem, significantly compromising quality of life for many patients.10,11 Pain caused by metastasis to the bone is the most common source of moderate and severe cancer pain, and approximately 75% of patients with advanced cancer experience bone pain.12 Currently,
only half experience temporary pain relief from conventional therapies, a clear indication of the need for development of new and better treatments.

Cancer-induced bone pain is a complex pain state involving a combination of background, spontaneous, and incident (movement-evoked) pain. Background pain, a dull continuous pain, increases in intensity as the disease progresses and can normally be treated fairly successfully with traditional analgesics. In contrast, spontaneous and incident pain, often referred to as breakthrough pain, are episodes of extreme pain breaking through the therapeutic regimen administered to control background pain. Their intermittent nature makes these types of pain hard to treat, because the episodes tend to be rapid in onset and of short duration, making currently used analgesic therapies both insufficient and associated with dose-limiting adverse effects.

Cancer-induced bone pain is a mixed-mechanism pain state exhibiting elements of both neuropathic and inflammatory pain, but with distinctive modifications to the tissue and nerves in the periphery as well as unique neurochemical changes at the spinal cord level. Thus, it is a complex syndrome involving inflammatory, neuropathic, ischemic, and cancer-specific mechanisms, often occurring at more than one site (Table 1). Inflammatory infiltration occurs as a result of direct tissue damage caused by tumor growth as well as release of pain mediators by the cancer cells. The neuropathic component of the pain can result from cancer-induced damage to the sensory nerves caused by infiltration and/or compression by the tumor cells, tumor-induced hyperinnervation, and stretching or denervation as the bone expands and degrades. In addition, neuropathy can arise as a subsequent consequence of therapeutic intervention, such as chemotherapy or surgery. The mechanisms behind the pathophysiology are both peripherally and centrally induced, with altered impulses from the bone and surrounding tissues, resulting in a state of general hyperexcitability of the neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Interestingly, the presence and intensity of the pain are not necessarily correlated with the size or number of active malignancies; whereas some metastatic sites are completely painless, others cause patients to experience severe pain from a single bone metastasis, even in the absence of fracture. This is likely the result of different balances between peripheral and central mechanisms and the ability of central modulatory systems to reduce peripheral activity, both of which could vary among patients. The unique neurochemical signature of cancer-induced bone pain may explain the reduced efficacy of traditional analgesics, suggesting that polypharmacy or alternative approaches may be better options for effective pain management.
These aims, in vivo models (ie, integrated systems) are essential to accurately mimicking the human condition have been developed. The pivotal problem lies in identifying the role of molecules in the process of pain, in the context of the whole animal. Therefore, to achieve the clinical need for better therapies, in vivo models accurately mimicking the human condition have been developed. The biology of cancer-induced bone pain involves a complex interplay among the tumor cells, peripheral nerves, and cells of the bone (Fig 2). Bone marrow, mineralized bone, and periosteum are highly innervated by nerve fibers, and as the cancer cells invade the bone and start proliferating, mechanical damage, distension, and entrapment of the primary afferent fibers lead to a neuropathic state. Additionally, numerous nociceptive and inflammatory responses are triggered, subsequently recruiting inflammatory cells such as macrophages, neutrophils, and T cells, which release a plethora of stimuli acting on the primary afferent fibers, bone cells, and cancer cells and initiate a cascade of mechanisms, including activation and sensibilization of nociceptors, degradation of bone, and tumor growth.

