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Abstract
The remarkable diversity of the morphologies of viruses found in terrestrial hydrothermal environments with temperatures >80°C is unprecedented for aquatic ecosystems. The best-studied viruses from these habitats have been assigned to novel viral families: Fuselloviridae, Lipothrixviridae and Rudiviridae. They all have double-stranded DNA genomes and infect hyperthermophilic crenarchaea of the orders Sulfolobales and Thermoproteales. Representatives of the different viral families share a few homologous ORFs (open reading frames). However, about 90% of all ORFs in the seven sequenced genomes show no significant matches to sequences in public databases. This suggests that these hyperthermophilic viruses have exceptional biochemical solutions for biological functions. Specific features of genome organization, as well as strategies for DNA replication, suggest that phylogenetic relationships exist between crenarchaeal rudiviruses and the large eukaryal DNA viruses: poxviruses, the African swine fever virus and chlorella viruses. Sequence patterns at the ends of the linear genome of the lipothrixvirus AFV1 are reminiscent of the telomeric ends of linear eukaryal chromosomes and suggest that a primitive telomeric mechanism operates in this virus.

Viral diversity in hot terrestrial aquatic ecosystems
Microbial viruses are extremely abundant on our planet and they have important and diverse roles in ecosystems and biogeochemical processes [1]. Nevertheless, our knowledge of their degree of diversity is very limited and mainly restricted to morphological data. Recently, these morphological data were summarized for known viruses from mesophilic and moderately thermophilic bacteria and archaea, either cultivated or observed in aqueous samples [2]. The data reveal that 97% of these viruses are typical head-and-tail phages, i.e. particles with icosahedral heads and helical tails, belonging to the families Myoviridae, Siphoviridae and Podoviridae, while only about 3% are tail-less icosahedra, filaments or pleomorphic particles.

Recently, geothermally heated environments including hot springs, mud holes and deep-sea hydrothermal vents, all above 80°C, have been systematically screened for viruses. The results reveal a morphological diversity of virus-like particles greatly exceeding that observed in aquatic systems at lower temperatures [3–6]. Moreover, investigations of virus–host systems from such environments led to the isolation of a wide variety of viruses which infect hyperthermophilic archaea of the orders Sulfolobales and Thermoproteales and most of this pioneering work was done in the laboratory of Wolfram Zillig. Exceptional morphological and genomic features of these viruses necessitated their classification into new viral families which include: filamentous Lipothrixivi-
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host chromosomes by means of a virus-encoded integrase. Integration occurs within a specific tRNA gene as for some temperate bacteriophages. However, in contrast to the bacterial integration mechanism which produces an intact integrase gene, integration of the archaeal viruses produces a partitioned integrase gene \[18,19\]. This archaeal-specific integration mechanism can lead to chromosome capture of the viral genome if the host cell is cured of the free virus, and thereby loses the capacity to express a functional integrase. Examples of this gene-capture phenomenon have been described for the genome of \textit{Sulfolobus solfataricus} P2 \[19,20\]. Production of one fusellovirus, SSV1, but not SSV2, can be strongly induced by UV irradiation or mytomycin treatment, presumably as a result of a host cell SOS response, and this does not result in cell lysis \[14,16\]. In contrast, the linear viral genomes of hyperthermophilic viruses do not encode integrases \[8,9,21\] and there is no evidence from whole-genome analyses for their integrating into \textit{Sulfolobus} chromosomes \[22\]. This correlates with the observation that these viruses with linear genomes are not induced by environmental factors.

The genetic factors determining virus–host compatibility are not understood. However, some insight was gained from recent studies of the two rudiviruses SIRV1 and SIRV2. Both are stable in their natural host, but when transformed into foreign \textit{Sulfolobus} hosts, the genome of SIRV2 remains stable whereas that of SIRV1 undergoes very rapid mutation estimated at about \(10^{-3}\) substitutions/nucleotide.

---

**Figure 1** | Electron micrographs of representatives of different families of viruses of hyperthermophilic Archaea, negatively stained with uranyl acetate
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per replication cycle [10]. This corresponds to the mutational rate of the most rapidly evolving RNA viruses [23] but is unprecedented for DNA viruses. In order to investigate this phenomenon, and to obtain a comprehensive picture of the genome changes, about a dozen of the SIRV1 variant genomes were examined in some detail. The results demonstrate the presence of mutational hotspots in the genome concentrated within three or four short regions whereas the remainder of the genome is either stable or undergoes mutation at a low rate (X. Peng, H. Phan, A. Kessler, M. Häringer, R.A. Garrett and D. Prangishvili, unpublished work). This study also provided strong evidence for the existence of quasi-species of the SIRV1 virus. Our working hypothesis is that the genes in these few hypervariable regions are crucial for the virus–host relationships.