As the disease advances, the cancer cells induce damage and deformation of the peripheral nerves in the bone. The bone is innervated by a tight network of both sympathetic and sensory neurons, and although the periosteum has long been considered the most densely innervated part of the bone, when total volume is considered, the greatest number of nerve fibers are found in the bone marrow, followed by the mineralized part of the bone and finally the periosteum. Immervation of the bone by various nerve fibers has been established, and the size, neurochemistry, and segmental distribution of the neuronal projection from the bone to the dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) and dorsal horn have been demonstrated as consistent with having functional roles in nociception. Confinement of NCTC (National Collection of Type Cultures) clone 2472 osteolytic tumor cells

### Table 1. Mechanisms of Pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Pain</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute(^1,2)</td>
<td>Activation of nociceptors by mechanical and thermal stimuli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic(^3,4)</td>
<td>Damage or lesion to sensory fibers or neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory(^4,5)</td>
<td>Chemical activation of nociceptors by mediators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer(^7,9)</td>
<td>Chemical activation of nociceptors by mediators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERIPHERAL MECHANISMS**

The biology of cancer-induced bone pain involves a complex interplay among the tumor cells, peripheral nerves, and cells of the bone (Fig 2). Bone marrow, mineralized bone, and periosteum are highly innervated by nerve fibers, and as the cancer cells invade the bone and start proliferating, mechanical damage, distension, and entrapment of the primary afferent fibers lead to a neuropathic state. Additionally, numerous nociceptive and inflammatory responses are triggered, subsequently recruiting inflammatory cells such as macrophages, neutrophils, and T cells, which release a plethora of stimuli acting on the primary afferent fibers, bone cells, and cancer cells and initiate a cascade of mechanisms, including activation and sensibilization of nociceptors, degradation of bone, and tumor growth.

As the disease advances, the cancer cells induce damage and deformation of the peripheral nerves in the bone. The bone is innervated by a tight network of both sympathetic and sensory neurons, and although the periosteum has long been considered the most densely innervated part of the bone, when total volume is considered, the greatest number of nerve fibers are found in the bone marrow, followed by the mineralized part of the bone and finally the periosteum. Immervation of the bone by various nerve fibers has been established, and the size, neurochemistry, and segmental distribution of the neuronal projection from the bone to the dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) and dorsal horn have been demonstrated as consistent with having functional roles in nociception.
in mouse femur causes injury to both the myelinated and unmyelinated sensory nerve fibers innervating the bone and marrow, and recently, studies have shown that cancer cells in the bone induce sprouting and reorganization of sensory and sympathetic fibers in the periosteum. The highly disorganized sprouting causes a general increase in nerve fiber density of both sensory and sympathetic nerve fibers and formations of neuroma-like structures, similar to those observed in conditions known to produce spontaneous ectopic pain episodes, such as complex regional pain syndrome. Therefore, it has been speculated that the neuroma-like structures caused by cancer cells in the bone contribute to episodes of breakthrough pain or even movement-induced pain. These mechanisms might be linked not only to changes in morphology but also to the reorganization of sensory and sympathetic fibers. In normal healthy bone, sensory and sympathetic fibers are separated; however, tumor-induced sprouting causes an intermingling of the two fiber types, allowing sympathetic fibers to potentially trigger nociceptive stimuli, exciting the nearby sensory fibers. In addition, the sprouting and neuroma formation are likely associated with the expression and release of nerve growth factors (NGFs) by the tumor cells and tumor-associated cells. Therapeutic treatment with NGF-specific antibodies blocks sprouting and attenuates pain without affecting tumor growth or bone destruction, suggesting an important role for NGFs in driving bone cancer pain. This important evidence suggests that potential clinical interventions could target NGFs, and indeed, tanezumab, despite some concerns about adverse effects, has been shown to be effective in patients with osteoarthritis and lower back pain and has potential for the treatment and palliation of cancer pain.

In addition to direct nerve damage, cancer cells compromise the mechanical strength of the bone. Under physiologic conditions, normal bone remodeling is balanced by formation of bone by osteoblasts and resorption of bone by osteoclasts. Depending on the type of cancer cells involved, either osteolytic (net resorption) or osteoblastic (net deposition) bone lesions can occur, both compromising microarchitecture and strength. In most metastatic cancers, net resorption of the bone occurs, resulting in bone less resistant to bending and with increased risk of fracture. Osteolytic lesions are caused by proliferation and hypertrophy of osteoclasts, which in turn induce bone remodeling by releasing various acidic and lytic enzymes, causing both degradation of bone and a decrease in the pH of the local environment surrounding the tumor. It has been suggested that local acidosis, caused by production of extracellular protons, may also be involved in sensitizing the primary afferents through activation of acid-sensitive ion channels on the primary afferents in the bone.

The increased osteoclast proliferation and activation observed in cancer-induced bone pain are connected to an increased production and release of RANK ligand (RANK-L). RANK-L is normally expressed on various cell types, including osteoblasts, and is, in combination with colony-stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1), essential for normal proliferation and activation of osteoclasts through activation of the RANK receptor expressed on osteoclast precursors. Interaction between RANK-L and the RANK receptor is normally controlled by osteoprotegin (OPG), released by osteoblasts, which acts as a decoy receptor for RANK-L and prevents its binding, thus inhibiting osteoclast activation. The tumor interrupts the normal balance between RANK and RANK-L by increasing secretion of RANK-L from both cancer cells and T cells, thus accelerating bone degradation. After injection of OPG into mice with early-stage disease, tumor burden was unaffected, but a dramatic decrease in number of osteoclasts and bone destruction ensued. In addition, there was a significant decrease in nocifensive behavior, and neurochemical changes normally introduced in the spinal cord by cancer-induced bone pain were reversed. Various OPG-like peptidomimetics and anti–RANK-L antibodies, such as denosumab, have been tested in clinical trials, demonstrating...
suppression of bone resorption in patients with different bone degenerative diseases, including cancer-induced bone diseases. Similarly, bisphosphonates have been demonstrated to suppress bone degradation and pain behavior in both animal models and in the clinic. In both cases, pain relief is not directly related to inhibition of nociception; rather, it is a more indirect effect caused by a decrease in the osteolytic effect of the tumor.

The inflammatory infiltration associated with tumor growth initiates a release of various growth factors, cytokines, interleukins, chemokines, prostanoids, endothelins, and other mediators speculated to contribute to the development and/or maintenance of pain. Although the inflammatory component is likely only a partial contributor to the overall pain state, various nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are commonly used in the clinic as supplements to stronger analgesics so patients can benefit from potential additive effects. NSAIDs produce analgesia through inhibition of the cyclooxygenase (COX) pathway, thereby reducing production of prostaglandins, and cancer cells and macrophages associated with bone tumors express high levels of COX-2. However, preclinical evidence seems conflicting. Some studies have shown that selective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors have only little effect on pain-related behavior in some animal models of cancer-induced bone pain, whereas others have demonstrated that both acute and chronic administration of other COX-2 inhibitors reduce ongoing as well as movement-evoked pain, in addition to effectively reducing tumor burden and level of bone destruction. The differences may be accounted for by the fact that different COX inhibitors and different protocols of administration were employed in the studies. However, there is still a general lack of clinical evidence to support a significant analgesic effect of NSAIDs in cancer-induced bone pain.

Other factors related to tissue damage and inflammation have been studied to provide new therapeutic targets. Currently, purinergic receptors, especially ATP receptors, have received much attention in relation to chronic pain. ATP is found in all cells, so any tissue or nerve damage is likely to release this mediator. Purinergic receptors are divided into P2X receptors, ligand-gated ion channels, and P2Y receptors acting through G protein–coupled mechanisms. The P2X3 receptor has been studied intensively in relation to pain; these receptors are expressed selectively on small-diameter nociceptive fibers, whereas many other purinergic receptors are diversely expressed in many different tissues throughout the body. Electrophysiologic studies in rats with cancer-induced bone pain have demonstrated that both systemic and spinal applications of a P2X3 and P2X2/3 antagonist, AF-353, inhibit neuronal responses to electrical, mechanical, and thermal stimulations as well as attenuate pain behavior. In addition, studies using a different P2X3 and P2X2/3 antagonist have also shown a reduction in pain behavior in both murine and rat models of cancer-induced bone pain. These receptors have the potential to be novel targets for analgesia.