Relationships with other viruses
The very low level of significant sequence similarity between viruses of hyperthermophilic Archaea and other known viruses correlates with the hypothesis that the rapid evolution of viral genes precludes the detection of relationships over large evolutionary distances [28]. However, for viruses with linear genomes a comparison of the DNA ends and the replication mechanism could provide a basis for following phylogenetic lineages. Initiating DNA synthesis from the termini of linear DNA strands is necessarily complex and different mechanisms have evolved to deal with this, including covalently closed ends, cohesive ends, telomeric ends or ends with covalently attached proteins [29].

The termini of linear viral genomes are difficult to sequence. Terminal fragments are not ligated into vectors if they are modified and, therefore, they are not represented in genomic libraries. Moreover, given the low production of the hyperthermophilic viruses, it is difficult to obtain sufficient amounts of virus to perform primer-induced sequencing on the ends of the viral DNA. Nevertheless, the termini of two viral genomes have been sequenced and analysed, one from the lipothrixivirus AFV1 [9] and another from the rudivirus SIRV1 [30].

The AFV1 termini of the A + T-rich genome exhibit an unusual 11 nucleotide G-C inverted repeat. This possibly constitutes a kind of clamp that ensures the stability of the DNA termini at very high temperatures. It could also represent a transposase recognition site. In addition, the 300 bp at each end of the genome contains clusters of multiple short direct repeats of the pentanucleotide TTGTG (and its complementary sequence), and close variants thereof (Figure 2B). Such an organization is reminiscent of the telomeric ends of linear eukaryal chromosomes where the telomerase produces multiple short and imperfect repeat sequences, when generating a 3’-overhang on the lagging strand, in order to prevent shortening of the linear DNA during each round of replication. Possibly, a less complex telomeric mechanism operates on the linear genomes of some archaeal viruses.

The terminal structures of the rudiviral genomes are different. Both SIRV1 and SIRV2 exhibit inverted terminal repeats of about 2 kb, albeit with a large insert in one repeat sequence in SIRV2 [21,30]. Moreover, these large repeat structures each exhibit regularly spaced perfect, and imperfect, direct repeat structures. Chemical sequencing of the termini of the SIRV1 genome demonstrated that the two DNA strands are complementary, and covalently linked,
producing a continuous polynucleotide chain [30]. Although the nature of the chemical linkage is unknown, it was possible to sequence through one end of SIRV2, using the Taq DNA polymerase, which suggests that it is a 3′–5′ linkage (X. Peng, unpublished work). If so, then stereochemical constraints require that despite the complementarity of the bases at the termini, a hairpin structure with a loop region of at least four nucleotides is present.

The structural features of the rudiviral genomic termini are shared by genomes of a family of large double-stranded DNA eukaryal viruses including the poxviruses, Chlorella viruses and the African swine fever virus. The similarities extend further to the mechanism of DNA replication. A self-priming model for replication of these eukaryal viruses postulates that replication is initiated and primed by generating a free 3′-OH near each terminus with the subsequent formation of specific head-to-head and tail-to-tail linked replicative intermediates [31]. For SIRV1, a small proportion of DNA molecules was shown to be nicked 11 nucleotides from the termini [30]. Moreover, similar replicative intermediates, linked head-to-head and tail-to-tail, were detected in Sulfolobus cells infected with SIRV1 and SIRV2 [21]. This linkage between two DNA molecules with inverted terminal repeats generates a cruciform structure which, for the eukaryal viruses, is resolved by a viral-encoded Holliday junction resolvase. This enzyme is also encoded in the genomes of archaeal rudiviruses SIRV1 and SIRV2 [27]. Moreover, the probable recognition sequences for the archaeal and eukaryal resolvases are closely
similar, each exhibiting an A7 tract, and they are located close to the ends of the genome [21].

Thus rudiviruses appear to be similar to eukaryal double-stranded DNA viruses not only in the structural properties of their genomic termini but also in their mechanisms of initiation of DNA replication and their mode of resolving replication intermediates. Since it is improbable that all these similarities resulted from convergent evolution, a common origin of the replication machineries is likely. The results also suggest that the genomes of archael rudiviruses and the eukaryal DNA viruses share a common origin. The latter supposition is supported by the detection of 14 homologous initiation of DNA replication and their mode of resolving replication intermediates. Since it is improbable that all these similarities resulted from convergent evolution, a common origin of the replication machineries is likely. The results also suggest that the genomes of archael rudiviruses and the eukaryal DNA viruses share a common origin. The latter supposition is supported by the detection of 14 homologous
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