Damage to the peripheral nerves and degradation of the bone, in addition to tumor-related release of inflammatory mediators, can cause sensitization of the nociceptors and/or neuropathy in the peripheral, both significantly increasing the primary afferent drive to the spinal cord. Because a major action of a number of drugs, including opioids, is to counter these inputs as they enter the spinal cord, these increased afferent messages may explain some of the difficulties in controlling cancer pain.

There are unique neurochemical changes in the DRGs and dorsal horn during cancer-induced pain, suggesting that peripheral changes drive central spinal hypersensitive states of neurons projecting to the parts of the brain involved in pain sensation (Fig 2). Changes in neurons and glia cells in the dorsal horn indicate separate but overlapping mechanisms with other chronic pain states. Whereas inflammatory and neuropathic pain induce changes in substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) levels in the dorsal horn and DRGs, these changes are absent in cancer-induced bone pain. Instead, increased expression of c-FOS and dynorphin are seen, especially in the deeper laminae of the dorsal horn, accompanied by massive astrocyte hypertrophy and upregulation of activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) and galanin, markers of nerve damage, in DRGs. The neurochemical changes correlate with tumor growth and subsequent damage of the surrounding bone. However, the finding that multiple metastases are not necessarily more painful than single metastasis can be explained by the ability of the CNS to dampen or modulate pain messages at central levels. Changes in dynorphin and c-FOS expression have also been observed in inflammatory and neuropathic pain models, suggesting similar components contribute to parts of the cancer pain state.

Spinal cord neurons in models of cancer-induced bone pain show enhanced responses to evoked stimuli, reflecting a general hyperexcitability of the neurons, as has also been seen in inflammatory and neuropathic states. In addition, there is an increase in the receptive field for neurons in the superficial dorsal horn and alteration in the ratio between nociceptive-specific neurons and wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons, features of central sensitization. Animals with cancer-induced bone pain display an increased number of WDR neurons in the superficial dorsal horn, resulting in an increased probability of response to low-threshold peripheral inputs; in contrast, WDR neurons in the deeper dorsal horn display less pronounced changes, although responses to thermal and electrical stimuli are significantly increased. Even in animals chronically treated with morphine, the abnormally high ratio of WDR cells persists, suggesting that there remains greater access to low-threshold stimuli, which may be related to the problem of controlling allodynia and breakthrough pain in the clinic. Neurobiologic reorganization such as this seems unique to cancer-induced bone pain and is not seen in inflammatory or neuropathic models. Furthermore, chronic treatment with gabapentin, which modulates calcium channels at the spinal level and so reduces transmitter release from afferents, temporarily attenuates plasticity by shifting the abnormally high WDR-like population back to nociceptive–specific-like cells and reducing the input of and C-fiber response to electrical stimuli. Together this suggests that the phenotypic shift in superficial dorsal horn neurons from nociceptive-specific neurons to WDR-like neurons is linked to alterations in synapses from the periphery to the spinal cord as well as in intrinsic signaling. On the basis of this preclinical evidence, drugs such as gabapentin might be useful agents.

In addition, spinal neurons show increased excitability; here, an important factor is the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor for glutamate, the major excitatory transmitter in the nervous system, found in almost all pain fibers. Activation of the NMDA receptor leads...
to windup, where a constant stimulus elicits responses increased four- to five-fold, although peripheral input remains the same. Thus, windup is a plausible basis for what has been termed central sensitization. Spinal neurons that become hyperexcitable show reduced thresholds, increased receptive field sizes, and ongoing stimulus-independent activity as well as greater evoked responses. This activity is a likely basis for the allodynias, hyperalgesia, and spontaneous pain seen in patients, because many of these spinal neurons project to higher centers of the brain. Ketamine blocks the NMDA receptor complex, and use of NMDA antagonists has been a useful tool for demonstration of NMDA receptor–mediated hypersensitivity in patients with pain resulting from surgery, tissue damage, neuropathy, and fibromyalgia. Recently, involvement of the NR2B receptor in behavioral consequences of bone cancer pain has been demonstrated, and we have studied the ability of antagonists at the NR2B receptor as well as ketamine to reduce the altered neuronal responses provoked by bone cancer pain (Patel and Dickenson, unpublished data).

Clinically, opioids remain the most effective pharmacologic treatment for severe pain resulting from malignancy in the bone. Opioids have a number of mechanisms related to analgesia, but a major site is the spinal cord, where opioids reduce both painful inputs and inhibit spinal neuronal activity. However, adverse effects associated with the high doses required to significantly reduce episodes of incident pain make opioid therapy far from perfect. Pharmacologic studies have shown that the analgesic effects of opioids can be less effective in cancer-induced bone pain compared with other pain states. Treatment with morphine reduces pain behavior in animals with cancer-induced bone pain, although only in early-stage disease involving mild to moderate pain intensity; as the severity increases, the effectiveness of morphine is reduced, and the analgesic effects are only observed with doses that also cause substantial adverse effects.

SUPRASPINAL MECHANISMS

After integration and modulation at the spinal level, the enhanced pain messages are sent to the brain, where the final individual pain experience is generated (Fig 2). Activity in both the sensory discriminatory parts of the brain and the affective and emotional brain areas will change in different pain states. The sensory aspects of pain are established by activity in cortical areas through relays in the thalamus. The equally important emotional or affective components of pain are likely to be through inputs from spinal neurons to areas such as the amygdala. Persistent inputs into the limbic brain areas, including the amygdala, are likely to be causal regarding comorbidities that patients often report resulting from ongoing pain that disrupt normal functioning and generate fear, depression, and sleep problems. Finally, pain alters function and activity in the descending controls, which relay information from higher brain centers via the midbrain and brainstem to the spinal cord. Thus, a reciprocal relationship exists, whereby mood, anxiety, and so on can influence pain. These descending controls link the higher parts of the brain back to the spinal cord and are controlled by areas such as the amygdala. Descending pathways run from the central gray to brainstem nuclei, where the final projections to the spinal cord originate. Cells in these areas allow the brain to generate descending excitation or inhibition. The balance in acute pain states shifts in pathophysiologic pain models so that excitation dominates. Thus, loss of inhibition or gain of facilitation promotes pain, whereas evoking inhibition reduces pain. Preclinical studies have suggested that the bases for this are descending noradrenergic, mostly inhibitory, and certain serotonergic controls that facilitate pain. These monoamine descending controls regulate spinal neuronal activity bidirectionally and underlie the efficacy of antidepressants for the treatment of pain. Little has been done regarding descending controls in cancer pain models, but blocking the 5HT3 receptor attenuates descending excitatory controls from the brain to the spinal cord and reduces the response of superficial dorsal horn WDR-like cells to thermal and mechanical stimuli. This strongly suggests that part of the increased spinal excitability seen in the cancer-induced bone pain model is not simply peripheral or spinal but that abnormal descending controls contribute to the changes. These alterations would suggest that central changes are important, and of course, these may be partly driven by limbic changes, themselves subserving comorbidities common in patients, such as fear, sleep problems, and depression.

Thus, a series of molecular and neurobiologic changes occur in models of cancer-induced bone pain. These overlap with changes that have been observed in states of inflammatory pain and pain after neuropathy. These pathologic events start in the periphery and continue into the spinal cord and brain, where finally, there are altered descending controls back to the spinal cord. However, additional changes ensue so that cancer-induced bone pain has unique characteristics. Taken as a whole, these series of altered processes can explain the ongoing pain, altered sensory perceptions, such as allodynias and hyperalgesias, and comorbidities so common in patients. This complexity may seem daunting and is a basis for the issues seen in the clinic in terms of treating pain. However, this same complexity and mix of inflammatory and neuropathic components offer treatment opportunities, where drugs with actions in different indications may be efficacious. Finally, the existence of multiple mechanisms provides a rational basis for the use of combination therapy in cases where a single agent is not enough.
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